

McGill Engineering Services Pty Ltd

Engineering, Adjudication & Arbitration Services ABN 45 106 691 169

NEWGEN POWER KWINANA PTY LTD ELECTRICITY GENERATION LICENCE EGL 3, PERFORMANCE AUDIT REPORT & ASSET MANAGEMENT SYSTEM REVIEW REPORT

Prepared By Kevan McGill Date 24 November 2009



McGill Engineering Services Pty Ltd

Engineering, Adjudication & Arbitration Services ABN 45 106 691 169

Steve Farley Station Manager NewGen Power Station Kwinana Leath Road Naval Base WA 6165

Dear Mr Farley

Performance Audit Electricity Licence EGL 3

The fieldwork on the performance audit and asset management review of Generation licence EGL 3 for the audit period (22 February 2006 to 31 July 2009) is complete and I am pleased to submit the report to you.

In my opinion, the licensee maintained, in all material aspects, effective control procedures in relation to the Generation licence (EGL 3) for the audit period on the relevant clauses referred to within the scope section of this report. While some non compliances were noted these are not material to achieving the licence obligations.

Yours sincerely

Kevan McGill Director

24 November 2009

Keene

CONTENTS

Executive Summary	5
Overall Conclusion	5
Performance Audit	5
Ratings	5
Asset Management Review	6
Ratings	
Performance Audit	8
Performance Audit Objectives	
Audit Period	
Scope Limitation	
Excluded Conditions	
Inherent Limitations	
Scope of the Audit	
Risk and Materiality Assessment	
2. System Analysis, Assertion Setting and Review	9
3. Fieldwork: Testing and Analysis	9
Audit Requirements	10
Overall Conclusion	
Findings	11
Audit Results and Recommendations	
Post Audit Implementation Plan	13
Asset Management System Review	14
Asset Management System Review Objectives	14
Asset Management System Review Recommendations	14
Asset management system review methodology	14
Use of Audit Processes and Practices	14
Audit priority	15
Licensee structure	15
Overall conclusion	16
Findings	16
Review Results and Recommendations	16
Post Audit implementation Plan	19

Audit Evidence	20
Audit Time	20
Appendix 1 Performance Audit Detail Results and Recommendations	21
Licence Obligations Type 2 Generation	21
Licence Obligations Type NR Generation	26
Metering Code Obligations Type 2 Generation	28
Metering Code Obligations Type NR Generation	37
Appendix II Asset management system review results and recommendations	43

Executive Summary

This performance audit and asset management review was conducted in accordance with the guidelines issued by the Economic Regulation Authority (*Authority*) for the audit period (22 February 2006 to 31 July 2009).

Overall Conclusion

In my opinion, the licensee maintained, in all material aspects, effective control procedures in relation to the Generation Licence (EGL 3) for the audit period based on the relevant clauses referred to within the scope section (Page 9) of this report. While some shortfalls were noted these are not material to achieving the licence obligations.

Performance Audit

A summary of the findings of the performance audit is:

Ratings

The compliance rating for each licence condition using the 5-point rating scale is described below.

Performance compliance rating scale

Compliance status	Rating	Description of compliance
COMPLIANT	5	Compliant with no further action required to maintain compliance
COMPLIANT	4	Compliant apart from minor or immaterial recommendations to improve the strength internal controls to maintain compliance
COMPLIANT 3		Compliant with major or material recommendations to improve the strength of internal controls to maintain compliance
NON-COMPLIANT	2	Does not meet minimum requirements
SIGNIFICANTLY NON- COMPLIANT	1	Significant weaknesses and/or serious action required

The results are summarised below.

Assessment	Licence obligations	Audit priority 4	Audit priority 5
Compliant 5	10	6	4
Compliant 4	2	1	1
Compliant 3	2	0	2
Non-compliant 2	0	0	0
Significantly non compliant 1			
Not Rated	32	23	9

^{*} Note Where an obligation was not exercised in the audit period, it was not possible to form an opinion about compliance and the item was not rated.

Asset Management Review

The findings of the asset management review are summarized as;

Ratings

The effectiveness ratings for each key process in the licensee's asset management system using the 6-point scale are described below.

Asset management review effectiveness rating scale

Effectiveness	Rating	Description
Continuously improving	5	Continuously improving organisation capability and process effectiveness
Quantitatively controlled	4	Measurable performance goals established and monitored
Well-defined	3	Standard processes documented, performed and coordinated
Planned and tracked	2	Performance is planned, supervised, verified and tracked
Performed informally	1	Base practices are performed
Not performed	0	Not performed (indicate if not applicable)
Not Rated	Na	Not rated

Asset management effectiveness summary

Asset Management System	Not performed	Performed informally	Planned and tracked	Well defined	Quantitativ ely controlled	Continuou sly improving	Not Rated
Process Effectiveness Rating	0	1	2	3	4	5	
Asset planning							
Asset creation/ acquisition							
Asset disposal							
Environmental analysis							
Asset operations							
Asset maintenance							
Asset Management Information System							
Risk management				118			
Contingency planning							
Financial planning					169		
Capital expenditure planning					101		
Review of AMS							

PERFORMANCE AUDIT

Performance Audit Objectives

Under section 13 of the *Electricity Industry Act 2004* (the Act), it is a requirement that every licensee provide the Economic Regulation Authority (*Authority*) not less than once in every period of 2 years with a performance audit conducted by an independent expert acceptable to the *Authority*.

The primary objective of the operational audit is to audit the effectiveness of measures taken by the Licensee to maintain quality and performance standards. The Act states a performance audit is an audit of the effectiveness of measures taken by the licensee to meet the performance criteria specified in the licence. The licence states that performance standards are contained in *applicable legislation*. Performance criteria are defined in the licence as:

- (a) the terms and conditions of the licence; and
- (b) any other relevant matter in connection with the *applicable legislation* that the *Authority* determines should form part of the *performance audit*.

The licence also provides for individual licence conditions namely - the *Authority* may prescribe *individual performance standards* in relation to the *licensee* of its obligations under this *licence* or the *applicable legislation* (the Act and subordinate legislation).

The *Authority* has summarised the performance requirements in various legislation in its Electricity compliance reporting manual (March 2008)¹.

McGill Engineering Services Pty Ltd has been engaged to carry out the performance audit for Generation Licence EGL 3 for NewGen Power Kwinana Pty Ltd.

Audit Period

The audit period is 22 February 2006 to 31 July 2009. Note that the licence was issued for construction and operation of generation plant but the performance audit and asset management system assessment issues which relate to operational matters run from the plant commissioning date of October 2008.

Scope Limitation

The audit was undertaken by examination of documents, interviews with key persons and observations and is not a detailed inspection of physical items.

¹ Electricity compliance reporting manual, March 2008

Excluded Conditions

As there are no individual performance standards applied by the *Authority*, that area has been deleted from the audit. Item 309 is an obligation on the network operator and any failures would be on the network operator and not the generator and accordingly is deleted.

Inherent Limitations

Because of the inherent limitations of any internal control structure, it is possible that fraud, error or non-compliance with laws and regulations may occur and not be detected.

An audit is not designed to detect all weaknesses in compliance measures as an audit is not performed continuously throughout the period and the audit procedures performed on the compliance measures are undertaken on a test basis.

Any projection of the evaluation of the operating licences to future periods is subject to the risk that the compliance measures in the plans may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or circumstances, or that the degree of compliance with them may deteriorate.

The audit opinion expressed in this report has been formed on the above basis.

Scope of the Audit

The *Authority* guideline² for performance audits sets out that the audit should be conducted in 3 phases.

1. Risk and Materiality Assessment

With reference to AS/NZS4360 Risk Management a preliminary assessment was made of the risk and materiality of non-compliance with the required licence conditions in order to focus the audit effort on areas of higher compliance risk and identify areas for testing and analysis.

2. System Analysis, Assertion Setting and Review

Through discussion, observation and review, a sample of cases or data was analysed relating to the licensee's quality and performance systems and standards against requirements of the Licence conditions to be audited.

3. Fieldwork: Testing and Analysis

Using the results of the risk assessment and systems analysis, detailed testing and analysis was performed to compare those standards maintained by the licensee with the relevant clauses of the Licence.

² Audit Guidelines: Electricity, Gas and Water Licences, September 2006

During this audit the Kwinana licence area was visited.

There are no actions taken in response to recommendations in previous audit to follow up as this is the first audit.

The report to the licensee and the *Authority* clearly expresses the opinion of the auditor in respect of the findings of the audit.

The key contacts were:

- Licensee
 - Steve Farley
- McGill Engineering Services Pty Ltd
 - Kevan McGill and John McLoughlin

The audit was conducted during September and October 2009 with the final audit report initially submitted to the Authority by 31 October 2009 and finally submitted by 25 November 2009.

Audit Requirements

Compliance with licence conditions was examined according to the likely inherent risk and the adequacy of controls to manage that risk.

Nature of audit work conducted

The Authority guidelines for performance audits require that the audit considers:

- a) **Process compliance** the effectiveness of systems and procedures in place throughout the audit period, including the adequacy of internal controls.
- b) **Outcome compliance** the actual performance against standards prescribed in the licence throughout the audit period.
- c) **Output compliance** the existence of the output from systems and procedures throughout the audit period (that is, proper records exist to provide assurance that procedures are being consistently followed and controls are being maintained).
- d) **Integrity of performance reporting** the completeness and accuracy of the performance reporting to the Authority.
- e) **Compliance with any individual licence conditions -** the requirements imposed on the specific licensee by the Authority or specific issues for follow-up that are advised by the Authority.

Stage	Auditor	Standard
1. Risk & Materiality	K McGill	ASA 300 replaces AUS 302: Planning
Assessment Outcome		ASA 315 replaces AUS 402: Risk
- Operational/		Assessments and Internal Controls
Performance Audit		AUS 808: Planning Performance
Plan		Audits
		AS/NZS 4360:2004: Risk Management
		ERA Guidelines
2. System Analysis	K McGill	AUS 810: Special Purpose Reports on
		Effectiveness of
		Control Procedures

3. Fieldwork Assessment and testing of; The control environment Information system Compliance procedures Compliance attitude	K McGill & John McLoughlin	AUS 502: Audit Evidence AUS 806: Performance Auditing
4. Reporting	K McGill	ASA 300 replaces AUS 302 Planning AUS 806: Performance Auditing

Overall Conclusion

In my opinion, the licensee maintained, in all material aspects, effective control procedures in relation to the Generation (EGL 3) licence for the audit period based on the relevant clauses referred to within the scope section of this report. While there have been some shortfalls noted these are not material to achieving the obligations of the licences.

Findings

The conclusions of each of the elements of the licence are summarised in the following table. The audit risk as determined for each licence condition is also shown. The details of the audit can be seen in Appendix I (Page 21)

Item	Licence obligation	Consequences	Likelihood	Inherent Risk	Adequacy of Controls	Review priority	Rati	ng 1 2	3 -	4 5	5 N	^a N ^r
	e conditions Type 2 G					,						
83.	16.1 & 16.2 EIA s14(1)(b)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4						
87.	5.1 EIA s41(6)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4						
103	12.2 EIA s11	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4						
104	12.3 EIA s11	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4						
105	13.1 EIA s11	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4						
107	15.2 EIA s11	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4						
108	16.4 EIA s11	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4						
109	17.1 EIA s11	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4						
110	18.1 EIA s11	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4						
111	19.2 EIA s11	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4						
112	20.1 EIA s11	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4						
Licenc	e conditions Type NR											
81.	15.1 EIA s13(1)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate	5						
82.	16.1 EIA s14(1)(a)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate	5						

84.	16.3 EIA s14(1)(c)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate	5				
85.	4.1 EIA s17(1)	Minor	Unlikely		Moderate	5				_
86	5.1 EIA s31(3)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate	5				-
00	5.1 EIA \$51(5)	IVIIIIOI	Unlikely	Low	ivioderate	5				_
Motori	na Codo Tuno 2. Con	orotion								
	ng Code Type 2 Gen		Halileake	Madium	Madarata	14 1				
319	5.1 MC 3.11(3)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4				
331	5.1 MC 3.16(5)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate			-		_
342	5.1 MC 3.27	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4		-		-
351	5.1 MC 4.5(2)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4				_
365	5.1 MC 5.5(3)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4				_
376	5.1 MC 5.16	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4				
377	5.1 MC 5.17(1)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4				
378	5.1 MC 5.18	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4				
381	5.1 MC 5.19(3)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4				
382	5.1 MC 5.19(4)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4				
390	5.1 MC 5.21(5)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4				
391	5.1 MC 5.21(6)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4				
409	5.1 MC 5.27	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4				
416	5.1 MC 6.1(2)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4				
420	5.1 MC 7.2(4)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4				
421	5.1 MC 7.2(5)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4				
422	5.1 MC 7.5	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4				
423	5.1 MC 7.6(1)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4				
427	5.1 MC 8.1(4)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate	4				
		100		7.1						
Meteri	ng Code Type NR Ge	eneration								
349	5.1 MC 4.4(1)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate	5				
350	5.1 MC 4.5(1)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate	5				
363	5.1 MC 4.5(2)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate	5				
379	5.1 MC 5.19(1)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate	5				
380	5.1 MC 5.19(2)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate	5				
384	5.1 MC 5.19(6)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate	5				
418	5.1 MC 7.2(1)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate	5				
424	5.1 MC 8.1(1)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate	5				
425	5.1 MC 8.1(2)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate	5				
426	5.1 MC 8.1(3)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate	5	7		1	
428	5.1 MC 8.3(2)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate	5				
120	51.1 W 5.5(2)		Crimicory	2011						
N I a	N. (): 1.1							1	1	

N^a Not applicable

N^r Not rated

Audit Results and Recommendations

Summary of significant results

The licensee does not have any meters so the vast majority of the metering code obligations have not been rated as there is no activity on which to form an opinion.

Licence fees were paid but slightly after the due date and reports have been submitted but not in the required time.

Post Audit Implementation Plan

Fees should be paid to the *Authority* on time and processes should be put into place to ensure payment before the anniversary of the issue of the licence. Steve Farley, Station Manager, NewGen Power Kwinana, should be responsible to do this by 1 January 2010.

Reports should be submitted to the *Authority* on time and processes should be put into place to ensure reporting by the prescribed time. Steve Farley, Station Manager, NewGen Power Kwinana, should be responsible to do this by 1 January 2010.

A compliance calendar has been developed for all annual reporting and licensing compliance. This should prevent late lodgements in the future.



ASSET MANAGEMENT SYSTEM REVIEW

Asset Management System Review Objectives

Under the *Electricity Industry Act 2004* (the Act) section 14, the licensee must develop and maintain an asset management system to manage the significant asset base for ongoing service delivery to its customers. The Act requires a review of the asset management system every two years (or other time approved by the Economic Regulation Authority - *Authority*).

An asset management system is to set out the measures to be taken by the licensee for the proper maintenance of assets used in the generation of electricity and in the operation and maintenance of, and, where relevant, the construction or alteration of, the generator's assets.

Asset Management System Review Recommendations

The recommendations identify:

- a) The asset management process.
- b) The effectiveness rating.
- c) The issue(s) identified that have resulted in the nominated effectiveness rating.
- d) The recommended action(s) to improve the effectiveness of the asset management process to an acceptable level.

Asset management system review methodology

The asset management review report provides a table that summarises the auditor's assessment of the effectiveness ratings for each key process in the licensee's asset management system using the 6-point scale described below.

The overall effectiveness rating is based on an assessment of the adequacy and effectiveness of the licensee's asset management system.

Use of Audit Processes and Practices

- 1 Accepted audit processes and practices were used to complete the review. These include the sampling techniques associated with process reviews such as interviews to define accountability, observations, document sighting and testing of users.
- 2 The review addressed four key elements of successful delivery of asset management to allow the assessment of the effectiveness of the asset management system. These elements are:
 - Process the existence of a suitable process for activities
 - Documentation the existence of a document defining a process

- Availability/accessibility/understanding the process is understood, available to those required to use it and accessible to them
- Use- confirmation the process is used consistently
- 3 The audit priorities were determined and include in the asset management system review plan approved by the *Authority*.

The review was conducted by Kevan McGill and John McLoughlin during September and October 2009.

Audit priority

The *Authority* guidelines for asset management system reviews sets out a rating for audit priority based on inherent risk and existing controls. The following priorities were determined in accordance with the guidelines and audit plan and accepted by the Licensee.

The review priorities and findings are as follows. The detail of the review and findings can be seen in Appendix II (Page 43).

Asset Management System	Not performed	Performed informally	Planned and tracked	Well defined	Quantitativ ely controlled	Continuou sly improving	Not Rated
Process Effectiveness Rating	0	1	2	3	4	5	
Asset planning		1					
Asset creation/ acquisition		160					
Asset disposal		100					
Environmental analysis				100			
Asset operations							
Asset maintenance							
Asset Management Information System					8		
Risk management							
Contingency planning							
Financial planning							
Capital expenditure planning							
Review of AMS							

Licensee structure

The licensee (NewGen Power Kwinana Pty Ltd) is a special vehicle established by the owners (ERM Power Kwinana Pty Ltd and Summit Kwinana Power Pty Ltd). The owners

are in turn owned by companies such as ERM Power Pty Ltd in Queensland. The licensee carry out the asset management functions directly related to the licensed assets such as operational and maintenance functions. The strategic asset management functions such as where to invest and why, are carried out by the ultimate owners such as ERM Power Pty Ltd. The owners have a management committee that oversees the financial management of the licensee.

Overall conclusion

In my opinion, the licensee maintained, in all material aspects, an adequate and effective asset management system in relation to the Generation Licence (EGL 3) for the audit period based on the relevant clauses referred to within the scope section (Page 9) of this report. While a number of shortfalls are noted they are not material for the effectiveness of an asset management system even if there is a division between the part undertaken by the licensee and those by the owners. The Asset Planning, Creation, Disposal and Review are carried out by the owners of the licensee. The intent of the legislation is met as the outcome is compliant.

Findings

The conclusions of each of the elements of the licence are summarised in the following table. The audit risk as determined for each licence condition is also shown.

Asset management process	Review risk assessment	Rating	
Asset planning	2	Not Rated	-
Asset creation/ acquisition	2	Not Rated	-
Asset disposal	2	Not Rated	-
Environmental analysis	2	Planned & Tracked	2
Asset operations	2	Well defined	3
Asset maintenance	2	Well defined	3
Asset Management Information System	2	Well defined	3
Risk management	2	Planned & Tracked	2
Contingency planning	2	Planned & Tracked	2
Financial planning	4	Well defined	3
Capital expenditure planning	4	Well defined	3
Review of AMS	4	Performed Informally	1

The overall effectiveness rating for each licence condition is based on an assessment of the effectiveness of the licensee's existing control procedures to manage its assets.

Review Results and Recommendations

The following summarises the key results and recommendations

Asset	Recommendation
Management Item	
Asset	Issue 1
Planning	The licensee conducts the shorter timeframe asset management elements (operations, maintenance and contingency planning). Other than a strategic overview, the elements of an asset management process exist informally.
	The owning bodies such as ERM Power Pty Ltd in Australia carry out the long term and strategic elements of an asset management system. The licensee does not carry out these functions leaving them to the owners.
	Recommendation 1
	None - The licensee does not carry out asset planning as it is carried out by the owning bodies.
Asset	Issue 2
Creation	The licensee conducts the shorter timeframe asset management elements (operations, maintenance and contingency planning). Other than a strategic overview, the elements of an asset management process exist informally.
	The owning bodies such as ERM Power Pty Ltd in Australia carry out the long term and strategic elements of an asset management system. The licensee does not carry out these functions leaving them to the owners.
	Recommendation 2
	None - The licensee does not carry out asset planning as it is carried out by the owning bodies.
Asset	Issue 3
Disposal	The licensee conducts the shorter timeframe asset management elements (operations, maintenance and contingency planning). Other than a strategic overview, the elements of an asset management process exist informally.
	The owning bodies such as ERM Power Pty Ltd in Australia carry out the long term and strategic elements of an asset management system. The licensee does not carry out these functions leaving them to the owners.
	Recommendation 3
	None - The licensee does not carry out asset planning as it is carried out by the owning bodies.
Asset	Issue 4
Operations	The earthing does not allow the required step and touch voltages to be within safe levels if the earthing is disconnected from the adjacent Verve and Water Authority earthing systems. A potentially unsafe situation could arise if the earthing nets are disconnected (which is unlikely) while continuing to generate.

A procedure (or interlocks) is implemented to ensure that the plant does not operate when the adjacent earthing systems are disconnected. Asset Management Information System Issue 5 The operational policies are well documented but security could be improved by issue of PDF documents to operational staff instead of MS Word documents and implementing control management procedures. Access to write to the database is controlled (passwords) and changes are tracked. There is good documentation for data recovery procedures which include operating on the local server. Backup at other remote servers would improve data integrity. Recommendation 5 Improve security of operational procedures documentation and implement remote backup of database. Issue 6 There is good documentation of risk management procedures but this does not appear to have been rolled out and implemented at the site. The risk management procedures as documented should be implemented. Recommendation 6 Implement the risk management policy as documented. Recommendation 7 Develop documented strategic contingency plans as a result of there being no implemented risk management plans. Recommendation 7 Develop documented contingency plans responding to the risk assessments. AMS review Issue 8 Strategic asset planning is carried out by the owning companies and they carry out continuous review of the process. There is a division of an asset management system where the owners carry out the strategic asset planning and the licensee caries out the whole of plant life functions including shorter term and operational functions. It would not be appropriate to require the licensed entities to carry out these functions when they are already carried out by the owning companies. Recommendation 8		Decemmendation 4
Asset Management Information System Issue 5		Recommendation 4
Management Information System The operational policies are well documented but security could be improved by issue of PDF documents to operational staff instead of MS Word documents and implementing control management procedures. Access to write to the database is controlled (passwords) and changes are tracked. There is good documentation for data recovery procedures which include operating on the local server. Backup at other remote servers would improve data integrity. Recommendation 5 Improve security of operational procedures documentation and implement remote backup of database. Risk management Issue 6 There is good documentation of risk management procedures but this does not appear to have been rolled out and implemented at the site. The risk management procedures as documented should be implemented. Recommendation 6 Implement the risk management policy as documented. Recommendation 7 Develop documented strategic contingency plans as a result of there being no implemented risk management plans. Recommendation 7 Develop documented contingency plans responding to the risk assessments. AMS review Issue 8 Strategic asset planning is carried out by the owning companies and they carry out continuous review of the process. There is a division of an asset management system where the owners carry out the strategic asset planning and the licensee caries out the whole of plant life functions including shorter term and operational functions, it would not be appropriate to require the licensee entities to carry out the strategic asset planning and the licensee are functions of an asset management procedures.		
Information System The operational policies are well documented but security could be improved by issue of PDF documents to operational staff instead of MS Word documents and implementing control management procedures. Access to write to the database is controlled (passwords) and changes are tracked. There is good documentation for data recovery procedures which include operating on the local server. Backup at other remote servers would improve data integrity. Recommendation 5 Improve security of operational procedures documentation and implement remote backup of database. Risk management There is good documentation of risk management procedures but this does not appear to have been rolled out and implemented at the site. The risk management procedures as documented should be implemented. Recommendation 6 Implement the risk management policy as documented. Contingency Planning Issue 7 Planning Recommendation 7 Develop documented strategic contingency plans as a result of there being no implemented risk management plans. Recommendation 7 Develop documented contingency plans responding to the risk assessments. AMS review Issue 8 Strategic asset planning is carried out by the owning companies and they carry out continuous review of the process. There is a division of an asset management system where the owners carry out the strategic asset planning and the licensee caries out the whole of plant life functions including shorter term and operational functions. It would not be appropriate to require the licensee dentities to carry out these functions when they are already carried out by the owning companies. Recommendation 8 None - There is no business case for carrying out asset review when it is		Issue 5
are tracked. There is good documentation for data recovery procedures which include operating on the local server. Backup at other remote servers would improve data integrity. Recommendation 5 Improve security of operational procedures documentation and implement remote backup of database. Issue 6 There is good documentation of risk management procedures but this does not appear to have been rolled out and implemented at the site. The risk management procedures as documented should be implemented. Recommendation 6 Implement the risk management policy as documented. Contingency Planning Issue 7 There are no documented strategic contingency plans as a result of there being no implemented risk management plans. Recommendation 7 Develop documented contingency plans responding to the risk assessments. AMS review Issue 8 Strategic asset planning is carried out by the owning companies and they carry out continuous review of the process. There is a division of an asset management system where the owners carry out the strategic asset planning and the licensee caries out the whole of plant life functions including shorter term and operational functions. It would not be appropriate to require the licensed entities to carry out these functions when they are already carried out by the owning companies. Recommendation 8 None - There is no business case for carrying out asset review when it is	Information	improved by issue of PDF documents to operational staff instead of MS
Risk management Issue 6 There is good documentation of risk management procedures but this does not appear to have been rolled out and implemented at the site. The risk management procedures as documented should be implemented. Recommendation 6 Implement the risk management policy as documented. Recommendation 6 Implemented in the risk management policy as documented. Issue 7 There are no documented strategic contingency plans as a result of there being no implemented risk management plans. Recommendation 7 Develop documented contingency plans responding to the risk assessments. AMS review Issue 8 Strategic asset planning is carried out by the owning companies and they carry out continuous review of the process. There is a division of an asset management system where the owners carry out the strategic asset planning and the licensee caries out the whole of plant life functions including shorter term and operational functions. It would not be appropriate to require the licensee entities to carry out these functions when they are already carried out by the owning companies. Recommendation 8 None - There is no business case for carrying out asset review when it is		are tracked. There is good documentation for data recovery procedures which include operating on the local server. Backup at other remote
Risk management Issue 6		Recommendation 5
There is good documentation of risk management procedures but this does not appear to have been rolled out and implemented at the site. The risk management procedures as documented should be implemented. Recommendation 6 Implement the risk management policy as documented. Contingency Planning Issue 7 There are no documented strategic contingency plans as a result of there being no implemented risk management plans. Recommendation 7 Develop documented contingency plans responding to the risk assessments. AMS review Issue 8 Strategic asset planning is carried out by the owning companies and they carry out continuous review of the process. There is a division of an asset management system where the owners carry out the strategic asset planning and the licensee caries out the whole of plant life functions including shorter term and operational functions. It would not be appropriate to require the licensed entities to carry out these functions when they are already carried out by the owning companies. Recommendation 8 None - There is no business case for carrying out asset review when it is		
There is good documentation of risk management procedures but this does not appear to have been rolled out and implemented at the site. The risk management procedures as documented should be implemented. Recommendation 6 Implement the risk management policy as documented. Issue 7 There are no documented strategic contingency plans as a result of there being no implemented risk management plans. Recommendation 7 Develop documented contingency plans responding to the risk assessments. AMS review Issue 8 Strategic asset planning is carried out by the owning companies and they carry out continuous review of the process. There is a division of an asset management system where the owners carry out the strategic asset planning and the licensee caries out the whole of plant life functions including shorter term and operational functions. It would not be appropriate to require the licensed entities to carry out these functions when they are already carried out by the owning companies. Recommendation 8 None - There is no business case for carrying out asset review when it is		Issue 6
Implement the risk management policy as documented. Contingency Planning Issue 7 There are no documented strategic contingency plans as a result of there being no implemented risk management plans. Recommendation 7 Develop documented contingency plans responding to the risk assessments. AMS review Issue 8 Strategic asset planning is carried out by the owning companies and they carry out continuous review of the process. There is a division of an asset management system where the owners carry out the strategic asset planning and the licensee caries out the whole of plant life functions including shorter term and operational functions. It would not be appropriate to require the licensed entities to carry out these functions when they are already carried out by the owning companies. Recommendation 8 None - There is no business case for carrying out asset review when it is	management	does not appear to have been rolled out and implemented at the site. The risk management procedures as documented should be
Contingency Planning Issue 7 There are no documented strategic contingency plans as a result of there being no implemented risk management plans. Recommendation 7 Develop documented contingency plans responding to the risk assessments. AMS review Issue 8 Strategic asset planning is carried out by the owning companies and they carry out continuous review of the process. There is a division of an asset management system where the owners carry out the strategic asset planning and the licensee caries out the whole of plant life functions including shorter term and operational functions. It would not be appropriate to require the licensed entities to carry out these functions when they are already carried out by the owning companies. Recommendation 8 None - There is no business case for carrying out asset review when it is		Recommendation 6
There are no documented strategic contingency plans as a result of there being no implemented risk management plans. Recommendation 7 Develop documented contingency plans responding to the risk assessments. AMS review Issue 8 Strategic asset planning is carried out by the owning companies and they carry out continuous review of the process. There is a division of an asset management system where the owners carry out the strategic asset planning and the licensee caries out the whole of plant life functions including shorter term and operational functions. It would not be appropriate to require the licensed entities to carry out these functions when they are already carried out by the owning companies. Recommendation 8 None - There is no business case for carrying out asset review when it is		Implement the risk management policy as documented.
There are no documented strategic contingency plans as a result of there being no implemented risk management plans. Recommendation 7 Develop documented contingency plans responding to the risk assessments. AMS review Issue 8 Strategic asset planning is carried out by the owning companies and they carry out continuous review of the process. There is a division of an asset management system where the owners carry out the strategic asset planning and the licensee caries out the whole of plant life functions including shorter term and operational functions. It would not be appropriate to require the licensed entities to carry out these functions when they are already carried out by the owning companies. Recommendation 8 None - There is no business case for carrying out asset review when it is		Issue 7
Develop documented contingency plans responding to the risk assessments. AMS review Issue 8 Strategic asset planning is carried out by the owning companies and they carry out continuous review of the process. There is a division of an asset management system where the owners carry out the strategic asset planning and the licensee caries out the whole of plant life functions including shorter term and operational functions. It would not be appropriate to require the licensed entities to carry out these functions when they are already carried out by the owning companies. Recommendation 8 None - There is no business case for carrying out asset review when it is	r iairiiiig	
AMS review Issue 8 Strategic asset planning is carried out by the owning companies and they carry out continuous review of the process. There is a division of an asset management system where the owners carry out the strategic asset planning and the licensee caries out the whole of plant life functions including shorter term and operational functions. It would not be appropriate to require the licensed entities to carry out these functions when they are already carried out by the owning companies. Recommendation 8 None - There is no business case for carrying out asset review when it is		Recommendation 7
Strategic asset planning is carried out by the owning companies and they carry out continuous review of the process. There is a division of an asset management system where the owners carry out the strategic asset planning and the licensee caries out the whole of plant life functions including shorter term and operational functions. It would not be appropriate to require the licensed entities to carry out these functions when they are already carried out by the owning companies. Recommendation 8 None - There is no business case for carrying out asset review when it is		
they carry out continuous review of the process. There is a division of an asset management system where the owners carry out the strategic asset planning and the licensee caries out the whole of plant life functions including shorter term and operational functions. It would not be appropriate to require the licensed entities to carry out these functions when they are already carried out by the owning companies. Recommendation 8 None - There is no business case for carrying out asset review when it is	AMS review	Issue 8
None - There is no business case for carrying out asset review when it is		they carry out continuous review of the process. There is a division of an asset management system where the owners carry out the strategic asset planning and the licensee caries out the whole of plant life functions including shorter term and operational functions. It would not be appropriate to require the licensed entities to carry out these functions
· ·		Recommendation 8
		None - There is no business case for carrying out asset review when it is carried out by the owning bodies.

Post Audit implementation Plan

AMS item	Recommendation	Responsible	When
Asset Operations	A procedure (or interlocks) is implemented to ensure that the plant does not operate when the adjacent earthing systems are disconnected.	Station Manager	December 2009
Asset Management Information System	Improve security of operational procedures documentation and implement remote backup of database.	Station Manager	December 2009
Risk management	Implement the risk management policy as documented.	Station Manager	June 2010
Contingency Planning	Develop documented contingency plans responding to the risk assessments.	Station Manager	September 2010

Audit Evidence

The following evidence was gathered for the audit.

- 1. Legislation and standards
 - Electricity Industry Act 2004
 - Auditing and Assurance handbook
 - Electricity Generation Licence EGL 3
 - Licence maps
- 2. Licensee's documents
 - Electricity licence applications
 - Auditors statement
 - Annual compliance report
 - Licence fees invoices/payment
 - Budget documents

Audit Time

The audit was undertaken by Kevan McGill and John McLoughlin and took approximately 60 hours.

Appendix 1 Performance Audit Detail Results and Recommendations

Licence (Obliga	ations Type 2	' Ge	neration					
Item 83	Ger	neration Licen	ce C	lauses 16.	1 &	16.2		Compliance rati	ing
The requir	emen	try Act section t is that a licential changes	nsee	must notif			set m	nanagement systo	em
Observati	ions								
Process		Outcome	V	Output	Ø	Reporting	V	Compliance	V
		as advised of ued. There ha						tem at the time tl	he
Issues		(E.)	7	£	ı	E No		-00	
None							7		
Recomme	endat	ions	N			7).6			
None									
Managem	ent A	ctions							
Not applic	able		Κ	4					
			V			f			
Item 87	Gen	eration Licend	ce C	lause 5.1				Compliance rati	ing
		try Act section			tere	st in land or a	n 629	sement over land	
Observati		pay the costs	5 OI (aking an ii	itoro.	st iii iaiia oi ai	ii cac	sement over land	•
Process	I	Outcome	Ø	Output	\square	Reporting	V	Compliance	
		land or ease and compliar						dit period, no cosed.	st
Issues									
None									
Recomme	endat	ions							

Management Actions

Not applicable

None

Item 103	Gen	eration Licenc	e Cl	ause 12.2				Compliance rati Not Rated	ng
Electricity Industry Act section 11. The requirement is that a licensee must amend the asset management system before an									
expansion or reduction in generating works, distribution systems and transmission									
								pansion or reduct	ion
•		for in the asset	•			·		pariolori or roddol	
Observation		01 111 1110 4000	· ·····a	nagomoni	Cycl	J111.			
Observation	UIIS								
Process	V	Outcome	V	Output	V	Reporting	V	Compliance	
There have	e bee	n no substanti	ive c	hanges to	the (Generation eq	uipm	ent in the audit	
period that	woul	ld require an a	mer	ndment to t	he a	sset managem	nent	system.	
Issues									
None.					1				
Recomme	ndat	ions			7	ур.			
None.		(E.)	2					9	
Managem	ent A	actions	5				<		
Not applica	able.	22				22			
	_			40.0					
Item 104	Gen	eration Licenc	e Ci	ause 12.3				Compliance rati Compliant - 5	ng
Floctricity	Indus	stry Act section	11	-				Compliant - 5	
				must not	ovna	nd the genera	tina	works, distribution	_
-					-	-	_	s any expansion	
•		e to be paid.	11113	outside tile	- IICE	ilce alea. Il lili	CI C I	s arry expansion	uie
Observation		e to be paid.	-	-					
Observation	0115								
Process	Ø	Outcome	V	Output	Ø	Reporting	V	Compliance	Ø
There have	bee	n no expansio	ns c	utside the	licer	ice areas.			
Issues									
None.			`						
Recomme	ndat	ions							
None.									
Management Actions									
Not applica	able.								

Item 105	Generation Licence Clauses 13.1	Compliance rating Compliant - 5
Electricity	Industry Act section 11.	
A licensee	and any related body corporate must maintain a	ecounting records that comply

with the Au Accounting			g Sta	andards Bo	ard	Standards or e	qui	alent Internation	al
Observation	ons								
Process	Ø	Outcome	V	Output	V	Reporting	Ø	Compliance	Ø
standards. variation fr	The om A	financial aud	it wa	as conduct ting standa	ed t	o Australian a	udit	ustralian account standards and a reported as an	•
Issues									
None									
Recomme	ndat	ions							
None		(-0)			K.	0			
Manageme	ent A	ctions			7	appear			
Not applica	ble	1 (3 3	9		١	1		9	
L		718	7			DI BY			

Item 107	Gen	eration Licenc	Compliance rating Compliant - 5							
Electricity Industry Act section 11.										
							the .	Authority's standa	ard	
audit guide	lines	dealing with t	he p	erformanc	e au	dit.				
Observation	ons									
Process	Ø	Outcome	V	Output	V	Reporting	V	Compliance	V	
This audit i	is in a	accordance wi	th th	e Authority	∕'s gı	uidelines.				
Issues	1			1) (
None				-						
Recomme	ndat	ions					No. of Lot			
None			٧,							
Managemo	Management Actions									
Not applica	able									

Item 108	Generation Licence Clause 16.4	Compliance rating Compliant - 5							
,	Industry Act section 11. The ment is that a licensee must comply, and must require t	he licensee's expert							
•	to comply, with the relevant aspects of the <i>Authority</i> 's standard guidelines dealing with								
the asset n	nanagement system.								

Observation	ons									
Process	Ø	Outcome	Ø	Output	Ø	Reporting	Ø	Compliance	V	
This review	This review complies with the requirements.									
Issues										
None.										
Recomme	ndat	ions								
None.										
Manageme	ent A	ctions								
Not applica	ble.			0	7					
		(-0)			K	u)				
Item 109	Gen	eration Licenc	e C	lause 17.1	7	alter		Compliance rati	ng	
A licensee external ad the licence	Electricity Industry Act section 11. A licensee must report to the Authority, in the manner prescribed, if a licensee is under external administration or there is a significant change in the circumstances upon which the licence was granted which may affect a licensee's ability to meet its obligations.									
Observation	ons									
Process	V	Outcome	V	Output	Ø	Reporting	Ø	Compliance		
	msta							n a significant chare re no need to adv		
Issues			١	(= =						
None	/			718	Z.					
Recomme	ndat	ions		14	2	1100				
None										
Manageme	ent A	ctions								
Not applica	ble									
Item 110	Gen	eration Licenc	e C	lauses 18.	1			Compliance rati Compliant - 3	ng	
A licensee Authority re	Electricity Industry Act section 11. A licensee must provide the Authority, in the manner prescribed, any information the Authority requires in connection with its functions under the Electricity Industry Act.									
Observation	ons									
Process	Ø	Outcome	Ø	Output	Ø	Reporting	Ø	Compliance	V	
The license	ee ha	s provided the	info	ormation pr	esci	ibed to the Au	thor	ity but not in the		

prescribed	time								
Issues									
Reporting	need	s to be done b	y th	e required	date	٠.			
Recomme	ndat	ions							
							oces	ses should be p	ut into
•		reports are do	one i	n the pres	cribe	d time.			
Managem	ent A	actions							
Processes	to be	e put into place	e to	ensure rep	orts	are done in th	ne pre	escribed time.	
Item 111	Gen	eration Licer	nce (Clauses 1	9.2			Compliance ra	ting
		stry Act section),	qq.			
A licensee the timefra			ntorr	nation it is	dire	cted by the Au	uthori	ty to publish, wit	:hin
Observati	ons	1							
Process	V	Outcome	Ø	Output	V	Reporting	Ø	Compliance	V
No informa	ation I	has been requ	ired	to be pub	lishe	d			
Issues									
None			K	4					
Recomme	ndat	ions	7	np.					
None			١	13.3	×.				
Managem	ent A	ctions		DAY 6					
Not applica	able			MA	27				
						7			
Item 112	Gen	eration Licend	ce C	lause 20.1				Compliance ra	ting
		stry Act section se specified, a			he ir	writing		Not italed	
Observati		o opcomed, a	11 110	iloco mast	DC II	i wiitiiig.			
Process	V	Outcome	Ø	Output	V	Reporting	V	Compliance	
There has	not b	een a require	men	t to issue r	notice	es.	1	<u> </u>	
Issues									
None									
Recomme	ndat	ions							

None									
Managem	ent A	ctions							
Not applica	able								
Licence C	bliga	ations Type N	VR (Seneration	า				
Item 81	Item 81Generation Licence Clause 15.1Compliance rating Compliant - 5								ing
		stry Act section			1 ma	nthe provido	tha A	authority with a	
performan	ce au							to the Authority.	
Observati	ons								
Process	Ø	Outcome	V	Output	V	Reporting	V	Compliance	Ø
This audit	satisf	ies the require	emer	nt.			1	-03	
Issues							1		
None			T				1111		
Recomme	ndat	ions							
None									
Managem	ent A	ctions	K	-					
Not applica	able		7						
	₩		١	6.9					
Item 82	Gen	eration Liceno	ce C	lause 16.1	₹			Compliance rat	ing
Flootricity	_ \			\mathcal{L}				Compliant 4	
-		stry Act section t provide for a		. , . ,	eme	nt system.			
Observati	ons						1		
Process	V	Outcome	V	Output	V	Reporting	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Compliance	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$
This reviev	v has	examined the	ass	l set manage	emer	l nt system but	some	of the value add	 ding
function is	carrie	ed out by the o	owne	er and not	the li	censee.			
Issues									
None									
Recomme	ndat	ions							
None									
Managem	ent A	ctions							

Not applicable

Item 84	Gen	eration Licenc	e Cl	ause 16.3				Compliance ratir	ng	
								Compliant 5		
Electricity I	ndus	stry Act section	14(´1)(c).						
								ent expert as to th		
effectivene	ss of	its asset man	ager	ment syste	m ev	ery 24 months	s, or	such longer perio	d as	
determined by the Authority.										
Observation										
Observation	ons									
Process	V	Outcome	V	Output	V	Reporting	V	Compliance	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	
This review	/ sati	sfies the requi	rem	ent.						
Issues					1					
		C				~ 1				
None										
Recomme	ndat	ions								
Recomme	iidat	10110								
None			- 1							
		0 16				100				
Manageme	ent A	ctions	3)	1100		KAS				
Not applica	ble			10						
			7							

Item 85	Gen	eration Licen	ce C		Compliance rating Compliant - 3				
Electricity I	Indus	try Act section	n 17	(1)		9			
								in one month aft	
					ithin	one month af	ter ea	ach anniversary	of that
		erm of the lice	ence						
Observation	ons								
Droops	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Outcomo	V	Output		Poporting	V	Compliance	\square
Process		Outcome		Output	V	Reporting	V	Compliance	
The fees h	ave b	een paid but	4 da	vs later tha	an th	e month of th	e anr	iversary of the	_ 1
licence issu								,	
Issues									
Food pood	to be	s poid within th	ho m	onth of the	2 000	ivoroon, of lie	0000	icouc	
rees need	IO DE	e paid within the	ne m	OHUI OI UIE	anı	iiversary or iic	ence	issue.	
Recomme	ndat	ions							
The fees w	ere p	aid but slightl	y lat	e and sho	ald b	e paid promp	tly.		
Managem	ent A	ctions							
To pay fee	s with	nin a month of	f the	anniversa	ry of	licence issue			

Item 86	Gen	Generation Licence Clause 5.1 Compliance rating Compliant - 4										
A licensee interruption emergency	Electricity Industry Act section 31(3) A licensee must take reasonable steps to minimise the extent or duration of any interruption, suspension or restriction of the supply of electricity due to an accident, emergency, potential danger or other unavoidable cause. Observations											
Observati	0115											
Process	V	Outcome	V	Output	V	Reporting	Ø	Compliance	\square			
major failu capacity by	re oc y 50% s that	curred but tha 6. All endeavo	t was urs v	s in the ste vere taken	am t	urbine which in the desiration with the desiration in the desirati	reduc own t	thin audit period) ced the nominal cime. The obligation ctriction must be				
Issues												
None		Property			7	april 1						
Recomme	ndat	ions										
None		1	-				<					
Managem	ent A	ctions				20.0						
Not applica	able			V								
Metering	Code	Obligations	Тур	e 2 Gene	ratio	n						
Item 319	Lice	nce Clause 5.	1	(3		7		Compliance ration	ng			
The require	emen n of a	etry Metering Control is that a Cool metering inst	le pa	rticipant w	ho b	ecomes awar		an outage or ator as soon as				
Observati	ons											
Process	V	Outcome	V	Output	V	Reporting	Ø	Compliance				
The genera	ator c	loes not have	any	meters.								
Issues												
None												
Recomme	ndat	ions										
None												
Managem	ent A	ctions										
Not applica	able											

Item 331	Item 331 Licence Clause 5.1 Compliance rating Not Rated										
-		try Metering C			. ,						
								e other to negotia			
		written servic					ie ma	atters in the metro	ology		
procedure	u c an	with under cia	ause	3.10(4) 01	uie	Code.					
Observation	ons										
Process	V	Outcome	V	Output	V	Reporting	V	Compliance			
								to interval meteri to Western Powe			
Issues				· · · · · · · · ·	7						
None		S			5	40.					
Recomme	ndati	ions		1		21	1	-10			
None					1						
Manageme	ent A	ctions									
Not applica	ble										
Item 342	Lice	nce Clause 5.	1	4				Compliance rati	ing		
		try Metering C									
								s the person is th			
							for th	ne network operat	tor		
Observation		f work authori	sea	by its regis	trati	on.					
Observation	2115										
Process	V	Outcome	V	Output	V	Reporting	Ø	Compliance			
There have	bee	n no meters ir	nstal	led.							
Issues			ς,								
None											
Recomme	ndati	ions									
None											
Manageme	nt A	ctions									
	JIIL A	Ctions									

Item 351	Lice	ence Clause 5.	1					Compliance rati Not Rated	ng
If a Code p an inaccura	artici acy ir nd pro	n an item of sta	an a andi	network ong data in	pera		mus	re of a change to st notify the networtimeframes	
Process	V	Outcome	Ø	Output	V	Reporting	\square	Compliance	
The genera	ator d	does not have	any	meters.					
Issues									
None									
Recomme	ndat	ions			K.	u)			
None		12			1	up o			
Manageme	ent A	ctions	9			(38			
Not applica	ble	100	7			TA I			
		RA		10		1572			
Item 365	Lice	ence Clause 5.	1	70				Compliance rati Not Rated	ng
		stry Metering C							
						sion of the data	unc	der this Code unl	ess it
Observation		do so under an	iothe	er enactme	nt.				

		lry wetering			. ,				
						sion of the dat	ta un	der this Code ur	iless it
is permitted	d to d	lo so under a	nothe	er enactme	ent.				
Observation	ons			1		W			
				6					
Process	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Outcome		Output	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Reporting	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Compliance	\square
The group and	4								
i ne genera	ator o	loes not have	any	meters.					
Issues				7-					
.									
None									
Recomme	ndat	ions							
None									
Managara	4 A	-4!							
Manageme	ent A	ctions							
Not applica	ble								

Item 376 Licence Clause 5.1	Compliance rating								
	Not Rated								
Electricity Industry Metering Code clause 5.16.									
A user that collects or receives energy data from a metering installation must provide the									
network operator with the energy data (in accordance with the communication rules)									
within the timeframes prescribed.	•								

Observati	ons								
Process	Ø	Outcome	V	Output	V	Reporting	V	Compliance	
The users	do no	ot collect ener	gy d	ata. The go	enera	ator does not l	have	any meters.	
Issues									
None									
Recomme	endat	ions							
None									
Managem	ent A	ctions							
Not applic	able								
		(0)			K	u I			
Item 377	Lice	nce Clause 5	.1		7	alter		Compliance rati	ng
A user mu estimated) the user is	st pro ener requ	gy data to the	data use actm	a and valid r's custom ent or an a	ated er, to gree	(and where note that in the contract that in the contract to do so	form	sary substituted of ation relates, who billing purposes o	ere
Observati		providing met	enng	services i	o trie	customer.			
Process		Outcome	\square	Output	V	Reporting		Compliance	
The gener	ator c	loes not have	any	meters.					
Issues			T	31		200			
None	۲		٦						
Recomme	endat	ions		1) (Ĭ				
None									
Managem	ent A	ctions							
Not applic	able		١,						
Item 378	Lice	nce Clause 5	.1					Compliance rati	ng
A user that of a meter	t colle ing po he sta	oint must prov	es inf ride t	ormation r he network	egar cope		pres	ne energisation st cribed informatio	
		Out-se-		Out to		Donoutin	F3	Compliant	
Process		Outcome	☑	Output	V	Reporting	\square	Compliance	
The gener	ator c	does not have	any	meters.					

Issues									
None									
Recomme	endat	ions							
None									
Managem	ent A	Actions							
Not applica	able								
Item 381	Lice	ence Clause 5.	1					Compliance ra	ting
		stry Metering C							
	-	ter becoming a erator of the ch		•	_	The state of the s		ibed attributes, r d.	notify
Observati		1 (3 3		-9		3:130		-99	
Process		Outcome	Ø	Output		Reporting	V	Compliance	
The gener	ator o	does not have	any	meters.		22			
Issues									
None			-	_					
Recomme	endat	ions	K	-					
None			1			f -			
Managem	ent A	Actions	١	1					
Not applica	able			7)					
		\		-	-				
Item 382	Lice	ence Clause 5.	1				Market State of State	Compliance ra	ting
		stry Metering C							
								mer's site must rol Centre of the	fact.
Observati	ons	•				•			
Process	V	Outcome	Ø	Output	V	Reporting	V	Compliance	
There are	no se	ensitive loads.							
Issues									
None									
Recomme	endat	ions							

None									
Managem	ent A	ctions							
Not applica	able								
Item 390	Lice	nce Clause 5.	.1					Compliance rat Not Rated	ing
A Code pa user and th	rticipa ne tes		eque tes t	est a mete o a time o	r test	or audit unle		e Code participar er was the curren	
Observati	ons								
Process	V	Outcome	V	Output	V	Reporting	V	Compliance	
The genera	ator c	loes not have	any	meters.	1				
Issues		(PEN		1			1	-00	
None		1		7					
Recomme	ndat	ions	N			7).6			
None		100	2			1100			
Managem	ent A	ctions							
Not applica	able		7	4					
			7	opie.					
Item 391	Lice	nce Clause 5.	.1	(3	S.			Compliance rat	ing
		etry Metering C					ic inc	onsistant with an	V
access arr	ange	ment or agree			auuii	request that	15 1110	onsistent with an	у
Observati	ons								
Process	Ø	Outcome	V	Output	V	Reporting	V	Compliance	
The genera	ator c	loes not have	any	meters.					
Issues									
None									
Recomme	ndat	ions							
None									
Managem	ent A	ctions							
Not applica	able								

Item 409	Lice	nce Clause 5.	.1					Compliance rating Not Rated	
Electricity	Indus	stry Metering C	Code	clause 5.2	27.				
Upon requ	est, a	a current user	mus	t provide th	ne ne	etwork operat	or wit	h customer attribute	,
information	n that	it reasonably	belie	eves are m	issir	g or incorrect	withi	n the timeframes	
prescribed									
Observati	ons								
Process	V	Outcome	Ø	Output	V	Reporting	V	Compliance C]
The gener	ator c	loes not have	any	meters.	•		•		
Issues									
None									
Recomme	ndat	ions			1				
None		5.1			5				
Managem	ent A	ctions		1					
Not applica	able			7	7				
		7).(7).6			
Item 416	Lice	nce Clause 5.	.1			7		Compliance rating Not Rated	
Electricity	Indus	stry Metering C	Code	clause 6.	1(2).				
A user mu	st in	relation to a n	etwo	ork on which	ch it	has an acces	s cor	ntract, comply with t	he
		es, agreement							

								Not Rated	
Electricity I	ndus	stry Metering	Code	clause 6.	1(2).				
A user mus	st in	relation to a	netwo	ork on whi	ch it	has an acces	s cor	ntract, comply w	ith the
		es, agreemen							
		, 0		10.					
Observation	ons								ļ
Process	\square	Outcome	1	Output		Reporting	V	Compliance	
FIOCESS		Outcome		Output		Reporting		Compliance	
The genera	ator c	does not have	any	meters.					
	_ \								
Issues									ļ
None				II Y			- 10		
Recomme	n d o t	iono							
Recomme	nuat	ions							
None									
Manageme	ent A	Actions							
Not applica	ble								

Item 420	Licence Clause 5	5.1						•	ance rati	ng
							No	t Rat	ed	
Electricity	Industry Metering	Code claus	se 7.2(4)							
A Code pa	rticipant must noti	fy its conta	ct details	to a r	networ	k oper	ator	with v	vhom it l	has

entered into an access contract within 3 business days after the network operator's request.

Observation	ons								
Process	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Outcome	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Output	V	Reporting	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Compliance	
						-	nd tl	here have been n	0
requests fo	r cor	ntact details fro	om tl	ne network	ope	rator.			
Issues									
None									
Recomme	ndat	ions							
None									
Manageme	ent A	ctions							
Not applica	ble	6			1				

Item 421	Lice		Compliance rating Not Rated						
Electricity	Indus	try Metering	Code	clause 7.	2(5)				
A Code pa	rticip	ant must notif	y any	affected	netw	ork operator of	of any	change to the	
contact de	tails i	t notified to th	e ne	twork oper	rator	at least 3 bus	iness	days before the	е
change tal	ces et	ffect	-			1			
Observati	ons			7			- 1		
Process	V	Outcomo	V	Output	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Poporting	V	Compliance	
FIUCESS		Outcome		Output		Reporting		Compliance	-
The licens	ees' (contractor's c	ontac	t details h	ave r	not changed.			
Issues				37		200			
None	$\overline{}$								
Recomme	ndat	ions		D					
None									
Managem	ent A	ctions							
Not applica	able								

Compliance rating Compliant - 5	Item 422		Compliance rating Compliant - 5
---------------------------------	----------	--	---------------------------------

Electricity Industry Metering Code clause 7.5

A Code participant must not disclose, or permit the disclosure of, confidential information provided to it under or in connection with the Code and may only use or reproduce confidential information for the purpose for which it was disclosed or another purpose contemplated by the Code.

Process ☑ Outcome ☑ Output ☑ Reporting ☑ Compliance	
	V
No complaints have risen about disclosure of confidential information.	
Issues	
None	
Recommendations	
None	
Management Actions	
Not applicable	
7-a	
Item 423 Licence Clause 5.1 Compliance ratin Not Rated	g
A Code participant must disclose or permit the disclosure of confidential information to is required to be disclosed by the Code. Observations	nat
Process ☑ Outcome ☑ Output ☑ Reporting ☑ Compliance	
No information has been required to be disclosed.	
Issues	
None	
Recommendations	
None	
Management Actions	
Not applicable	
	g
Item 427 Licence Clause 5.1 Compliance rating Not Rated	
Item 427 Licence Clause 5.1 Compliance ration Not Rated Electricity Industry Metering Code clause 8.1(4) If the dispute is resolved by representative negotiations, senior management negotiations or CEO negotiations, the disputing parties must prepare a written and signed record of the resolution and adhere to the resolution. Observations	
Electricity Industry Metering Code clause 8.1(4) If the dispute is resolved by representative negotiations, senior management negotiations or CEO negotiations, the disputing parties must prepare a written and signed record of the resolution and adhere to the resolution.	

Issues											
None	None										
Recommendations											
None											
Management Actions											
Not applicable											
Metering	Code	Obligations	Тур	e NR Ger	nera	tion					
Item 349	Lice	nce Clause 5	.1		<	u T			Compliance ra	ating	
A network most appro	Electricity Industry Metering Code clause 4.4(1) A network operator and affected Code participants must liaise together to determine the most appropriate way to resolve a discrepancy between energy data held in a metering										
installation Observati		data held in th	ne m	etering dat	abas	se.	1 63				
Process	<u> </u>	Outcome	d	Output	V	Re	porting		Compliance		
The network operator has both the metering installation and the metering database so the licensee would not be aware of any issues with energy data											
Issues											
None			7	up.							
Recomme	ndat	ione	Ŋ.	(3.7)			9				
	iluat	10115			d						
None				1							
Managem		ctions				N					
Not applica	able										
			`								
Item 350		nce Clause 5							Compliance ra	ating	
Electricity Industry Metering Code clause 4.5(1) A Code participant must not knowingly permit the registry to be materially inaccurate.											
Observations											
Process	V	Outcome	V	Output	Ø	Re	porting	\square	Compliance	Ø	
The gener	ator c	loes not have	any	meters.	1	1		1	1	l	
Issues											
None											

Recommendations	S						
None							
Management Action	ons						
Not applicable							
Item 363 Licence		Compliance ra Compliant - 5	ting				
A user must, when endeavours to assis obligation. Meters h provided by the lice Observations	reasonably st the netwo ave to be re	requested bork operator ead at least	y a ne to con once	nply with the i per year and i	netwo	ork operator's	been
		T Outre		December		0	
Process 🗹 Ou	utcome	✓ Output		Reporting	V	Compliance	V
Meters are read ren	notely (ever	ry half hour)	and m	nanually daily			
Issues	D1 6			DI B			
None	220	1115		ZA			
Recommendations	S						
None		/					
Management Action	ons	1					
Not applicable		127		7			
		1					
Item 379 Licence	Clause 5.1	2)				Compliance ra	ting
Electricity Industry I	Metering Co	ode clause s	5.19(1)		THOU HAIDA O	
A user must, when electricity industry p customers, if any, the	oractice, use nat assists t	e reasonable	ende	avours to col	lect ir	formation from	
in the Code and els Observations	ewhere.						
Process 🗹 Ou	utcome	✓ Output	V	Reporting	V	Compliance	V
There has been no	request to a	collect custo	mer ir	 nformation Th	 ne net	work operator	
(Western Power) ha							see.
Issues							
None							

Recommendations										
None										
Management Actions										
Not applicable										
Item 380 Licence Clause 5.1 Compliance rating Not Rated										
Electricity Industry Metering Code clause 5.19(2) A user must, to the extent that it is able, collect and maintain a record of the address, site and customer attributes, prescribed in relation to the site of each connection point, with which the user is associated.										
Observations										
Process ☑ Outcome ☑ Output ☑ Reporting ☑ Compliance ☑										
The generator does not have any meters. There are no customers (consumers of energy), the energy is sold to the retailer - Synergy. The only connection point is with the Western Power network.										
Issues										
None										
Recommendations										
None										
Management Actions										
Not applicable										
THE THE PERSON OF THE PERSON O										
Item 384 Licence Clause 5.1 Compliance rating										
Electricity Industry Metering Code clause 5.19(6) A user must use reasonable endeavours to ensure that it does notify the network operator of a change in an attribute that results from the provision of standing data by the network operator to the user. Observations										
Process ☑ Outcome ☑ Output ☑ Reporting ☑ Compliance □										
The generator does not have any meters.										
Issues										
None										
Recommendations										
None										

Managem	ent A	ctions							
Not applica	able								
Item 418	Compliance ra Compliant - 5	ting							
Code parti receive a r network op Code.	cipan notice perato	by post, facs	easo imile	nable ende and elect	eavo ronic	communicati	on ar	they can send a nd must notify th n connection with	е
Observati	ons								
Process	V	Outcome	V	Output	V	Reporting	V	Compliance	V
The netwo	rk op	erator and ge	nera	tor have th	ne cu	rrent contact	detail	s.	
Issues		114			7	april 1			
None		1 (2) 3	8		1	(3		20	
Recomme	ndat	ions	3			DI BY			
None		RA		100		RAS			
Managem	ent A	ctions		V					
Not applica	able)						
			1						
Item 424	Lice	nce Clause 5	.1	(E.)	2	20		Compliance ra	ting
Represent given by a	atives dispu der o th.	uting party to t	parti the c	es must m ther dispu	eet v	parties and at	temp	ays after a notic t to resolve the Code by negoti	
		10.1				l D		l o:	
Process	✓	Outcome		Output	☑	Reporting	\square	Compliance	
There have	e bee	n no disputes							
Issues									
None									
Recomme	ndat	ions							
None									
Management Actions									
Not applica	able								

Item 425	Licence Clause 5.1 Compliance rating Not Rated											
Electricity Industry Metering Code clause 8.1(2) If a dispute is not resolved within 10 business days after the dispute is referred to representative negotiations, the disputing parties must refer the dispute to a senior management officer of each disputing party who must meet and attempt to resolve the dispute by negotiations in good faith.												
Observations												
Process	☑ Outcome ☑ Output ☑ Reporting ☑ Compliance											
There have	e bee	en no metering	j dis	putes.								
Issues					1							
None		Prop. L)	agree						
Recomme	ndati	ions				E- 1	1	-93				
None												
Management Actions												
Not applicable												
Item 426		nce Clause 5.	Ъ.					Compliance rating	ng			
If the dispusenior man senior executive dispute	Electricity Industry Metering Code clause 8.1(3) If the dispute is not resolved within 10 business days after the dispute is referred to senior management negotiations, the disputing parties must refer the dispute to the senior executive officer of each disputing party who must meet and attempt to resolve the dispute by negotiations in good faith.											
Observation	ons			14	20							
Process	V	Outcome	K	Output	Ø	Reporting	<u>S</u>	Compliance				
There have	e bee	en no metering	j dis	putes.								
Issues												
None												
Recommendations												
None												
Manageme	ent A	ctions										
Not applica	ble											

Item 428	Not Rated											
Electricity Industry Metering Code clause 8.3(2)												
The disputing parties must at all times conduct themselves in a manner which is directed												
towards achieving the objective of dispute resolution with as little formality and												
,			•			•	f Pa	rt 8 of the Code a	nd a			
		and determina	tion	of the disp	ute,	permit.						
Observations												
Process	V	Outcome	V	Output	V	Reporting	V	Compliance				
There have	bee	n no metering	disp	outes.			•					
Issues												
None												
Recomme	ndati	ions			K.	a 7						
None												
Management Actions												
Not applica	ble	316	3			11 63						

Appendix II Asset management system review results and recommendations

Asset Pla	nnin	g		Effectiveness rating						
							-No	ot Rated		
1. Asset p	lanni	ng								
Asset planning strategies are focused on meeting customer needs in the most effective and efficient manner (delivering the right service at the right price).										
Observations										
Process	V	Documentation	×	Availability	V	Use		Ø		
The licens this site. T then when operations	Asset Planning Process/Plan and its currency The licensee is a special entity established to operate and maintain generation plant at this site. Their owners make the decisions about asset planning and asset creation and then when the decision is made to proceed, entities are established for the specific asset operational matters. This licensee and the related licensee at Neerabup (NewGen Power Neerabup Pty Ltd) are examples.									
There is a documented whole of life asset plan for the licensed assets. There is no formal strategic asset plan covering the broader or strategic elements by the Licensee. The owners make the decisions if and when to invest and will make the disposal decisions. The owners have a Business Development team (in Brisbane) to carry out these functions.										
Allocation of responsibilities / statutory obligations The organisational arrangements allocate responsibilities. There is documentation (such as the owners (ERM Power Pty Ltd) policy manual) requiring compliance with statutory obligations.										
Issues				DAGE		8				
maintenar	nce a		ann	ing). Other th				elements, (operations, verview, the elements		
strategic e these fund	The owning bodies such as ERM Power Pty Ltd in Australia carry out the long term and strategic elements of an asset management system. The licensee does not carry out these functions leaving them to the owners. There has been no asset planning activity in the review period.									
Recomme	enda	tion								
None -The bodies.	e lice	nsee does not ca	rry o	ut asset plan	ning	as it is	carr	ied out by the owning		
Rating										
Not Rated										

Asset Crea	atio	n		-Not Rated						
2 Asset cre	eatio	on and acquisition								
Asset creation/acquisition means the provision or improvement of an asset where the outlay can be expected to provide benefits beyond the year of outlay.										
Observations										
Process										
Policies and procedures for asset creation / sample creation activities Procurement of major electricity plant is a very significant exercise taking considerable time. There has been no asset creation of the generation plant in the audit period. The responsibility for asset creation belongs with the owners and not the licensee. The owners have a Business Development team to carry out these functions. There are documented procedures for capital developments on the site. Meeting statutory obligations There are documents requiring employees and contractors to meet statutory obligations. The asset creation processes are appropriate.										
Issues	Issues									
The owning bodies such as ERM Power Pty Ltd in Australia carry out the long term and strategic elements of an asset management system. The licensee does not carry out these functions leaving them to the owners. There has been no asset creation activity in the review period.										
Recommendation										
None - The bodies	e lice	ensee does not ca	ırry c	out asset crea	ation	as it is	carried out by the owning			
Rating	1			7).						
Not Rated				15-17	1					
							/			
Asset Disp	posa	al					Effectiveness rating -Not Rated			
3. Asset dis	spos	sal								
Effective asset disposal frameworks incorporate consideration of alternatives for the disposal of surplus, obsolete, under-performing or unserviceable assets. Alternatives are evaluated in cost-benefit terms.										
Observations										
Process	V	Documentation	V	Availability	V	Use	Ø			
Policies and procedures for asset disposal / sample disposal activities There is no disposal action in the audit period. The responsibility for asset disposal belongs with the owners and not the licensee. The owners have a Business										

Development team to carry out these functions. The owners have commitments with their financiers about disposal of significant assets.

Meeting statutory obligations

There are documented obligations of the licensee's employees to comply with statutory obligations.

Issues

The owning bodies such as ERM Power Pty Ltd in Australia carry out the long term and strategic elements of an asset management system. The licensee does not carry out these functions leaving them to the owners. There has been no asset disposal activity in the review period.

Recommendation

None - The licensee does not carry out asset disposal as it is carried out by the owning bodies..

Rating

Not Rated

Environmental analysis

Effectiveness rating Planned and tracked - 2

4. Environmental analysis

Environmental analysis examines the asset system environment and assesses all external factors affecting the asset system.

Observations

 Process
 ☑
 Documentation
 ☑
 Availability
 ☑
 Use

Standards / monitoring / reporting / breaches

The licensee has environmental management policies. Reporting and monitoring tools are appropriate.

The Licensee has air and water discharge environmental licences.

The owners have the responsibility for market surveillance for expansion opportunities or threats. The owners have a Business Development team to carry out these functions. The licensee has in its whole of life asset plan, gas sharing with the Neerabup plant and operational strategies for differing gas availability of this plant for 30 years.

The principal external threats are gas availability. The customer has some capacity to allocate gas but otherwise there is no alternative but to constrain operation to the level of gas available. There are no major spares holdings for critical plant failures such as a generator transformer. Critical minor spares are held.

Issues

There are no environmental breaches reported.

There are no contingency plans for back up generation to minimise outages.

Recommendation	Recommendation									
None										
Rating										
Planned and tracked - 2										
Asset operations	Effectiveness rating Well defined - 3									
5. Asset operations										
Operations functions relate to the day-to-day running of assets levels and costs.	s and directly affect service									
Observations										
Process ☑ Documentation ☑ Availability ☑ Use										
Policies and procedures for asset operation / sample activities The generation assets are a combined cycle gas turbine with heat recovery and duct burning peaking capability. The plant is constructed to appropriate standards. The operational policies are well documented but security could be improved by issue of PDF documents to operational staff instead of MS Word documents and implementing control management procedures. The earthing does not allow the required step and touch voltages to be within safe levels if the earthing is disconnected from the adjacent Verve and Water Authority earthing systems. A potentially unsafe situation could arise if the earthing systems are disconnected (which is unlikely) while continuing to generate. The asset register is part of the contractor's maintenance IT system. Training/ resources / exceptions The licensee operates the plant. The resourcing is appropriate and ongoing training is evident as are the operating procedures and practices. Plant operation and related maintenance appear to take due allowance of any exceptions in the licensed plant.										
The court energation is appropriate for the duty.										
The asset operation is appropriate for the duty. The earthing does not allow the required step and touch voltages to be within safe levels if the earthing is disconnected from the adjacent Verve and Water Authority earthing systems. A potentially unsafe situation could arise if the earthing nets are disconnected (which is unlikely) while continuing to generate.										
Recommendation										
A procedure (or interlocks) is implemented to ensure that the purpose when the adjacent earthing systems are disconnected.	olant does not operate									
Rating										
Well defined - 3										

Asset Maintenance

Well defined - 3									
6. Asset maintenance									
Maintenance functions relate to the upkeep of assets and directly affect service levels and costs.									
Observations									
Process ☑ Documentation ☑ Availability ☑ Use ☑									
Policies and procedures for asset maintenance / sample activities Maintenance is controlled by an IT system that coordinates tasks, incorporates condition, risk, breakdown and time based maintenance. Maintenance jobs are standardised which gives a quality and safety assurance and change management where by changing the standard job specification the work process is changed. Spare parts required for standard jobs and inventories are being developed as part of the system.									
The asset plan for operations, maintenance and contingencies contains performance measures.									
Training / resources / exceptions Maintenance is scheduled well into the future and these actions appear appropriate for the type of equipment. The resourcing is appropriate and ongoing training is evident as are the operating procedures and practices. High Voltage training occurs. Plant maintenance appears to take due allowance of any exceptions in the licensed plant.									
Issues									
The maintenance is appropriate for the duty required.									
Recommendation									
None.									
Rating									
Well defined - 3									
Asset Management Information System Effectiveness rating Well defined - 3									
7. Asset Management Information System (MIS)									
An asset management information system is a combination of processes, data and software that support the asset management functions.									
Observations									
Process ☑ Documentation ☑ Availability ☑ Use ☑									
Policies and procedures The licensee has a competent asset management information system with a number of									

Effectiveness rating

elements.

It has a dedicated maintenance management database (MEX) to control a complex list of items. The maintenance system links project management to scheduled tasks to standard work plans (assisting with safety and change management), asset register and parts inventory is being developed. Documentation is appropriate. The system is intuitive with a more operational focus and less database style mode of use.

The operational policies are well documented but security could be improved by issue of PDF documents to operational staff instead of MS Word documents and implementing control management procedures.

Access to write to the database is controlled (passwords) and changes are tracked. There is good documentation for data recovery procedures which include operating on the local server. Backup at other remote servers would improve data integrity.

Exceptions

The reliability of the plant is evidence of good maintenances practices and that exceptions are being followed up.

Issues

The operational policies are well documented but security could be improved by issue of PDF documents to operational staff instead of MS Word documents and implementing control management procedures.

Access to write to the database is controlled (passwords) and changes are tracked. There is good documentation for data recovery procedures which include operating on the local server. Backup at other remote servers would improve data integrity.

Recommendation

Improve security of operational procedures documentation and implement remote backup of database.

Rating

Well defined 3

Risk mana	agen	nent		ectiveness rating nned and tracked- 2							
8. Risk management											
	Risk management involves the identification of risks and their management within an acceptable level of risk.										
Observati	ons										
Process	V	Documentation	V	Availability	V	Use	M				
Policies and procedures While there is evidence that risk based approaches being carried out particularly as it											

procedures but this does not appear to have been rolled out and implemented at the site.

affects security of the plant. There is good documentation of risk management

Training

There is evidence of training and awareness by staff of risk based approaches particularly in approaches to tasks where JSA (Job Safety Analysis) are prepared for all work.

Issues

There is good documentation of risk management procedures but this does not appear to have been rolled out and implemented at the site. The risk management procedures as documented should be implemented.

Recommendation

Implement the risk management policy as documented.

Rating

Planned and Tracked- 2

Continger	ісу р	olanning		Effectiveness rating Planned and tracked - 2						
9. Contingency planning										
Contingen	Contingency plans document the steps to deal with the unexpected failure of an asset.									
Observati	Observations									
Process	V	Documentation	V	Availability	V	Use				
Dayslanment of contingency plans / gurrangy										

Development of contingency plans / currency

There are no documented strategic contingency plans as a result of there being no implemented risk management plans.

The Licensee has detailed maintenance scheduled out for several years, with minor and major shutdowns allowed to deal with potential issues.

The maintenance regime is geared to keeping the plant operational without forced outages.

Testing of contingency plans

The licensee tests safety systems routinely.

Issues

There are no documented strategic contingency plans as a result of there being no implemented risk management plans.

Recommendation

Develop documented contingency plans responding to the risk assessments.

Rating

Planned and tracked - 2

Financial planning	Effectiveness rating Well defined - 3	
10. Financial planning		
The financial planning component of the asset management plan brings together the financial elements of the service delivery to ensure its financial viability over the long term.		
Observations		
Process ☑ Documentation ☑ Availability ☑	Use ☑	
Financial planning process / plans The Licensee has financial plans, budgeting and monitoring processes. These are on an annual basis and upgraded year by year. The owners provide guidance and approve the licensee's budget and then monitor financial progress. The licensee's managers are responsible for reporting actual versus budget. Revenue is retained for maintenance and capital expenditure where that is within		
the retained funds capacity.		
Issues		
None		
Recommendation		
None		
Rating		
Well defined - rating 3		
Capital expenditure planning	Effectiveness rating Well defined - 3	
11. Capital expenditure planning		
The capital expenditure plan provides a schedule of new works, rehabilitation and replacement works, together with estimated annual expenditure on each over the next five or more years.		
Since capital investments tend to be large and lumpy, projections would normally be expected to cover at least 10 years, preferably longer. Projections over the next five years would usually be based on firm estimates.		
Observations		
Process ☑ Documentation ☑ Availability ☑	Use 🗹	
Capital expenditure process / plans The Licensee has financial plans, budgeting and monitoring processes. There are capital expenditure plan for a rolling 5 years.		
Revenue is retained for capital expenditure where that is within the retained funds		

capacity. Only at a point where equity is required to be injected/funded from the owners will that occur. There has been no budget for any equity injection/funding.		
Issues		
None.		
Recommendation		
None		
Rating		
Well defined - rating 3		
Review of AMS	Effectiveness rating Performed informally - 1	
12. Review of AMS		
The asset management system is regularly reviewed and updated.		
Observations		
Process 🗵 Documentation 🗷 Availability 🗷 Us	se 🗷	
As a supplier of electricity the service delivery is heavily asset based and needs an AMS. There is ongoing review of asset issues relating to operations, maintenance and contingencies.		
Issues		
Strategic asset planning is carried out by the owning companies and they carry out continuous review of the process. There is a division of an asset management system where the owners carry out the strategic asset planning and the licensee caries out the whole of plant life functions including shorter term and operational functions. It would not be appropriate to require the licensed entities to carry out these functions when they are already carried out by the owning companies.		
Recommendation		
None - There is no business case for carrying out asset review when it is carried out by the owning bodies.		
Rating		
Performed informally rating 1		