



2019 Performance Audit & Asset Management System Review - EGL1

Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture

Audit Report	Authorisation	Name	Position,	Date,
			Principal	
Prepared By		Nicole Davies	Consultant, (GES	4/10/2019
			Pty Ltd)	
Reviewed By			Risk &	
(licensee)		Naomi Donohue	Compliance	25/10/19
(licerisee)			Manager (APA)	

Geographe Environmental Services Pty Ltd PO Box 572 DUNSBOROUGH WA 6281

Tel: 0438 938 394

August 2019

Audit Report No: EDRFAR092019_4

Contents

1.	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY,	5
1.1	Performance Audit Summary of Findings	7
1.2	Performance Audit Excluded Conditions	7
1.3	Asset Management System Review Summary	12
2.	PERFORMANCE AUDIT	16
2.1	Performance Audit Scope	16
2.2	Recommendations and Action Plans	18
3.	Asset Management System Effectiveness Review	20
3.1	AMS Review Scope	20
3.2	Objective of the Asset Management System Review	26
3.3	Methodology for Asset Management System Review	26
3.4	Asset Management System Effectiveness Rating	26
3.5	Deviations from the Review Plan	28
3.6	Follow Up Review Process	28
3.7	Follow-Up from Previous Review Findings	28
3.8	Asset Management System Recommendations and Action Plans	31
3.9	2019 Review Asset System Deficiencies/Recommendations	31
APPEN	DIX 1	32
ADDEN	IDIV 2	55

List Of Tables

Table 1 Performance Audit Excluded Conditions	7
Table 2 Audit Compliant and Control Rating Scales	8
Table 3 Performance Audit Compliance Summary	9
Table 4 Compliance and controls ratings summary table	11
Table 5 Asset Management System: Effectiveness Summary	12
Table 6 Previous Audit Non-compliances and Recommendations	16
Table 7 Current audit non-compliances and recommendations	18
Table 9 Key Reference Documents	21
Table 10 Asset Management Process and Policy Definition Adequacy Ratings	27
Table 11 Asset Management Performance Ratings	27
Table 12 Ineffective components recommendations, previous Review Implementation Plan	29
Table 13 Current Review Asset System Deficiencies / Recommendations	31
Table 14 Performance Audit	33
Table 15 Effectiveness Criteria Descriptors	57
Table 16 Audit Review Ratings and Recommendations	61
Table 17 Effectiveness Criteria Pre-audit Review	111

GLOSSARY

Abbreviation	Description
AEMO	Australian Energy Market Operator. The national market operator that succeeded IMO.
AFA	Arena Funding Agreement
AFE	Approval for expenditure process
AMP	Asset Management Plan
AMS	Asset Management System
ВоР	Balance of Plant
CA	Connection Agreement
CCTV	Closed circuit TV
CMP	Compliance management program
СТ	Current transformer
DSOC	Declared Sent Out Capacity
EDRF	Emu Downs Renewable Facility
EDSF	Emu Downs Solar Farm
EDWF	Emu Downs Wind Farm
ERA	Economic Regulation Authority
FY	Financial year
GES	Geographe Environmental Services
GWh	GigaWattHour
IT	Information Technology
kV	KiloVolt
LTI	Lost time injuries
MW	MegaWatt
N-1	Capacity to meet requirements with one unit out of service
NGER	National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting
O&M	Operations and Maintenance
OEM	Original equipment manufacturers
OSH	Occupational Safety and Health
P&L	Profit and loss
PLC	Programmable logic controller
PV	Photovoltaic
RCA	Root cause analysis
SAP	Business management database system
SVC	Static VAr Compensator
SWIN	South West Interconnected Network
SWIS	South West Interconnected System (Network and generators)
SWOT	Strength, weakness, opportunities and threats
THD	Total harmonic distortion
UGL	UGL Engineering Pty Ltd. EDSF installer and O&M Contractor
UPS	Uninterruptable power supply
Vestas	EDWF OEM and O&M contractor.
WEM	Wholesale Electricity Market
WFS	Wind forecasting system
WP	Western Power
WTG	Wind Turbine Generator

This report is prepared by representatives of GES Pty Ltd in relation to the above named client's conformance to the nominated audit standard(s). Audits are undertaken using a sampling process and the report and its recommendations are reflective only of activities and records sighted during this audit process. GES Pty Ltd shall not be liable for loss or damage caused to or actions taken by third parties as a consequence of reliance on the information contained within this report or its accompanying documentation.

Quality Control Record

	CLIENT	DATE
REQUESTED BY	NAOMI DONOHUE	APRIL 2019
PREPARED BY	NICOLE DAVIES	OCTOBER 2019
CHECKED BY	SIMON ASHBY	SEPTEMBER 2019
REVISION	4	24 OCTOBER 2019



1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY,

The Licensee is EDWF Holdings 1 Pty Ltd & EDWF Holdings 2 Pty Ltd (trading as Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture). Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture holds the Generation Licence (EGL1).

Asset Overview

The Emu Downs Joint Venture is equally owned by EDWF Holdings 1 Pty Ltd and EDWF Holdings 2 Pty Ltd, which in turn are owned by the APA Group. EDWF Manager Pty Ltd is a company owned by the APA Group and tasked with managing the ongoing operations of the Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture.

The Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture is located approximately 200km north of Perth and includes the Emu Downs Wind Farm (EDWF) and the Emu Downs Solar Farm (EDSF). The EDWF is made up of 48 Vestas V82 Wind Turbine Generators (WTGs) with a total rating of 79.2MW. The EDSF is made up 8 SMA Inverters with a total rating of 20MW. The Declared Sent Out Capacity (DSOC) for the EDWF is 80MW. The Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture 132/22kV substation is connected to the South West Interconnected Network (SWIN) via two 132kV transmission lines.

The Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture exports all power generated into the SWIN. A Connection Agreement (CA) and Network Access Agreement (NAA) between the Western Power and EDWF Holdings 1 Pty Ltd and EDWF Holdings 2 Pty Ltd sets out the terms of the Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture's connection to the SWIN.

Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture main and check bi-directional tariff metering equipment is provided by Western Power and located at the two 132kV connection points in the 132/22kV substation. These meters record the combined generation from the EDWF and EDSF. Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture exports between 280 to 320 GWh of energy per year.

The EDRF was commissioned in June 2006 with 48 turbines (each with 1.65 MW generating capacity), a substation, interconnection to the main 132 kV grid, an administration/stores building and a network of access roads. EDSF consisting of two sets of 4 x 2.5MW PV arrays was added in 2018, during the reporting period, to the generation but the DSOC remains at 80MW.

The power station is operated and maintained on long term contract by sub-contractors, Vestas and UGL.

Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture has issued a Consultancy Brief to undertake its fifth Performance Audit and Asset Management System Review as required by the Economic Regulation Authority (the Authority). Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture holds a Generation Licence (Licence Number EGL1) under the Electricity Industry Act 2004.



Sections 13 and 14 of the Electricity Industry Act 2004 require as a condition of every licence that the licensee must, not less than once in every period of 24 months (or any longer period that the Authority allows) calculated from the grant of the licence, provide the Authority with a performance audit and a asset management system review report by an independent expert acceptable to the Authority.

Geographe Environmental Services has been approved by the Authority (Ref: D202338/ 27th May 2019) to undertake the works subject to development of an audit plan.

The period for the audit and review is 1st July 2015 to 30th June 2019 and the report is due to be submitted to the Authority on or before 30th September 2019. An extension has been sought to allow for unscheduled delays.

It is confirmed that the licensee facilitated the audit and reviewed process by providing the audit team;

- Access to the facilities and business premises identified in the audit and review plan.
- Access to materials and information sources that the auditor needs to conduct the audit or review, including data, reports, records and any other relevant information.
- Access to the relevant personnel at each of the Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture sites that were audited.
- An introduction to persons, other than employees of the licensee, who are relevant to the audit and review, such as site contractors.

Performance Audit and Asset Management Review Objectives

The Asset Management System Review and the Performance Audit have been conducted in order to assess the effectiveness of the Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture's Asset Management Systems and level of compliance with the conditions of its Generation Licence EGL1. Through the execution of the Audit Plan, field work, assessment and testing of the control environment, the information system, control procedures and compliance attitude, the audit team members have gained reasonable assurance that Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture had an effective asset management system and has complied with its Generation Licence, with the exception of obligations 105 and 124 relating to payment of licence fees and reporting of compliance requirements. It is the auditors' opinion that the omission of the reporting of the late payment of fees in the annual compliance report was due to administrative procedures. Compliance and integrity of reporting by the Licensee is considered well managed and is comprehensively detailed in the Compliance Manual for the Authority and other statutory organisations. Issues arising from late payment of fees and reporting of compliance requirements have been addressed by the Licensee proactively by the provision of resources and increased operational control.

The Licensee has implemented the recommendations of the previous audit report, the effectiveness of the actions is evident in the compliance history during the audit period (refer Table 3). Implementation of corrective actions were confirmed, tested and have been regularly reviewed during the audit period.



The site audit was conducted on the 30th and 31st of July 2019 and this audit report is an accurate representation of the audit team's findings and opinions. The Auditors confirm that the Licensee provided full access as required by the Audit Guidelines (2019), in respect to; access to facilities and business premise, access to data, reports, minutes, documentation, correspondence and process control data. Additionally, the Licensee ensured the appropriate personnel were available and provided information where possible as requested for external persons relevant to the audit process.

Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture have established a sound control environment, the management philosophy and operating style (incorporating the decision compass and STARS culture i.e Safe, Trustworthy, Adaptable, Results, Service), organisational structure, assignment of authority and responsibilities, the use of internal audit, the use of information technology and the skills and experience of the relevant staff members were tested and verified during the audit process.

1.1 Performance Audit Summary of Findings

The majority of licence obligations reviewed were found to be compliant during the audit, with the exception of Electricity Compliance Reporting Manual Ref 105 and 124 (refer Appendix 1 for further details). The organisation has established processes and provided resources to ensure compliance requirements are met.

A two-dimensional rating scale (refer Section 5.1.6.1 of the Audit Guidelines) was used in the Audit report to summarise the compliance rating for each licence condition. Each obligation was rated for both the adequacy of existing controls and the compliance with the relevant licence obligation. The methodology for the Audit has been clearly defined in the Audit Plan.

A comprehensive report of the audit findings is included in Appendix 1.

1.2 Performance Audit Excluded Conditions

Some of the reporting obligations for EGL1 have been excluded from the audit because they are not applicable to Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture. There are no Type 1 reporting requirements applicable to EGL1. Excluded compliance obligations are detailed in Table 1 below;

Table 1 Performance Audit Excluded Conditions

Compliance Manual Reference	Legislative Reference	Reason For Exclusion
120	Electricity Industry Act section 11 Generation Licence, condition 5.2.4	Individual performance standards have not been prescribed by the Authority.
401	Electricity Industry Metering Code clause 5.16	The Network Operator collects the energy data.



	Generation Licence, condition 4.1.1	
405	Electricity Industry Metering Code clause 5.18 Generation Licence, condition 4.1.1	The network operator has access to their own tariff meters
407- 408	Electricity Industry Metering Code clause 5.19(2) & (3) Generation Licence, condition 4.1.1	The connection point is with the network operator.
	Section 18	This section is not applicable to Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture as there have been no specific conditions and obligations attached to the generation licence.

The performance audit was conducted in a period over July to August involving one visit to site. The audit required 90 hours of Nicole Davies time.

Table 2 Audit Compliant and Control Rating Scales

Performance Audit Compliance & Controls Rating Scales						
Adequa	cy of Controls Rating	Compliance Rating				
Rating	Description	Rating	Description			
Α	Adequate controls – no improvement needed	1	Compliant			
В	Generally adequate controls – improvement needed	2	Non-Compliant – minor impact on customers or third parties			
С	Inadequate controls – significant improvement needed	3	Non-Compliant – moderate impact on customers or third parties			
D	No controls evident	4	Non-Compliant – major impact on customers or third parties			
NP	Not Performed	NR	Not rated – Determined Not Applicable during the audit period			

The Generation Licence compliance elements that were included in the scope of this audit because they are defined in Table 3, are further detailed in Appendix 1.



Table 3 Performance Audit Compliance Summary

Compliance Obligation	Licence Reference	Audit Priority	Adec	uacy of	Contro	ols Ra	ting	Comp	Compliance Rating				
Reference		Filolity					ND						
No.			Α	В	С	D	NP	1	2	3	4	NR	
	□ TYPE 1 REPORTING REQUIREMENTS	<u> </u>											
	NO TYPE 1 REPORTING REQUIREME		ICABL	E TO EG	GL1								
	: ELECTRICITY INDUSTRY ACT - LICE					OITA	NS						
101	Electricity Industry Act section 13(1)	5	Α					1					
	Generation Licence condition 5.3.1												
102	Electricity Industry Act section	5	Α					1					
	14(1)(a)												
	Generation Licence condition 5.1.1												
103	Electricity Industry Act section	4	Α					1					
	14(1)(b)												
	Generation Licence condition 5.1.2												
	& 5.1.3												
104	Electricity Industry Act section	5	Α					1					
	14(1)(c)												
	Generation Licence condition 5.1.4												
105	Electricity Industry Act section 17(1)	4		В					2				
	Generation Licence condition 4.2.1												
106	Electricity Industry Act section 31(3)	5	Α					1					
	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1												
107	Electricity Industry Act section 41(6)	4	Α					1					
	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1												
SECTION 12:	ELECTRICITY LICENCES - LICENCE	CONDITIO	ONS AN	ID OBLI	GATIC	NS		<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
119	Electricity Industry Act section 11	4	Α					1					
	Generation Licence condition 4.3.1												
121	Electricity Industry Act section 11	4	Α					1					
	Generation Licence condition 5.3.2												
122	Electricity Industry Act section 11	4	Α					1					
	Generation Licence condition 5.1.5												
123	Electricity Industry Act section 11	4	Α									NR	
	Generation Licence condition 4.4.1												
124	Electricity Industry Act section 11	4		В					2				
	Generation Licence condition 4.5.1												
125	Electricity Industry Act section 11	4	Α									NR	
	Generation Licence condition 3.8.1												
	& 3.8.2												
126	Electricity Industry Act section 11	4	Α					1					
	Generation Licence condition 3.7.1												



	14: ELECTRICITY INDUSTRY METERIN	1	- LICLINGE GO	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	IGATIONS	A I F
324	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1	4		NP		NF
	Electricity Industry Metering Code,					
	clause 3.3B					
339	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1	4	A			NF
	Electricity Industry Metering Code					
	Cl 3.27					
364	Electricity Industry Metering Code	4		NP		NF
	Cl 3.27					
	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1					
371	Electricity Industry Metering Code	5		NP		NF
	CI 4.4(1)					
	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1					
372	Electricity Industry Metering Code	5		NP		NF
	CI 4.5(1)					
	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1					
373	Electricity Industry Metering Code	4		NP NP		NF
	CI 4.5(2)					
	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1					
388	Electricity Industry Metering Code	5		NP		NF
	CI 5.4(2)					
	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1					
402	Electricity Industry Metering Code	4		NP		NF
	CI 5.17(1)					
	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1					
406	Electricity Industry Metering Code	5		NP		NF
	CI 5.19(1)					
	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1					
410	Electricity Industry Metering Code	5		NP		NF
	CI 5.19(6)					
	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1					
416	Electricity Industry Metering Code	4		NP NP		NF
	CI 5.21(5)					
	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1					
417	Electricity Industry Metering Code	4		NP		NF
	CI 5.21(6)					
	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1					
435	Electricity Industry Metering Code	4		NP		NF
	CI 5.27					
	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1					
448	Electricity Industry Metering Code	4		NP	1	
	CI 6.1(2)					
	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1					
451	Electricity Industry Metering Code	5	Α		1	
	CI 7.2(1)					
	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1					



453	Electricity Industry Metering Code CI 7.2(4)	4	NP NP	NR NR
	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1			
454	Electricity Industry Metering Code Cl 7.2(5)	4	NP	NR
	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1			
455	Electricity Industry Metering Code Cl 7.5	4	NP	NR
	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1			
456	Electricity Industry Metering Code Cl 7.6(1)	4	NP	NR
	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1			
457	Electricity Industry Metering Code	5	NP NP	NR
	Cl 8.1(1) Generation Licence condition 4.1.1			
458	Electricity Industry Metering Code	5	NP NP	NR
	Cl 8.1(2) Generation Licence condition 4.1.1			
459	Electricity Industry Metering Code	5	NP NP	NR.
409	Cl 8.1(3)	5	INF	INK
	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1			
460	Electricity Industry Metering Code	4	NP NP	NR
	CI 8.1(4)			
	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1			
461	Electricity Industry Metering Code	5	NP	NR
	CI 8.3(2)			
	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1			

SECTION 16: ELECTRICITY LICENCES - LICENSEE SPECIFIC CONDITIONS AND OBLIGATIONS

THIS SECTION IS NOT APPLICABLE TO EMU DOWNS WIND FARM JOINT VENTURE AS THERE HAVE BEEN NO SPECIFIC CONDITIONS AND OBLIGATIONS ATTACHED TO THE GENERATION LICENCE

Table 4 Compliance and controls ratings summary table

		Compliance	Rating				
		1	2	3	4	N/R	TOTAL
	А	11	-	-	-	3	14
	В	-	2	-	-	-	2
ting	С	-	-	-	-	-	0
s Ra	D	-	-	-	-	-	0
Controls Rating	N/P	1	-	-	-	21	22
Con	TOTAL	12	2	0	0	24	38



1.3 Asset Management System Review Summary

The asset management system was found to be effective. There were two sub-components noted where amendments to processes and policies could be further improved to adequately document the required performance of the assets. In both instances, the key requirements i.e the asset management of the solar farm and the differing criteria for the risk assessment used by APA and Vestas are being met, although improvements could be considered to further enhance the processes.

As required by section 5.1.6.2 of the Audit & Review Guidelines (March 2019) Table 5 summarises the auditor's assessment of both the process and policy definition rating and the performance rating for each key process in the licensee's asset management system, using the scales described in Table 9 and Table 10 (refer Section 3.3, Methodology for Asset Management Review). The rating was determined by the auditor's judgement based on the execution of the Audit Plan.

The process and policy and asset management system adequacy ratings are summarised below;

Table 5 Asset Management System: Effectiveness Summary

Asset Management System	Asset Management Process And Policy Definition Adequacy Rating	Asset Management Performance Rating
1. Asset planning	A	1
1.1 Asset management plan covers the processes in	A	1
this table		
1.2 Planning processes and objectives reflect the needs	A	1
of all stakeholders and are integrated with business		
planning		
1.3 Service levels are defined in the asset management	A	1
plan		
1.4 Non-asset options (e.g. demand management) are	A	1
considered		
1.5 Lifecycle costs of owning and operating assets are	A	1
assessed		
1.6 Funding options are evaluated	A	1
1.7 Costs are justified and cost drivers identified	A	1
1.8 Likelihood and consequences of asset failure are	A	1
predicted		
1.9 Asset management plan is regularly reviewed and	A	2
updated		
2. Asset creation and acquisition	A	1
2.1 Full project evaluations are undertaken for new	A	1
assets, including comparative assessment of non- asset		
options		
2.2 Evaluations include all life-cycle costs	A	1
2.3 Projects reflect sound engineering and business	A	1
decisions		



Asset Management System	Asset Management Process And Policy Definition Adequacy Rating	Asset Management Performance Rating
2.4 Commissioning tests are documented and	A	1
completed		
2.5 Ongoing legal / environmental / safety obligations of	A	1
the asset owner are assigned and understood		
-		
3. Asset disposal	A	1
3.1 Under-utilised and under-performing assets are	A	1
identified as part of a regular systematic review process		
3.2 The reasons for under-utilisation or poor	Α	1
performance are critically examined and corrective action		
or disposal undertaken		
3.3 Disposal alternatives are evaluated	A	1
3.4 There is a replacement strategy for assets	A	1
4. Environmental analysis	A	1
4.1 Opportunities and threats in the asset management	A	1
system environment are assessed		
4.2 Performance standards (availability of service	Α	1
Capacity, continuity, emergency response, etc.) are		
measured and achieved		
4.3 Compliance with statutory and regulatory	Α	1
requirements		
4.4 Service standard (customer service levels etc) are	A	1
measured and achieved.		
5. Asset operations	A	1
5.1 Operational policies and procedures are	A	1
documented and linked to service levels required		
5.2 Risk management is applied to prioritise operations	A	1
tasks		
5.3 Assets are documented in an asset register	A	1
including asset type, location, material, plans of		
components and an assessment of assets'		
physical/structural condition		
5.4 Accounting data is documented for assets	A	1
5.5 Operational costs are measured and monitored	A	1
5.6 Staff resources are adequate and staff receive	A	1
training commensurate with their responsibilities.		
6. Asset maintenance	A	1
6.1 Maintenance policies and procedures are	Α	1
documented and linked to service levels required		
6.2 Regular inspections are undertaken of asset	A	1
performance and condition		
6.3 Maintenance plans (emergency, corrective and	A	1
preventative) are documented and completed on		
schedule		



Asset Management System	Asset Management Process And Policy Definition Adequacy Rating	Asset Management Performance Rating
6.4 Failures are analysed and operational / maintenance	A	1
plans adjusted where necessary		
6.5 Risk management is applied to prioritise	A	1
maintenance tasks		
6.6 Maintenance costs are measured and monitored	A	1
7. Asset Management Information System	A	1
7.1 Adequate system documentation for users and IT operators	A	1
7.2 Input controls include suitable verification and	A	1
validation of data entered into the system		
7.3 Security access controls appear adequate	A	1
such as passwords		
7.4 Physical security access controls appear adequate	A	1
7.5 Data backup procedures appear adequate and	A	1
backups are tested		
7.6 Computations for licensee performance reporting	A	1
are accurate		
7.7 Management reports appear adequate for the	A	1
licensee to monitor licence obligations		
7.8 Adequate measures to protect asset management	А	1
data from unauthorised access or theft by persons		
outside the organisation		
8. Risk management	A	1
8.1 Risk management policies and procedures exist and	A	1
are applied to minimise internal and external risks		
8.2 Risks are documented in a risk register and	A	2
treatment plans are implemented and monitored		
8.3 Probability and consequences of asset failure are	A	1
regularly assessed		
9. Contingency planning	A	1
9.1 Contingency plans are documented understood and tested to confirm their operability and to cover higher	A	1
10. Financial planning	A	1
10.1 The financial plan states the financial objectives	A	1
and identifies strategies and actions to achieve those		
10.2 The financial plan identifies the source of funds for	A	1
capital expenditure and recurrent costs		
10.3 The financial plan provides projections of operating	A	1
statements (profit and loss) and statement of financial		
position (balance sheets)		
10.4 The financial plan provides firm predictions on	A	1
income for the next five years and reasonable		
predictions beyond this period		
	<u> </u>	



Asset Management System	Asset Management Process And Policy Definition Adequacy Rating	Asset Management Performance Rating
10.5 The financial plan provides for the operations and	A	1
maintenance, administration and capital expenditure		
requirements of the services		
10.6 Large variances in actual/budget income and	A	1
expenses are identified and corrective action taken		
where necessary		
11. Capital expenditure planning	A	1
11.1 There is a capital expenditure plan covering works	Α	1
to be undertaken, actions proposed, responsibilities and		
dates		
11.2 The capital expenditure plan provides reasons for	A	1
capital expenditure and timing of expenditure		
11.3 The capital expenditure plan is consistent with the	A	1
asset life and condition identified in the asset		
management plan		
11.4 There is an adequate process to ensure the capital	A	1
expenditure plan is regularly updated and implemented		
12. Review of AMS	A	1
12.1 A review process is in place to ensure the asset	A	1
management plan and the asset management system		
described in it remain current		
12.2 Independent reviews (e.g. internal audit) are	A	1
performed of the asset management system		

The Audit & Review Guidelines (March 2019) require that auditors who have rated the adequacy of the process and policy definition process as C or D or the asset management performance as 3 or 4 also make recommendations to address the issue(s). None fall into these categories.



2. PERFORMANCE AUDIT

2.1 Performance Audit Scope

In executing the Audit Plan and in line with the Audit & Review Guidelines (March 2019) the auditors, when assessing if the licensee has complied with its licence obligations, applied a level of scrutiny that corresponds to a "reasonable assurance engagement". This has been further detailed within the audit plan (refer Paragraph 12(a)(i)(a) of ASAE 3000, June 2014).

This is the fifth audit of EGL1. The organisation has implemented the recommendations of the previous audit and as required by Section 11.3 of the Audit Guidelines (March 2019). Table 6 below details how all recommendations were resolved in the current audit period.

Table 6 Previous Audit Non-compliances and Recommendations

Table of Previous	Table of Previous Non-Compliances & Audit Recommendations			
A Resolved before	A Resolved before end of previous audit period			
Reference	(Compliance rating/	Auditors'	Date	Further action required
(no./year)	Legislative Obligation/	Recommendation	Resolved	
	details of the issue)	or action taken		
Not applicable				
	B Resolved during the current audit period			
Reference	(Compliance rating/	Auditors'	Date	Further action required
(no./year)	Legislative Obligation/	Recommendation	Resolved	
	details of the issue)	or action taken		



1/2015	106	It was recommended that the	8/1/2016	NIL
		Licensee complete and routinely		
		update a risk assessment for the		
		substation plant. The Year Plans		
		were updated to reflect the		
		outcome of the risk assessment		
		for the 2016-2017 Year and each		
		subsequent year during the audit		
		period.		

C Unresolved at the end of the current audit period				
Reference	(Compliance rating/	Auditors'	Date	Further action required
(no./year)	Legislative Obligation/ details of the issue)	Recommendation or action taken	Resolved	
Not Applicable	dotallo of the locally	or delien taken		



2.2 Recommendations and Action Plans

Recommendations made within the report are detailed below and will be reviewed and included in the post audit implementation plan by the licensee to ensure compliance with requirements.

Table 7 Current audit non-compliances and recommendations

CURRENT AUDIT NON COMPLIANCES/RECOMMENDATIONS

A. RESOLVED DURING THE CURRENT AUDIT PERIOD

There are no non compliances or recommendations raised and resolved during the audit period.

B. UNRESOLVED A	B. UNRESOLVED AT END OF CURRENT AUDIT PERIOD			
Reference	Non Compliance/Controls Improvement	Auditors'	Management action taken	
(no./year)	(Rating/ Legislative Obligation/ Details of Non Compliance or	Recommendation	by end of Audit period	
	Inadequacy of Controls)			
01/2019 – Ref 105	Non-Compliant/B2 /105	It is recommended, to ensure ongoing compliance, the	Nil - Refer Post Audit	
	The licensee has not met the obligations in regards to payment of	Licensee update the Compliance Manual to reference	Action Plan	
	prescribed licence fees on 2 occasions during the audit period.	both the Annual and Quarterly licence fees payable and		
		the associated Compliance Calendar to ensure that the		
		requirement to pay Annual Licence fees occurs within 30		
		days after the anniversary of issue of the licence (i.e		
		before the 23 rd July each year) and the quarterly		
		Standing Data charges are paid within 30 days of receipt		
		of invoice.		
02/2019 – Ref 124	Non-Compliant/B2 /124	It is recommended the Licensee to establish process to	Nil - Refer Post Audit	
	The Annual Compliance Report for 2015-2016 Year did not reflect	ensure that all non-compliances are reported in a	Action Plan	
	the late payment of the licence fee for the Standing Data Charge	Compliance Report which is to be submitted by the 31st		
	quarter commencing July 2015.	August annually. The 2015-2016 Compliance Report		
		prepared during the audit period did not report a non-		



B.	UNRESOLVED A	AT END OF CURRENT AUDIT PERIOD	
		compliance in reference to late payment of the licence	
		fee for the 2015 Standing Data Charges for the July	
		quarter. It is noted that during the audit period, the	
		responsibility for monitoring Compliance is managed by	
		an APA representative and the reporting function of the	
		compliance Report was the responsibility of the	
		Operations Manager. A process to ensure compliance	
		requirements have been met and documented liaison	
		relating to the legislative requirements and content of the	
		Compliance Report prior to submission to the Authority	
		is required This would ensure all non-compliances are	
		clearly identified and reported.	



3. ASSET MANAGEMENT SYSTEM EFFECTIVENESS REVIEW

3.1 AMS Review Scope

The scope of the AMS review includes an assessment of adequacy and effectiveness of the Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture's Asset Management System by evaluating during the audit period 1st July 2015 to 30th June 2019 the following;

- 1. Asset Planning
- 2. Asset creation/acquisition
- 3. Asset disposal
- 4. Environmental analysis
- 5. Asset operations
- 6. Asset maintenance
- 7. Asset management information system
- 8. Risk management
- 9. Contingency planning
- 10. Financial planning
- 11. Capital expenditure planning
- 12. Review of asset management system

The review has been established as a requirement of the current Generation Licence issued by the Economic Regulation Authority to Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture.

The asset management review follows the approved audit plan and uses;

- a risk based approach to auditing using the risk evaluation model set out in ISO31000:2018,
- an overall effectiveness rating for an asset management process, based on a combination of the process and policy adequacy rating and the performance rating,
- the format and content of the reviewer's report; and post- review plan as described in the Guidelines.
- the Asset Management System Review has been carried out as a 'limited assurance engagement'.

Table 8 Interviewed Personnel during the Review

Company	Name	Designation
APA Group	Paul McLagan	Operations Manager - Power Division
APA Group	Stuart Dodds	Asset Manager Renewables and Transmission -
		Power Division
APA Group	Glen Thomsen	Commercial Manager - Power Division
APA Group	Naomi Donohue	Risk & Compliance Manager - Power Division
Vestas	Ian Manns	EDWF Site Supervisor
UGL	Thomas Ray	Commissioning Engineer
UGL	Gary Stone	Operations Engineer - Renewables
UGL	John Maroney	Junior Operations Engineer - Renewables



The key documents and other information sources are detailed below and further in Appendix 2.

Table 9 Key Reference Documents

Ref	
#	Title
1	01 ADMIN
2	330-MAN-CMP-8000 - EDRF BRF Wind and Solar Farm Compliance Manual.pdf
3	Superseded 33160101R0 Compliance Monitoring Program.pdf
4	33170101R1 EDWF Year Plan 2017-2018.pdf
5	33180101R1 EDWF Year Plan 2018-2019.pdf
6	33190101R EDWF Year Plan 2019-2020 Rev 1.pdf
7	33180104R0 - CMP Report.pdf
8	Company Structure 2019.pdf
9	EDRF 33180103R3 - Risk Management - Rev 3.pdf
10	EDRF 33190101S - Sapphire License.pdf
11	EDRF Document Register - 2019.pdf
12	EDRF Risk Guide 2018.pdf
13	EDRF Risk Summary 2019.pdf
14	EDWF - 190216-01 - Farm Rules Rev 1.pdf
15	EDWF - 280116-01 - OH&S Policy.pdf
16	EDWF - 280116-02 - Environmental Policy.pdf
17	EDWF 33170103R1 - Project Procedure.pdf
18	EDWF 33180101R4 - AMS Rev 4.pdf
19	EDWF O&M Report - July 2015 to June 2016.pdf
20	EDWF O&M Report - July 2016 to June 2017.pdf
21	EDRF O&M Report - July 2017 to June 2018.pdf
22	EDRF OM Report - July 2018 to June 2019.pdf
23	EDWF Operating Protocol V2 Final_signed.pdf
24	EDWF Risk Register 2016.pdf
25	EDWF Risk Register 2017.pdf
26	EDWF Risk Register 2018.pdf
27	EDWF Risk Register 2019.pdf
28	EDWF Risk Summary 2016.pdf
29	EDWF Risk Summary 2017.pdf
30	EDWF Risk Summary 2018.pdf
31	EDWF Year Plan 2015-2016 Rev 1.pdf
32	EDWF Year Plan 2016-2017 Rev 0.pdf
33	02 FINANCE
34	2. FY2016 APT Audit Report.pdf
35	2. FY2016 APTIT Audit Report.pdf
36	2. FY2017 APT Audit Report.pdf
	L



Ref #	Title
37	2. FY2017 APTIT Audit Report.pdf
38	2. FY2018 APTIT Audit Report.pdf
39	2. HY2019 APT Audit Report.pdf
40	2. HY2019 APTIT Audit Report.pdf
40	03. Document list.xlsx
42	3.1 Profit & Lose - EDSF.xlsx
43	3.1 Profit & loss - EDWF.xlsx
43	3.2 Balance Sheet - EDSF.xlsx
	3.2 Balance Sheet - EDSF.xlsx 3.2 Balance Sheet - EDWF.xlsx
45	
46	3.3 Revenue Projections - EDWF.xlsx
47	4. Funding - EDWF - Need to review.pdf
48	6. FY19 Capex Plan - EDWF.pdf
49	6. FY20 Capex Plan - EDSF.pdf
50	6. FY20 Capex Plan - EDWF.pdf
51	7. Capex Act v Bud FY19.xlsx
52	08. EDRF FAR.xlsx
53	8. Capex Review Process.pdf
54	9. O&M Cost Drive - EDSF.pdf
55	9. O&M Cost Drive - EDWF.pdf
56	12. Fix Asset Register - EDSF.xlsx
57	12. Fix Asset Register- EDWF.xlsx
58	14. NTI Green Power Accreditation Invoice June 2016 - EDWF.pdf
59	14. NTI Green Power Accreditation Invoice June 2017 - EDWF.pdf
60	14. NTI Green Power Accreditation Invoice June 2018 - EDWF.pdf
61	14. NTI Green Power Accreditation Payment Jun 2016 - EDWF.pdf
62	14. NTI Green Power Accreditation Payment Jun 2017 - EDWF.pdf
63	14. NTI Green Power Accreditation Payment Jun 2018 - EDWF.pdf
64	15. Authority Invoice June 2016 - EDWF.pdf
65	15. Authority Invoice June 2017 - EDWF.pdf
66	15. Authority Invoice June 2018 - EDWF.pdf
67	15. Authority payment June 2016 - EDWF.pdf
68	15. Authority payment June 2017 - EDWF.pdf
69	15. ERA payment June 2018 - EDWF.pdf
70	03 IT
71	EDWF System Recovery Document 2019.pdf
72	EDWFS _ Business Impact Analysis 2018.pdf
73	EDWFS System Recovery Sheet 2019.pdf
74	IM-090_INSTALLATION_INSTRUCTIONS.pdf
75	IT Backup Standard v1.2.pdf
76	Linux Environment and Oracle Database_SRD.pdf



Ref						
#	Title					
77	MD70 Wind Forecast System.pdf					
78	04 ERA					
79	Approval of auditor - 2019 Audit and Review - EGL001 - Emu Downs Wind Fapdf					
80	AUDITREPORT-6202-EDWF PA&AMSR 2015-01.1.pdf					
81	EDRF Compliance Register 17-18.pdf					
	EDWF - 20816-01 - Economic Regulation Authority Compliance Report July 2015 to June					
82	2016.pdf					
	EDWF - 110718-01 - Economic Regulation Authority Compliance Report July 2017 to June					
83	2018.pdf					
	EDWF - 220817-01 - Economic Regulation Authority Compliance Report July 2016 to June					
84	2017.pdf					
85	EDWF - Post Audit Plan 2015 - Progress 15-12-16.pdf					
86	EDWF Licence EGL01 - 2018.pdf					
87	EDWF Licence Map.pdf					
88	Economic Regulation Authority - Audit Guidelines - 2019.PDF					
89	Letter to Emu Downs - 2015 Audit & Review - EGL001.pdf					
90	Letter to licensee - Commencement of audit and review - 2019 Audit and Rpdf					
91	05 VESTAS					
92	Asset%20Management%20Plan_Emu%20Downs%20-%2009112017.pdf					
93	Vestas SAP database					
94	0544 EDSF OM Monthly Meeting Minutes_20190613pdf					
95	EDWF Substation Scheduled Maintenance Rev 3 - 12-3-19.pdf					
96	EDWF Substation Spares 12-03-19.xls					
97	EDRF Schedule Maintenance.xls					
98	EDRF HV Switching 2018.pdf					
99	EDWF - 021118-01 - WTG Availability.pdf					
100	APA.WA.CA.699_Emu Downs OM_Executed Word Searchable.pdf					
101	WA Elc Contractor Notification.pdf					
102	WA Elc Contractor Licence_2018-02-19.pdf					
103	EDWF - 031117-01 - WTG Availability.pdf					
104	INS SUS-IMP-EWC ASP_Extreme weather (002).pdf					
105	EDWF - 131016-01 - WTG Availability.pdf					
106	EDWF - 041115-01 - WTG Availability.pdf					
107	WHSP\Addendum 4 - EDWF Risk Register and Control Matrix.doc					
108	WHSP\Addendum 4 - Site Risk Register.pdf					
109	WHSP\Addendum 6 - Environmental Aspects and Control Mechansims.pdf					
110	WHSP\Addendum 6 - EDWF Environmental Aspects and Control Mechansims.docx					
111	WHSP\Addendum 7 - Safety Environmental Check Form.pdf					
112	WHSP\Addendum 11 - EDWF ERP Rev F.DOC					
113	WHSP\Addendum 11 - Emergency Response Plan.pdf					



Ref #	Title				
114	WHSP\Addendum 12 - Health and Safety Incident Reporting Requirements.pdf				
115	WHSP\EDWF WHSEMP - SC016666.pdf				
116	WHSP\Noise_measurement_summary_NM82-1650_2004-01-19.pdf				
117	WHSP\VCOHSM 0055-5622.pdf				
118	WHSP\Vestas ASP Standard - Fitness for Work.pdf				
119	WHSP\Vestas_Drug%20%20Alcohol-Free%20Workplace%20Policy%20R.1.pdf				
120	0000-2968.pdf				
121	0000-9776.pdf				
122	0003-9663.pdf				
123	20190731114817535.pdf				
124	Asset Criticality C ED CR M W S E.xlsx				
125	02 EDWF OM Notes - Feb 2019.pdf				
126	06 UGL Contract #126-144				
145	07 WESTERN POWER				
146	EDRF - 260718-01 - Maintenance Schedule.pdf				
147	EDRF - Operating Agreement - Contacts.pdf				
148	EDWF - 090816-01 - Maintenance Schedule.pdf				
149	EDWF - 220817-02 - Maintenance Schedule.pdf				
150	EDWF - 240915-01 - Maintenance Schedule.pdf				
151	EDWF Operating Protocol V2 Final_signed.pdf				
152	Emu Downs Deed of Variation - WP Execution Page.pdf				
153	Emu Downs Deed of Variation & Restated Connection Agreement.pdf				
154	Network Access Agreement Conformed Copy.pdf				
155	08 MEETINGS				
156	MCM monthly meetings notes # 158-201				
202	EDWF O & M Notes #203-248				
249	EDSF O & M Notes #250-265				
266	08 MISC				
267	EDRF 33180104S - SVC Air-con.pdf				
268	EDWF-E-5212 Rev 03.pdf				
269	EDWF-E-5213-1 Rev 03.pdf				
270	EDWF-E-5213-4 Rev 04.pdf				
271	Risk Assessment - SVC Air-con.pdf				
272	SVC DRAFT Arc Flash Safety RA 20th May 2019.pdf				
273	500-PR-0004.pdf				
274	500-PR-0005.pdf				
275	ARENA LSS Project Plan EDSF 15 June 2016.pdf				
276	EDWF commissioning reports				
277	Emu Downs Wind Farm - AMP.docx				
278	Operation and Maintenance Plan - EMU DOWNS 2019.pdf"				



Ref				
#	Title			
279	0544 EDSF OM Monthly Meeting Minutes_20190214.pdf"			
280	3200-0544 Emu Downs - Emergency Response Plan Rev B.pdf"			
281	3200-0544 Emu Downs Project Safety Management Plan Rev 0A.pdf"			
282	3200-0544-PLN-003_R1 - Project Quality Plan.pdf"			
283	20170109 - 0544 - UGL Electrical Contractor's Licence - WA.pdf"			
284	Avail Calc Summary 2019.pdf"			
285	EDSF O&M HSSE Risk Register R0A - EIMS-7-5448 - Section 2 BR.pdf			
286	EDSF-MAN-OM-0001_1_AB.pdf"			
287	Emu Downs - Operating Protocol - Rev 0.pdf			

The review was conducted in conjunction with the Performance Audit during July – August 2019 and included desktop review and two day's audit on site to execute review plan, interview sessions and report writing. In total the review required 90 hours of Simon Ashby's time.



3.2 Objective of the Asset Management System Review

The objective of the review is to examine the effectiveness of the processes used by the Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture to deliver asset management, the information systems supporting asset management activities and the data and knowledge used to make decisions about asset management. These elements were examined from a life cycle perspective i.e. planning, construction, operation, maintenance, renewal, replacement and disposal using the guidelines developed by the Economic Regulation Authority.

3.3 Methodology for Asset Management System Review

The audit methodology detailed in the Audit and Review Guidelines – Electricity and Gas Licences (March 2019) was used in the execution of the Asset Management System Review and is detailed in the Audit Plan.

3.4 Asset Management System Effectiveness Rating

The Audit and Review Guidelines – Electricity and Gas Licences (March 2019) (section 5.1.6.2) states that the asset management review report must provide a table that summarises the auditor's assessment of both the process and policy definition rating and the performance rating for each key process in the licensee's asset management system using the scales described in Table 9 and Table 10. It is left to the judgement of the auditor to determine the most appropriate rating for each asset management process.



Table 10 Asset Management Process and Policy Definition Adequacy Ratings

Rating	Description	Criteria
A	Adequately defined	 Processes and policies are documented. Processes and policies adequately document the required performance of the assets. Processes and policies are subject to regular reviews and updated where necessary. The asset management information system(s) are adequate in relation to the assets being managed.
В	Requires some improvement	 Processes and policies require improvement. Processes and policies do not adequately document the required performance of the assets. Reviews of processes and policies are not conducted regularly enough. The asset management information system(s) requires minor improvements (taking into consideration the assets being managed).
С	Requires substantial improvement	 Processes and policies require improvement. Processes and policies do not adequately document the required performance of the assets. Reviews of processes and policies are not conducted regularly enough. The asset management information system(s) requires minor improvements (taking into consideration the assets being managed)
D	Inadequate	 Processes and policies are not documented. The asset management information system(s) is not fit for purpose (taking into consideration the assets being managed).

Table 11 Asset Management Performance Ratings

Rating	Description	Criteria
1	Performing effectively	 The performance of the process meets or exceeds the required levels of performance. Process effectiveness is regularly assessed and corrective action taken where necessary.
2	Improvement required	 The performance of the process requires some improvement to meet the required level. Process effectiveness reviews are not performed regularly enough. Recommended process improvements are not implemented
3	Corrective action required	 The performance of the process requires substantial improvement to meet the required level. Process effectiveness reviews are performed irregularly or not at all. Recommended process improvements are not implemented
4	Serious action required	 Process is not performed or the performance is so poor the process is considered to be ineffective.



3.5 Deviations from the Review Plan

There have been no deviations from the Review plan.

3.6 Follow Up Review Process

This is the fifth Performance Audit and Asset Management Review conducted since the issue of the licence. There are no recommendations arising from this audit that require post audit action plans.

As detailed in section 5.1.8 of the Audit Guidelines (March 2019) recommendations for licence obligations, asset management processes or effectiveness criteria that received a rating other than those detailed in section 5.1.8.have been provided directly to the licensee.

3.7 Follow-Up from Previous Review Findings

The organisation has implemented the recommendations of the previous review where possible and as required by Section 5.1.5 of the Audit Guidelines (March 2019). Table 12 below details how all recommendations were addressed and their status in the current review period.



Table 12 Ineffective components recommendations, previous Review Implementation Plan

A Resolved	Resolved before the end of the previous review period.							
Reference (no. /yr)	Process and policy deficiency / Performance deficiency (Rating / Reference number, Asset management process & effectiveness criterion / Details of deficiency)	Auditor's recommendation or action taken	Date resolved	Further action required (Yes/No/Not Applicable) Details of further action required (including current recommendation reference if applicable)				
None								

B Resolved	during the current review period.			
Reference (no. /yr)	Process and policy deficiency / Performance deficiency (Rating / Reference number, Asset management process & effectiveness criterion / Details of deficiency)	Auditor's recommendation or action taken	Date seen resolved / ref	Further action required (Yes/No/Not Applicable) & Details of further action required (including current recommendation reference if applicable)
	B2 . Likelihood and consequences of asset failure are predicted. There was insufficient evidence to show that the Substation plant is subject to annual risk assessments including likelihood and consequences of asset failures.	Recommendation 4/2015 addresses the finding.	3/10/18 Vestas new contract	No
1/2015	B2. 5.3 Assets are documented in an Asset Register including asset type, location, material, plans of components, an assessment of assets' physical/structural Whilst plant list, drawings, maintenance plans and condition details were documented and available, during the Review it was not possible to access the Balance of Plant asset register.	Ensure that the Balance of Plant asset register is available.	20/3/19 Fixed asset register EDWF.xls	No



2/2015	B1. 7.1 Adequate system documentation for users and IT	Ensure documents are	10/12/2018	No
	operators.	adequately controlled with		
	While most documents viewed did have sufficient document control	removal and finalisation of		
	there were some examples of appropriate document control missing	draft issues revision		
	both with Vestas and EDWF:	tracking and authorised		
	► EDWF Business & Asset Risk Register 2015 (EDWF document)	approvals across Vestas		
	► EDWF Fire Ban Procedure Vestas document dated 23/07/15)	and EDWF (APA Group).		
	► Emu Down Wind Farm SRS (EDWF document in draft status)			
	► Linux Environment and Oracle Database SRD (EDWF document			
	in draft status)			
3/2015	A1. 7.7 Data backup procedures appear adequate and backups are	Review Compliance	10/5/19	No
	tested.	Manual for accuracy and	3300-CMP-MAN-	
	► Compliance manual is out of date with respect to current timing of	practicality. Consolidate	8000	
	audit obligations - once every 36 months.	actions to improve ease of		
	► Compliance manual is duplicated in parts with respect to actions	use.		
	required possibly making it more difficult to use as a regular			
	compliance tool.			
4/2015	B1. 8.2 Risks are documented in a risk register and treatment plans	Include substation plant as	3/10/18	No
	are actioned and monitored.	part of the Business &	Vestas new contract	
	Asset related risks for substation plant fall outside of Vestas	Asset Risk Register review		
	responsibility and are currently not covered by EDWF's risk	process.		
	management scope.			

C. Unresolved at end of the current review period							
Reference (no. /yr)	Process and policy deficiency / Performance deficiency (Rating / Reference number, Asset management process & effectiveness criterion / Details of deficiency)	Auditor's recommendation or action taken	Date seen resolved / ref	Further action required (Yes/No/Not Applicable) & Details of further action required			
None							



3.8 Asset Management System Recommendations and Action Plans

As stipulated in section 5.3 of the Audit and Review Guidelines – Electricity and Gas Licences (March 2019), the Audit Team notes that the Asset Management Review Post Implementation Plan does not form part of the Audit Opinion. It is the responsibility of the licensee to ensure actions are undertaken as determined by Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture.

3.9 2019 Review Asset System Deficiencies/Recommendations

Table 13 Current Review Asset System Deficiencies / Recommendations

Table of Current Review Asset System Deficiencies/Recommendations A. Resolved during current Review period						
Ref.	Asset System Deficiency (Rating / Asset Management System Component & Effectiveness Criteria / Details of Asset System Deficiency)	Date Resolved (& management action taken)	Auditors comments			
None						

Ref. (no./year)	Process and policy deficiency / Performance deficiency (Rating / Reference number, Asset management process & effectiveness	 Recommendation	Action taken by the licensee by end of review period
None	criterion / Details of deficiency)		



APPENDIX 1

EMU DOWNS WIND FARM JOINT VENTURE PERFORMANCE AUDIT

AUGUST 2019



Table 14 Performance Audit

REF*	LICENCE CONDITION	RELATED LEGISLATION	LEGISLATIVE/LICENCE REQUIREMENT	AUDIT PRIORITY	AUDITING FINDING RELATED DOCUMENTATION &/OR CONTROL SYSTEMS/AUDIT EVIDENCE → CORRECTIVE ACTION (CA) OPPORTUNITY FOR IMPROVEMENT	ADEQUACY OF CONTROLS	COMPLIANCE RATING
		OPTING REQUIREMENT	IS MENTS APPLICABLE TO EGL1				
			ER TRANSFER CODE - PART 3 - CUSTOME	S/ CONNI	ECTION INFORMATION/DATA		
101	Generation Licence condition 5.3.1	Electricity Industry Act section 13(1)	A licensee must provide the Authority with a performance audit conducted by an independent expert acceptable to the Authority not less than once every 24 months.	5	This is the fifth Audit conducted by an independent expert for the current licensee since the licence was granted in 23 June 2005. The requirement for the audit is monitored by the Risk & Compliance Manager Power. Additionally it is raised in email communications and correspondence with the Secretariat. GES was appointed with the Authority's approval to undertake the performance audit for the audit period on the 27th May 2019 (Authority Document Ref: D202338). Previous Audit Period 1 July 2012 – 30 June 2015 Report was provided and published on the Authority's Website. Current Audit Period July 2015 – June	A	1



102	Generation	Electricity Industry	A licensee must provide for an asset	4	2019 report to be provided to the Authority for publishing. The organisation has identified the requirement in the following documentation; Compliance Manual - 330-MAN-CMP-8000_Rev 2 Management Committee Meeting Notes [e.g. May 2019] EDWF Year Plan 2018-2019 Requirement identified in Business Risk Summary [EDWF Business Risk Summary 2016-2019] Various communication between licensee and the Authority Audit Plan developed and approved in accordance with Audit Guidelines Discussion with Compliance & Risk Manager The conclusion was determined via; Interview with supervisory & operational personnel Desktop Review documents The licensee has provided for an asset	A	1	
	Licence condition 5.1.1	Act section 14(1)(a)	management system.		management system and this has been verified during a site inspection of both EDWF and EDWF sites.			



	The organisation has recognised ongoing review and management of the
	asset management plans. The organisation has identified the
	requirement in the following documentation, system controls and processes;
	 Asset Management Plan EDWF Year Plan (2016-2019) Risk Management Financial Model Budget Approvals Process Capital Expenditure Process Performance Guarantees Ongoing Operations and Maintenance Programmes (WTG's and Substation). Replacement of faulty equipment Technical Support Service and Availability Agreement (SAA). Plant Maintenance Strategies Obtain maintenance data from O&M Contractor's SAP system
	The conclusion was determined via;



					Interview with supervisory & operational personnelDesktop Review documents		
103	Generation Licence condition 5.1.2 and 5.1.3	Electricity Industry Act section 14(1)(b)	A licensee must notify details of the asset management system and any substantial changes to it to the Authority.	4	The addition of the solar wind farm occurred during the audit period. The Authority were notified of this change and the asset management system. The conclusion was determined via; Interview with supervisory & operational personnel Desktop Review documents Review of communication to the Authority [Dated 30 November 2017]	A	1
104	Generation Licence condition 5.1.4	Electricity Industry Act section 14(1)(c)	A licensee must provide the Authority with a report by an independent expert about the effectiveness of its asset management system every 24 months or such longer period as determined by the Authority.	5	This is the fifth Asset Management Review conducted by an independent expert for the current licensee since the licence was granted in 23 June 2005. The requirement for the audit is monitored by the Risk & Compliance Manager Power. Additionally it is raised in email communications and correspondence with the Secretariat. GES was appointed with the Authority's approval to undertake the performance audit for the audit period on the 27th May 2019 (Authority Document Ref: D202338).	A	1



	Previous Audit Period 1 July 2012 – 30	
	June 2015 Report was provided and	
	published on the Authroity's Website.	
	Current Audit Period July 2015 – June	
	2019 report to be provided to the	
	Authority for publishing.	
	The organisation has identified the	
	requirement in the following	
	documentation;	
	■ Compliance Manual - 330-MAN-	
	CMP-8000_Rev 2	
	Management Committee Meeting	
	Notes [e.g. May 2019]	
	■ EDWF Year Plan 2018-2019	
	Requirement identified in Business	
	Risk Summary [EDWF Business	
	Risk Summary 2016-2019]	
	 Various communication between 	
	licensee and the Authority	
	Audit Plan developed and approved	
	in accordance with Audit Guidelines	
	■ Discussion with Compliance & Risk	
	Manager	
	The conclusion was determined via;	
	■ Interview with supervisory &	
	operational personnel	
	Desktop Review documents	
<u> </u>		



105	Generation	Electricity Industry	A licensee must pay the prescribed licence	4	Licence fees were assessed during the	В	2
	Licence condition	Act section 17(1)	fees to the Authority according to clauses 6,		audit period for the Annual Licence		
	4.2.1		7 and 8 of the Economic Regulation		Charge and the Quarterly Standing Data		
			Authority (Licensing Funding) Regulations		Charges. In total there were 4 Annual		
			2014.		fees due within the audit period. All but 1		
					was paid in accordance with the licence		
					requirements and an interest payment		
					charge was incurred. There were 15		
					quarterly Standing Data Charge Invoices		
l					received during the audit period. And 2 of		
					these were not paid in accordance with		
					the invoice terms of 30 days of receipt of		
					the invoice.		
					The conclusion was determined via;		
					Interview with supervisory &		
					operational personnel		
					 Desktop Review documents 		
					 Verification of payments with the 		
					Authority		
					01/2019 - RECOMMENDATION		
					A review of the Compliance Manual		
					[Ref 330-MAN-CMP-8000_Rev 2]		
					highlights the payment of quarterly		
					licence fees to the Authority (refer		
					section 5.4) but does not clearly		
					distinguish between the standing data		
					charges and the Annual Licence fee.		
					Noted that Section 5.5 does not refer		



					to annual payment of Licence Fees. As there have been instances during the audit period where requirements for licence fee payments have not been met, the Licensee is required to review processes to ensure annual licence payments are made by the 23rd July each year and quarterly Standing Data Charge invoices are paid within 30 days of issue. Updating the Compliance Manual to reference the payment of annual and quarterly licence fees and the associated Compliance Calendar (which is an automated reminder system) would ensure that this requirement is reflected clearly to ensure ongoing compliance.		
106	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1	Electricity Industry Act section 31(3)	A licensee must take reasonable steps to minimise the extent or duration of any interruption suspension or restriction of the supply of electricity due to an accident emergency potential danger or other unavoidable cause.	5	The Licensee has taken reasonable steps to minimise the extent or duration of any unavoidable interruption, suspension or restriction of electricity. In response the previous audit finding the risk assessment was reviewed and the Year Plans incorporate the substation and associated risks. These Year Plans have been updated throughout the audit period. Additionally, the Licensee has taken significant measures to ensure the	A	1



					plant performance both contractually and operationally. The conclusion was determined via; Interview with supervisory & operational personnel Desktop Review documents (including contractual documentation, operational and management records) Verification of processes on site Review of contractor operational systems		
107	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1	Electricity Industry Act section 41(6)	A licensee must pay the costs of taking an interest in land or an easement over land.	4	J	A	1



					 Farm Rules [EMU DOWNS WIND FARM–FARM RULES EDWF-190216-01] The conclusion was determined via; Interview with supervisory & operational personnel Desktop Review documents Site Visit and access to all areas of the wind and solar farms 		
119	Generation Licence condition 4.3.1	Electricity Industry Act section 11	A licensee and any related body corporate must maintain accounting records that comply with the Australian Accounting Standards Board Standards or equivalent International Accounting Standards.	4	The EDWF financial reports have been prepared by third party accountants during the audit period. The Financial Reports contain statement of compliance that the statements have been prepared in accordance with all Australian Accounting Standards and Interpretations. All audits were completed by Deloitte, declarations of independence and compliance with Australian Accounting Standards were verified. The conclusion was determined via; Interview with supervisory & operational personnel Desktop Review financial reports during the audit period	A	1



121	Generation	Electricity Industry	A licensee must comply and require its	4	Direct instructions from Licensee to	Α	1
	Licence condition	Act section 11	auditor to comply with the Authority's		Auditor to comply with the Economic		
	5.3.2		standard audit guidelines for a performance		Regulation Authority's guidelines.		
			audit.				
					Copies of communications received from		
					the Authority relating to audit		
					requirements sent by Licensee through to		
					Auditor to convey requirements		
					specifically the undertaking of audits in		
					compliance with the Audit & Review		
					Guidelines: Electricity Gas and Water		
					Licences.		
					The conclusion was determined via;		
					Interview with supervisory &		
					operational personnel		
					 Desktop Review documents 		
					 Communication between the 		
					Authority and the Licensee		
122	Generation	Electricity Industry	A licensee must comply and must require the	4	Direct instructions from Licensee to	Α	1
	Licence condition	Act section 11	licensee's expert to comply with the relevant		Auditor to comply with the Economic		
	5.1.5		aspects of the Authority's standard audit		Regulation Authority's guidelines.		
			guidelines for an asset management system				
			review.		Copies of communications received from		
					the Authority relating to audit		
					requirements sent by Licensee through to		
					Auditor to convey requirements		
					specifically the undertaking of audits in		



					compliance with the Audit & Review Guidelines: Electricity Gas and Water Licences. The conclusion was determined via; Interview with supervisory & operational personnel Desktop Review documents Communication between the Authority and the Licensee		
123	Generation Licence condition 4.4.1	Electricity Industry Act section 1	In the manner prescribed a licensee must notify the Authority, if it is under external administration or if there is a significant change in the circumstances that the licence was granted which may affect the licensee's ability to meet its obligations.	4	Under Licence clause 15.1 the licensee is required to report relevant information to the Authority in the event that it: (a) Is under external administration (b) Experiences a change in its corporate financial or technical circumstances upon which this license was granted; and that change may materially affect the licensee's ability to perform its obligations under this license (c) Changes its name, ABN or address. Confirmed that for the period 1 July 2015 to 30 June 2019 no such changes arose. The conclusion was determined via; Interview with supervisory & operational personnel	A	NR



					 Review documents including Year Plans, Budgets, Financial Reports and Management Minutes 		
124	Generation Licence condition 4.5.1	Electricity Industry Act section 11	A licensee must provide the Authority in the manner prescribed with any information that the Authority requires in connection with its functions under the Electricity Industry Act.	1	During the Audit Period the Licensee has provided the Authority information it required in connection with its functions under the Electricity Industry Act. All licensees are required to submit a compliance report to the Authority covering all of its type 1 and type 2 licence obligations for each financial year (1 July to 30 June inclusive) by 31 August immediately following the year that is the subject of the report. Compliance with submission of the report is confirmed during the audit period the reports were submitted on the following dates; 1 2015 - 2016 Report – 2nd August 2016 2016 - 2017 Report – 22nd August 2017 2017 - 2018 Report - 11 July 2018 Compliance was noted during all the reports, however, during the audit review	В	2
					of compliance, it is noted that the Compliance Report (due 31 August		



	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	2016) did not reflect the late payment of	
	the Standing Data Charge for the quarter	
	commencing July 2015 should have been	
	reflected in the Compliance Report for	
	2015-2016 Year.	
	The conclusion was determined via;	
	■ Interview with supervisory &	
	operational personnel	
	■ Review of compliance reports	
	■ Desktop Review documents	
	20/2019 – RECOMMENDATION	
	The Licensee is required to ensure	
	that non-compliances are reported in	
	a Compliance Report which is to be	
	submitted by the 31 st August annually.	
	The 2015-2016 Compliance Report	
	prepared during the audit period did	
	not report a non-compliance in	
	reference to late payment of the	
	licence fee for the 2015 Standing Data	
	Charges for the July quarter. It is	
	noted that during the audit period, the	
	responsibility for monitoring	
	Compliance is managed by an APA	
	representative and the reporting	
	function of the compliance Report was	
	the responsibility of the Operations	
	and respondingly of the operations	



					Manager. A process to ensure compliance requirements have been met and documented liaison relating to the legislative requirements and content of the Compliance Report prior to submission to the Authority is required. This would ensure all noncompliances are clearly identified and reported.		
125	Generation Licence condition 3.8.1 and 3.8.2	Electricity Industry Act section 11	A licensee must publish any information it is directed by the Authority to publish within the timeframes specified.	4	According the Licensee's Generation Licence EGL1; the term "publish" in relation to a report or information means either: (a) posting the report or information on the licensee's website; or (b) sending the report or information to the Authority to be published on the Economic Regulation Authority's website. The Licensee has submitted the previous Performance Audit and Asset Management System Review. This was published on the Economic Regulation Authority's Website on the 15/11/2015 and a notice published on the 1/12/15 advising the outcome and subsequent audit period.	A	NR



					There have been no other requests by the Authority to publish information during the audit period. The conclusion was determined via; Interview with supervisory & operational personnel Review of Economic Regulation Authority's website Desktop Review documents		
126	Generation Licence condition 3.7.1	Electricity Industry Act section 11	Unless otherwise specified all notices must be in writing.	4	During the audit period the Licensee maintained records of communication with the Authority, primarily via mail or email communication. All responses have been in writing and specific notices in relation to the Generation Licence have been reviewed as part of the audit. The conclusion was determined via; Interview with supervisory & operational personnel Desktop Review documents Review of communication electronic and hardcopy Verification of processes on site	A	1
SECTION	14: ELECTRICITY I	NDUSTRY METERI	NG CODE - LICENCE CONDITIONS AND OB	LIGATIO	NS		
324	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1	Electricity Industry Metering Code clause 3.3B	If a user is aware of bi-directional electricity flows at a metering point that was not previously subject to a bi-directional flows or	4	There has been no change with respect to bi-directional flows during the audit period. The Licensee continues to import	NP	NR



			any changes in a customer's or user's circumstances in a metering point that will result in bi-directional flows the user must notify the network operator within 2 business days.		power from Synergy as required. Normal operating conditions requires this only occurs when the plant is not generating sufficient electricity for site consumption.		
339	Generation Licence condition 4.1.1	Electricity Industry Metering Code clause 3.11(3)	A Code participant who becomes aware of an outage or malfunction of a metering installation must advise the network operator as soon as practicable.	4	WPN has primary responsibility for the management and monitoring of meters. There were no outages or malfunctions identified during the audit period. Production staff monitor usage through production calculations and can generally identify an error. Review of communication with WPN. Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture Personnel interviewed Operations Manager Asset Manager Renewables and Transmission - Power Division Vestas - EDWF Site Supervisor The conclusion was determined via; Interview with supervisory & operational personnel (including contractors) Desktop Review documents Verification of processes and systems on site	A	NR



364	Generation	Electricity Industry	A person must not install a metering	4	The Licensee is not responsible for	NP	NR
	Licence	Metering Code	installation on a network unless the person		installing and managing all metering		
	condition 4.1.1	clause 3.27	is the network operator or a registered		installations on the site. Additionally, the		
			metering installation provider for the network		Licensee has not installed any metering		
			operator doing the type of work authorised		installations on the network. The Network		
			by its registration.		Operator has independent access to		
					metering installations.		
					Discussions with the Operations		
					Manager also confirmed no installation of		
					meters. As such, no activity has taken		
					place to exercise the obligation during the		
					audit period and its requirement cannot		
					be assessed.		
371	Generation	Floatricity Industry	If there is a discrepancy between energy	-	There have been no discrepancies	NP	NR
3/1	Licence	Electricity Industry Metering Code	If there is a discrepancy between energy data held in a metering installation and in the	5	There have been no discrepancies between energy data held in metering	INP	INK
	condition 4.1.1	clause 4.4(1)	metering database the affected Code		installation and in the metering database.		
	Condition 4.1.1	Clause 4.4(1)	participants and the network operator must		As such compliance with this requirement		
			liaise to determine the most appropriate way		cannot be made. Discussions with the		
			to resolve the discrepancy.		Operations Manager.		
					The conclusion was determined via;		
					Interview with supervisory &		
					operational personnel		
					 Desktop Review documents 		
					Note: The above audit procedures are		
<u> </u>					applicable for Ref 371-461		



372	Generation	Electricity Industry	A Code participant must not knowingly	5	Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture	NP	NR
	Licence	Metering Code	permit the registry to be materially		does not maintain any standing data or		
	condition 4.1.1	clause 4.5(1)	inaccurate.		energy data in relation to the metering		
					installations captured under the Metering		
					Code. These activities are managed by		
					the Network Operator and are outside the		
					control of the Licensee. As the Network		
					operator maintains sole responsibility for		
					the management of standing data within		
					the registry and/or metering database		
					these obligations are not relevant to the		
					Licensee's operations for the period 1		
					July 2015 to 30 June 2019.		
373	Generation	Electricity Industry	If a Code participant (other than a network	4	As above.	NP	NR
	Licence	Metering Code	operator) becomes aware of a change to or				
	condition 4.1.1	clause 4.5(2)	an inaccuracy in an item of standing data in				
			the registry then it must notify the network				
			operator and provide details of the change or				
			inaccuracy within the timeframes prescribed.				
388	Generation	Electricity Industry	A user must when reasonably requested by	5	The network operator has not requested	NP	NR
	Licence	Metering Code	a network operator use reasonable		the assistance of Emu Downs Wind Farm		
	condition 4.1.1	clause 5.4(2)	endeavours to assist the network operator to		Joint Venture with respect to their		
			comply with the network operator's		metering installation during the audit		
			obligation.		period.		
					Note: The Licensee has no meters and		
					Western Power owns the meters at		
					EDWF Terminal substation and is		
					responsible for their quality control.		



402	Generation	Electricity Industry	A user must provide standing data and	4	There are no meters maintained by the	NP	NR
	Licence	Metering Code	validated (and where necessary substituted		Licensee to collect information or data		
	condition 4.1.1	clause 5.17(1)	or estimated) energy data to the user's		from billing. The Network Operator is		
			customer to which that information relates		responsible for metering installations.		
			where the user is required by an enactment				
			or an agreement to do so for billing purposes				
			or for the purpose of providing metering				
			services to the customer.				
406	Generation	Electricity Industry	A user must when requested by the network	5	There have been no requests to any user	NP	NR
	Licence	Metering Code	operator acting in accordance with good		to collect information during the audit		
	condition 4.1.1	clause 5.19(1)	electricity industry practice use reasonable		period. Therefore, this obligation has not		
			endeavours to collect information from		been rated.		
			customers if any that assists the network				
			operator in meeting its obligations described				
			in the Code and elsewhere.				
410	Generation	Electricity Industry	A user must use reasonable endeavours to	5	During the audit period there has been no	NP	NR
	Licence	Metering Code	ensure that it does not notify the network		provision of standing data by the network		
	condition 4.1.1	clause 5.19(6)	operator of a change in an attribute that		operator to the user that resulted in the		
			results from the provision of standing data		user notifying the network operator of a		
			by the network operator to the user.		change in attributes.		
416	Generation	Electricity Industry	A Code participant must not request a test	4	No tests have been requested during the	NP	NR
	Licence	Metering Code	or audit unless the Code participant is a		audit period 1 July 2015 to 30 June 2019.		
	condition 4.1.1	clause 5.21(5)	user and the test or audit relates to a time				
			or times at which the user was the current				
			user or the Code participant is the IMO.				
417	Generation	Electricity Industry	A Code participant must not make a test or	4	As above.	NP	NR
	Licence	Metering Code	audit request that is inconsistent with any				
	condition 4.1.1	clause 5.21(6)	access arrangement or agreement.				



435	Generation	Electricity Industry	Upon request a current user must provide	4	The network operator did not make any	NP	NR
	Licence	Metering Code	the network operator with customer attribute		requests for customer attributes		
	condition 4.1.1	clause 5.27	information that it reasonably believes are		information during the audit period.		
			missing or incorrect within the timeframes				
			prescribed.				
448	Generation	Electricity Industry	A user must in relation to a network on which	4	There have been no breaches of the	NP	1
	Licence	Metering Code	it has an access contract comply with the		rules, procedures, agreements and		
	condition 4.1.1	clause 6.1(2)	rules procedures agreements and criteria		criteria during the audit period.		
			prescribed.				
451	Generation	Electricity Industry	Code participants must use reasonable	5	The EDWF site has well established	Α	1
	Licence	Metering Code	endeavours to ensure that they can send		communication processes such as a		
	condition 4.1.1	clause 7.2(1)	and receive a notice by post, facsimile, and		main telephone line & facsimile, mobile		
			electronic communication and must notify		telephone coverage, remote system		
			the network operator of a telephone number		monitoring, and wireless internet access.		
			for voice communication in connection with		During the audit period there have been		
			the Code.		no communication issues arising.		
453	Generation	Electricity Industry	A Code participant must notify its contact	4	During the period 1 July 2015 to 30 June	NP	NR
	Licence	Metering Code	details to a network operator with whom it		2019 the network operator did not		
	condition 4.1.1	clause 7.2(4)	has entered into an access contract within 3		request the licensee to provide its contact		
			business days after the network operator's		details. There have been no changes		
			request.		made to Licensee's contact details.		
454	Generation	Electricity Industry	A Code participant must notify any affected	4	There have been no changes in contact	NP	NR
	Licence	Metering Code	network operator of any change to the		details for the Licensee during the audit		
	condition 4.1.1	clause 7.2(5)	contact details it notified to the network		period.		
			operator at least 3 business days before the				
			change takes effect.				
455	Generation	Electricity Industry	A Code participant must not disclose or	4	During the period 1 July 2015 to 30 June	NP	NR
	Licence	Metering Code	permit the disclosure of confidential		2019 the Licensee was not required to		
	condition 4.1.1	clause 7.5	information provided to it under or in		disclose or permit the disclosure of		



			connection with the Code and may only use		confidential information in connection to		
			or reproduce confidential information for the		the Code.		
			purpose for which it was disclosed or				
			another purpose contemplated by the Code.				
456	Generation	Electricity Industry	A Code participant must disclose or permit	4	As above	NP	NR
	Licence	Metering Code	the disclosure of confidential information that				
	condition 4.1.1	clause 7.6(1)	is required to be disclosed by the Code.				
457	Generation	Electricity Industry	Representatives of disputing parties must	5	There have been no disputes arising	NP	NR
	Licence	Metering Code	meet within 5 business days after a notice		during the audit period.		
	condition 4.1.1	clause 8.1(1)	given by a disputing party to the other				
			disputing parties and attempt to resolve the				
			dispute under or in connection with the				
			Electricity Industry Metering Code by				
			negotiations in good faith.				
458	Generation	Electricity Industry	If a dispute is not resolved within 10	5	As above	NP	NR
	Licence	Metering Code	business days after the dispute is referred to				
	condition 4.1.1	clause 8.1(2)	representative negotiations the disputing				
			parties must refer the dispute to a senior				
			management officer of each disputing party				
			who must meet and attempt to resolve the				
			dispute by negotiations in good faith.				
459	Generation	Electricity Industry	If the dispute is not resolved within 10	5	As above	NP	NR
	Licence	Metering Code	business days after the dispute is referred to				
	condition 4.1.1	clause 8.1(3)	senior management negotiations the				
			disputing parties must refer the dispute to				
			the senior executive officer of each disputing				
			party who must meet and attempt to resolve				
			the dispute by negotiations in good faith.				



460	Generation	Electricity Industry	If the dispute is resolved by representative	4	As above	NP	NR
	Licence	Metering Code	negotiations, senior management				
	condition 4.1.1	clause 8.1(4)	negotiations, or CEO negotiations the				
			disputing parties must prepare a written and				
			signed record of the resolution and adhere				
			to the resolution.				
461	Generation	Electricity Industry	The disputing parties must at all times	5	As above	NP	NR
	Licence	Metering Code	conduct themselves in a manner which is				
	condition 4.1.1	clause 8.3(2)	directed towards achieving the objective of				
			dispute resolution with as little formality and				
			technicality and with as much expedition as				
			the requirements of Part 8 of the Code and				
			a proper hearing and determination of the				
			dispute permit.				

SECTION 16: ELECTRICITY LICENCES - LICENSEE SPECIFIC CONDITIONS AND OBLIGATIONS

THIS SECTION IS NOT APPLICABLE TO EMU DOWNS WIND FARM JOINT VENTURE AS THERE HAVE BEEN NO SPECIFIC CONDITIONS AND OBLIGATIONS ATTACHED TO THE GENERATION LICENCE

NOTE NP - not possible to provide a compliance rating because no activity has taken place to exercise the obligation during the audit period

NA - Not applicable to audit period and as such not assessed



APPENDIX 2

EMU DOWNS WIND FARM JOINT VENTURE ASSET MANAGEMENT REVIEW

AUGUST 2019



Introduction

Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture operates under the first generation licence issued, EGL1, and has proven a reliable well run facility. The original equipment manufacturer, OEM, has been contracted operator of the windfarm since inception and utilises access to worldwide experience with similar wind turbine generators, WTG. The windfarm is now in mid-life and operational experience and good operation and maintenance has enabled the operator to agree to a higher contracted availability than previously.

The solar farm has just come out of the defects liability period and the constructor also currently has the operation contract.

The Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture is only a small part of APA's portfolio and financial risks of the facility are small compared with, for example, some of their gas pipelines but risk assessments also give consideration to reputation and other aspects of the operations.

Operations are well managed with condition monitoring, planning and managing the O&M to meet stakeholders' needs.

Safety LTI, environmental and community issues have all been zero throughout the reporting period, quality of supply non-compliances (minor flicker and voltage) have not been attributable to the facility.

WTG production and availability have been marginally below budget (2.15% and 1.17% respectively average of annual availabilities over the period). Solar production has also been below budget due to teething troubles and curtailment for other reasons.

Processes within for the solar farm are being refined with APA collaborating with the contractors. The integration of the solar farm into the windfarm's SAP program is beneficial to the process.

No penalties have been applied for availability or capacity to either of the contractors under the O&M contracts during the reporting period.



Table 15 Effectiveness Criteria Descriptors

1	Key Process - Asset Planning	Outcome		
	Asset planning strategies focuses on	Asset planning is integrated into operational		
	meeting customer needs in the most	or business plans, providing a framework for existing and new assets to be effectively		
	effective and efficient manner (delivering	utilised and their service optimised.		
	the right service at the right price).			
1.1	Asset management plan covers the proces			
1.2	with business planning	ne needs of all stakeholders and are integrated		
1.3	Service levels are defined in the asset mana			
1.4	Non-asset options (e.g. demand manageme	,		
1.5	Lifecycle costs of owning and operating ass	ets are assessed		
1.6	Funding options are evaluated			
1.7	Costs are justified and cost drivers identifie			
1.8	Likelihood and consequences of asset failu	•		
1.9	Asset management plan is regularly reviewe	ed and updated		
2	Key Process - Asset creation and	Outcome		
	acquisition	The asset acquisition framework is economic		
	Asset creation/acquisition is the provision	efficient and cost-effective; it reduces demand		
	or improvement of assets.	for new assets, lowers service costs and		
		improves service delivery.		
0.4				
2.1	Full project evaluations are undertaken for n			
2.2	including comparative assessment of non-a	SSEL SOLUTIONS		
2.2	Evaluations include all life-cycle costs Projects reflect sound engineering and busir	anna daniniana		
2.3	Commissioning tests are documented and c			
2.4	Ongoing legal/environmental/safety obligation	·		
2.5	understood	ons of the asset owner are assigned and		
3	Key process - Asset disposal	Outcome		
	Asset disposal is the consideration of	The asset management framework minimises		
	alternatives for the disposal of surplus	holdings of surplus and underperforming		
	Obsolete, under-performing or			
	l	benefits of disposal options are evaluated.		
	unserviceable assets.	benefits of disposal options are evaluated.		
3.1	Under-utilised and under-performing assets			
3.1				
3.1	Under-utilised and under-performing assets review process			
	Under-utilised and under-performing assets review process	are identified as part of a regular systematic		
	Under-utilised and under-performing assets review process The reasons for under-utilisation or poor per	are identified as part of a regular systematic		
3.2	Under-utilised and under-performing assets review process The reasons for under-utilisation or poor per action or disposal undertaken	are identified as part of a regular systematic		
3.2	Under-utilised and under-performing assets review process The reasons for under-utilisation or poor per action or disposal undertaken Disposal alternatives are evaluated	are identified as part of a regular systematic		
3.2 3.3 3.4	Under-utilised and under-performing assets review process The reasons for under-utilisation or poor per action or disposal undertaken Disposal alternatives are evaluated There is a replacement strategy for assets	are identified as part of a regular systematic formance are critically examined and corrective		



	and assesses all external factors affecting	and identifies corrective action to maintain						
	the asset management system.	performance requirements.						
	and decet management eyetem.	periormanies requirementer						
4.1	Opportunities and threats in the asset management system environment are assessed							
4.2	Performance standards (availability of service							
	capacity							
	continuity							
	emergency response							
	etc.) are measured and achieved							
4.3	Compliance with statutory and regulatory red	quirements						
4.4	Service standard (customer service levels et	•						
5	Key Process - Asset operations	Outcome						
	Asset operations is the day-to- day running	The asset operation plans adequately						
	of assets (where the asset is used for its	document the processes and knowledge of						
	intended purpose).	staff in the operation of assets so service levels						
	, , ,	can be consistently achieved.						
5.1	Operational policies and procedures are doc	cumented and linked to service levels required						
5.2	Risk management is applied to prioritise ope	erations tasks						
5.3	Assets are documented in an asset register							
	location	,						
	material							
	plans of components							
	and an assessment of assets' physical/stru	ctural condition						
5.4	Accounting data is documented for assets							
5.5	Operational costs are measured and monitor	red						
5.6	Staff resources are adequate and staff receive	ve training commensurate with their						
	responsibilities							
6	Key process - Asset maintenance	Outcome						
	Asset maintenance is the upkeep of assets.	The asset maintenance plans cover the						
		scheduling and resourcing of the maintenance						
		tasks so work can be done on time and on cost.						
6.1	Maintenance policies and procedures are do	ocumented and linked to service levels required						
6.2	Regular inspections are undertaken of asset	performance and condition						
6.3	Maintenance plans (emergency							
	corrective and preventative) are documented	ed and completed on schedule						
6.4	Failures are analysed and operational/mainte	enance plans adjusted where necessary						
6.5	Risk management is applied to prioritise ma							
6.6	Maintenance costs are measured and monitor	ored						
7	Key process - Asset Management	Outcome -						
	Information System (MIS)	The asset management information system						
	An asset management information system provides authorized, complete and accura							
	is a combination of processes, data and	information for the day-to-day running of the						
		information for the day-to-day running of the asset management system.						



		The feetie of the review is the secureary of						
		The focus of the review is the accuracy of						
		performance information used by the licensee						
7.4	A land to the state of the stat	to monitor and report on service standards						
7.1	Adequate system documentation for users and IT operators Input controls include suitable verification and validation of data entered into the system							
7.2	•	d validation of data entered into the system						
7.3	Security access controls appear adequate							
	such as passwords							
7.4	Physical security access controls appear ad	·						
7.5	Data backup procedures appear adequate a	•						
7.6	Computations for licensee performance repo	_						
7.7	Management reports appear adequate for the							
7.8		ement data from unauthorised access or theft						
	by persons outside the organisation							
8	Key Process - Risk Management							
	Risk management involves the	The risk management framework effectively						
	identification of risks and their management	manages the risk that the licensee does not						
	within an acceptable level of risk.	maintain effective service standards						
8.1		exist and are applied to minimise internal and						
	external risks							
8.2	Risks are documented in a risk register and	treatment plans are implemented and						
	monitored							
8.3	Probability and consequences of asset failure	re are regularly assessed						
9	Key Process - Contingency Planning	Outcome-						
	Contingency plans document the steps to	Contingency plans have been developed and						
	deal with the unexpected failure of an asset	tested to minimise any major disruptions to						
		service standards.						
9.1	Contingency plans are documented							
	understood and tested to confirm their oper							
10	Key Process - Financial Planning							
	Financial brings together the financial							
	elements of the service delivery to ensure	the long- term financial viability of the services.						
	its financial viability over the long term.							
10.1	The financial plan states the financial object	ves and identifies strategies and actions to						
	achieve those							
10.2	-	nds for capital expenditure and recurrent costs						
10.3	The financial plan provides projections of op							
	statement of financial position (balance she	•						
10.4	The financial plan provides firm predictions	on income for the next five years and						
1	reasonable predictions beyond this period							
		The financial plan provides for the operations and maintenance						
10.5	The financial plan provides for the operation							
	The financial plan provides for the operation administration and capital expenditure requ	irements of the services						
10.5	The financial plan provides for the operation administration and capital expenditure requ							



11	Key Process - Capital Expenditure	Outcome -				
	Planning	The capital expenditure plan provides reliable				
	The capital expenditure plan provides a	forward estimates of capital expenditure and				
	schedule of new works, rehabilitation and	asset disposal income. Reasons for the				
	replacement works, together with	decisions and for the evaluation of alternatives				
	estimated annual expenditure for these	and options are documented.				
	works over the next five or more years.					
	Since capital investments tend to be large					
	and lumpy, projections would normally be					
	expected to cover at least 10 years					
	preferably longer.					
	Projections over the next five years would					
	usually be based on firm estimates.					
11.1	There is a capital expenditure plan covering	works to be undertaken				
	actions proposed					
110	responsibilities and dates					
11.2	The capital expenditure plan provides reaso	ns for capital expenditure and timing of				
11.0	expenditure	The state of the s				
11.3	· · ·	ith the asset life and condition identified in the				
44.4	asset management plan					
11.4	·	capital expenditure plan is regularly updated				
12	and implemented Key Process - Review of AMS	Outcome				
12	The asset management system is regularly					
	reviewed and updated.	reviewed and updated.				
12.1	A review process is in place to ensure the as	•				
14.1	management system described in it remain					
12.2	3					
14.4	Independent reviews (e.g. internal audit) are performed of the asset management system					



Table 16 Audit Review Ratings and Recommendations

1.		ess - Asset Planning		management process and	Asset management performance		
	•	anning strategies focuses on meeting customer	policy	definition adequacy rating	rating		
		the most effective and efficient manner (delivering					
	the right service at the right price).			_	_		
	Outcome			Α	1		
		anning is integrated into operational or business					
		oviding a framework for existing and new assets to					
		vely utilised and their service optimised.					
Interviewe				ant documentation:			
APA Paul	McLagan	Operations Manager - Power Division	2		Wind and Solar Farm Compliance		
			Manua				
APA Stuar	rt Dodds	Asset Manager Renewables and Transmission -	3	Superseded 33160101R0 Complia	• • •		
		Power Division	4 33170101R1 EDWF Year Plan 2017-2018.pdf				
APA Glen	Thomsen	Commercial Manager - Power Division	5 33180101R1 EDWF Year Plan 2018-2019.pdf				
			6 33190101R EDWF Year Plan 2019-2020 Rev 1.pdf				
APA Naon	ni Donohue	Market & Regulatory Specialist - Power Division	7	33180104R0 - CMP Report.pdf			
			9	EDRF 33180103R3 - Risk Manage	ement - Rev 3.pdf		
			10	EDRF 33190101S - Sapphire Lice	nse.pdf		
			11	EDRF Document Register - 2019.	odf		
			12	EDRF Risk Guide 2018.pdf			
			13	EDRF Risk Summary 2019.pdf			
			14	EDWF - 190216-01 - Farm Rules I	Rev 1.pdf		
			15	EDWF - 280116-01 - OH&S Policy	r.pdf		
			16	EDWF - 280116-02 - Environment	al Policy.pdf		
			17	EDWF 33170103R1 - Project Proc	edure.pdf		
			18	EDWF 33180101R4 - AMS Rev 4.	pdf		
			19	EDWF O&M Report - July 2015 to	June 2016.pdf		



20	EDWF O&M Report - July 2016 to June 2017.pdf
21	EDRF O&M Report - July 2017 to June 2018.pdf
22	EDRF OM Report - July 2018 to June 2019.pdf
23	EDWF Operating Protocol V2 Final_signed.pdf
24	EDWF Risk Register 2016.pdf
25	EDWF Risk Register 2017.pdf
26	EDWF Risk Register 2018.pdf
27	EDWF Risk Register 2019.pdf
28	EDWF Risk Register 2019.pdf EDWF Risk Summary 2016.pdf
	·
29	EDWF Risk Summary 2017.pdf
30	EDWF Risk Summary 2018.pdf
31	EDWF Year Plan 2015-2016 Rev 1.pdf
32	EDWF Year Plan 2016-2017 Rev 0.pdf
34	2. FY2016 APT Audit Report.pdf
35	2. FY2016 APTIT Audit Report.pdf
36	2. FY2017 APT Audit Report.pdf
37	2. FY2017 APTIT Audit Report.pdf
38	2. FY2018 APTIT Auditor Report.pdf
39	2. HY2019 APT Audit Report.pdf
40	2. HY2019 APTIT Audit Report.pdf
46	3.3 Revenue Projections - EDWF.xlsx
47	4. Funding - EDWF - Need to review.pdf
48	6. FY19 Capex Plan - EDWF.pdf
49	6. FY20 Capex Plan - EDSF.pdf
50	6. FY20 Capex Plan - EDWF.pdf
51	7. Capex Act v Bud FY19.xlsx
53	8. Capex Review Process.pdf
54	9. O&M Cost Drive - EDSF.pdf
55	9. O&M Cost Drive - EDWF.pdf



r	
56	12. Fix Asset Register - EDSF.xlsx
57	12. Fix Asset Register- EDWF.xlsx
71	EDWF System Recovery Document 2019.pdf
72	EDWFS _ Business Impact Analysis 2018.pdf
73	EDWFS System Recovery Sheet 2019.pdf
75	IT Backup Standard v1.2.pdf
81	EDRF Compliance Register 17-18.pdf
82	EDWF - 20816-01 - Economic Regulation Authority Compliance
Report	t July 2015 to June 2016.pdf
83	EDWF - 110718-01 - Economic Regulation Authority Compliance
Report	t July 2017 to June 2018.pdf
84	EDWF - 220817-01 - Economic Regulation Authority Compliance
Report	t July 2016 to June 2017.pdf
92	
	Asset%20Management%20Plan_Emu%20Downs%20-%2009112017.
pdf	
94	0544 EDSF OM Monthly Meeting Minutes_20190613pdf
95	EDWF Substation Scheduled Maintenance Rev 3 - 12-3-19.pdf
96	EDWF Substation Spares 12-03-19.xls
97	EDRF Schedule Maintenance.xls
100	APA.WA.CA.699_Emu Downs OM_Executed_Word Searchable.pdf
103	EDWF - 031117-01 - WTG Availability.pdf
105	EDWF - 131016-01 - WTG Availability.pdf
106	EDWF - 041115-01 - WTG Availability.pdf
107	WHSP\Addendum 4 - EDWF Risk Register and Control Matrix.doc
108	WHSP\Addendum 4 - Site Risk Register.pdf
109	WHSP\Addendum 6 - Environmental Aspects and Control
Mecha	nsims.pdf
<u> </u>	•



110	WHSP\Addendum 6 - EDWF Environmental Aspects and Control	
Mechansims.docx		
111	WHSP\Addendum 7 - Safety Environmental Check Form.pdf	
112	WHSP\Addendum 11 - EDWF ERP Rev F.DOC	
113	WHSP\Addendum 11 - Emergency Response Plan.pdf	
114	WHSP\Addendum 12 - Health and Safety Incident Reporting	
Require	rements.pdf	
116	WHSP\Noise_measurement_summary_NM82-1650_2004-01-19.pdf	
118	WHSP\Vestas ASP Standard - Fitness for Work.pdf	
119	WHSP\Vestas_Drug%20%20Alcohol-	
Free%	20Workplace%20Policy%20R.1.pdf	
120	0000-2968.pdf	
121	0000-9776.pdf	
122	0003-9663.pdf	
123	20190731114817535.pdf	
124	Asset Criticality C ED CR M W S E.xlsx	
126	06 UGL Contract #126-144	
146	EDRF - 260718-01 - Maintenance Schedule.pdf	
147	EDRF - Operating Agreement - Contacts.pdf	
148	EDWF - 090816-01 - Maintenance Schedule.pdf	
149	EDWF - 220817-02 - Maintenance Schedule.pdf	
150	EDWF - 240915-01 - Maintenance Schedule.pdf	
151	EDWF Operating Protocol V2 Final_signed.pdf	
152	Emu Downs Deed of Variation - WP Execution Page.pdf	
153	Emu Downs Deed of Variation & Restated Connection Agreement.pdf	
154	Network Access Agreement Conformed Copy.pdf	
156	MCM monthly meetings notes # 158-201	
172	2017\01 EDWF MCM Notes - January 2017.pdf	
173	2017\02 EDWF MCM Notes - February 2017.pdf	



174	2017\03 EDWF MCM Notes - March 2017.pdf
175	2017\04 EDWF MCM Notes - April 2017.pdf
176	2017\05 EDWF MCM Notes - May 2017.pdf
177	2017\06 EDWF MCM Notes - June 2017.pdf
178	2017\07 EDWF MCM Notes - July 2017.pdf
179	2017\08 EDWF MCM Notes - August 2017.pdf
180	2017\09 EDWF MCM Notes - September 2017.pdf
181	2017\10 EDWF MCM Notes - October 2017.pdf
182	2017\11 EDWF MCM Notes - November 2017.pdf
183	2017\12 EDWF MCM Notes - December 2017.pdf
202	EDWF O and M Notes #204-249
249	EDSF O and M Notes #250-265
265	Vestas Emu Downs Wind Farm Emergency Response Plan
267	EDRF 33180104S - SVC Air-con.pdf
270	EDWF-E-5213-4 Rev 04.pdf
271	Risk Assessment - SVC Air-con.pdf
272	SVC DRAFT Arc Flash Safety RA 20th May 2019.pdf
273	500-PR-0004.pdf
274	500-PR-0005.pdf
275	ARENA LSS Project Plan EDSF 15 June 2016.pdf
277	Emu Downs Wind Farm - AMP.docx
278	Operation and Maintenance Plan - EMU DOWNS 2019.pdf"
280	3200-0544 Emu Downs - Emergency Response Plan Rev B.pdf"
281	3200-0544 Emu Downs Project Safety Management Plan Rev 0A.pdf"
282	3200-0544-PLN-003_R1 - Project Quality Plan.pdf"
284	Avail Calc Summary 2019.pdf"
285	EDSF O&M HSSE Risk Register R0A - EIMS-7-5448 - Section 2
BR.pdf	-
286	EDSF-MAN-OM-0001_1_AB.pdf"
	175 176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183 202 249 265 267 270 271 272 273 274 275 277 278 280 281 282 284 285 BR.pdf



287 Emu Downs - Operating Protocol - Rev 0.pdf
286 EDSF-MAN-OM-0001_1_AB.pdf"
287 Emu Downs - Operating Protocol - Rev 0.pdf



Criteria Effectiveness				
	Evidence Ref#	Performance	Adequacy rating	Performance Rating
1.1 Asset management plan covers the processes in this table	2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 31, 32, 34, 48, 49, 50, 56, 57, 71, 72, 73, 75, 82, 83, 84, 92, 95, 96, 103, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 111, 112, 113, 114, 118, 119, 126, 151, 153, 154, 156, 202, 265, 277, 278, 280, 281, 282, 285, 286, 287	The AMPs (APA's and Vestas) and UGL O&M Manual, together with procedures in place cover the processes in this table.	A	1
1.2 Planning processes and objectives reflect the needs of all stakeholders and are integrated with business planning	4, 5, 6, 10, 14, 18, 31, 32, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 53, 92, 100, 126, 146, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 156, 202, 273, 274, 275, 277, 278, 284, 287	The main asset addition, the solar farm, was planned prior to this audit period. Implementation and Arena funding application were during the reporting period and followed well defined procedures addressing stakeholder's needs. Other smaller additions were seen to reflect stakeholder's requirements and followed established processes.	A	1
1.3 Service levels are defined in the asset management plan.	2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 31, 32, 81, 82, 83, 84, 92, 103, 105, 106, 116, 126, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 153, 154, 249, 275, 277, 284, 286	Service levels are stated in the AMS, policies and procedures and form part of the performance parameters in the O&M Contracts for the wind and solar farms.	A	1
1.4 Non-asset options (e.g. demand management) are considered		Demand management is not applicable to generators paid on energy dispatched.	Not As	sessed



1.5 Lifecycle costs of owning	2, 10, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 46, 273, 274,	Life cycle costing were employed for the original	Α	1
and operating assets are	275	development and for the solar farm and are maintained. Both		
assessed		wind and solar farms have proved profitable.		
1.6 Funding options are	17, 18, 31, 32, 48, 49, 50, 51, 53, 275	Funding is through APA's overall portfolio of which Emu	Α	1
evaluated		Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture is only a minor portion.		
		Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture funding applications		
		have to be approved by the parent company. Central funding		
		is regularly reviewed.		
1.7 Costs are justified and cost	17, 18, 54, 55, 56, 57, 267, 270, 271, 272,	Procedures require several tenders for large projects (initially	Α	1
drivers identified	275	6 for EDSF). All funding is by APA and has to be justified and		
		approved.		
1.8 Likelihood and	2, 9, 12, 13, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25,	Risk registers are maintained at overall and project level and	Α	1
consequences of asset failure	26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 71, 72, 73, 75, 92, 94,	contingency plans are considered in planning.		
are predicted	95, 96, 97, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113,	The Vestas SAP database reports on the performance of		
	114, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 267, 271,	similar WTG around the world and improvements		
	272, 275, 277	implemented if justified.		
		Additional critical spares such as HV motors requested and		
		budgeted for.		
1.9 Asset management plan is	2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 31,	The AMS was revised twice during the reporting period and	Α	2
regularly reviewed and	32, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 172, 173,	now incorporates the solar farm. Some documents referred		
updated	174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181,	to in the AMP are out of date but the revision process to		
	182, 183, 277	incorporate EDSF and EDWF is in progress		
		Vestas AMP was issued in 2017 and revised in 2018.		

Comments & Recommendations



2.	Asset cre assets. Outcome The asset efficient a assets	ess - Asset creation and acquisition ation/acquisition is the provision or improvement of t acquisition framework is economic nd cost-effective; it reduces demand for new rvice costs and improves service delivery.		management process and y definition adequacy rating	Asset management performance rating 1
Interviewe			Relev	ant documentation:	<u> </u>
APA Paul I		Operations Manager - Power Division	2		RF Wind and Solar Farm Compliance
	-		Manu		
APA Stuart	Dodds	Asset Manager Renewables and Transmission -	3	Superseded 33160101R0 Com	pliance Monitoring Program.pdf
		Power Division	4	33170101R1 EDWF Year Plan	2017-2018.pdf
APA Glen	Thomsen	Commercial Manager - Power Division	5	33180101R1 EDWF Year Plan	2018-2019.pdf
			6	33190101R EDWF Year Plan 2	019-2020 Rev 1.pdf
APA Naom	i Donohue	Market & Regulatory Specialist - Power Division	7	33180104R0 - CMP Report.pdf	
			10	EDRF 33190101S - Sapphire L	icense.pdf
			15	EDWF - 280116-01 - OH&S Po	licy.pdf
			16	EDWF - 280116-02 - Environme	ental Policy.pdf
			17	EDWF 33170103R1 - Project P	rocedure.pdf
			18	EDWF 33180101R4 - AMS Rev	4.pdf
			19	EDWF O&M Report - July 2015	to June 2016.pdf
			20	EDWF O&M Report - July 2016	to June 2017.pdf
			21	EDRF O&M Report - July 2017	·
			22	EDRF OM Report - July 2018 to	·
			31	EDWF Year Plan 2015-2016 Re	•
			32	EDWF Year Plan 2016-2017 Re	•
			34	2. FY2016 APT Audit Report.pd	
			35	2. FY2016 APTIT Audit Report.	pdf



36	2. FY2017 APT Audit Report.pdf
37	2. FY2017 APTIT Audit Report.pdf
38	2. FY2018 APTIT Auditor Report.pdf
39	2. HY2019 APT Audit Report.pdf
40	2. HY2019 APTIT Audit Report.pdf
56	12. Fix Asset Register - EDSF.xlsx
57	12. Fix Asset Register- EDWF.xlsx
92	
	Asset%20Management%20Plan_Emu%20Downs%20-%2009112017.
pdf	
93	Vestas SAP database
107	WHSP\Addendum 4 - EDWF Risk Register and Control Matrix.doc
108	WHSP\Addendum 4 - Site Risk Register.pdf
109	WHSP\Addendum 6 - Environmental Aspects and Control
Mecha	nsims.pdf
110	WHSP\Addendum 6 - EDWF Environmental Aspects and Control
Mecha	nsims.docx
111	WHSP\Addendum 7 - Safety Environmental Check Form.pdf
112	WHSP\Addendum 11 - EDWF ERP Rev F.DOC
113	WHSP\Addendum 11 - Emergency Response Plan.pdf
114	WHSP\Addendum 12 - Health and Safety Incident Reporting
Requir	ements.pdf
115	WHSP\EDWF WHSEMP - SC016666.pdf
116	WHSP\Noise_measurement_summary_NM82-1650_2004-01-19.pdf
117	WHSP\VCOHSM 0055-5622.pdf
118	WHSP\Vestas ASP Standard - Fitness for Work.pdf
119	WHSP\Vestas_Drug%20%20Alcohol-
Free%	20Workplace%20Policy%20R.1.pdf
131	EDSF O&M Contract - Schedule 3 Scope of Services.pdf



13	5 EDSF O&M Contract - Schedule 7 Government Approvals.pdf
13	•••
	chedule.pdf
14	0 EDSF O&M Contract - Schedule 14 Reports.pdf
14	2 EDSF O&M Contract - Schedule 16 WHSE Requirements.pdf
14	3 EDSF O&M Contract - Schedule 17 Cultural Heritage Management
Pla	an.pdf
14	4 EDSF O&M Contract - Schedule 18 ARENA Requirements.pdf
15	MCM monthly meetings notes # 158-201
20	2 EDWF O and M Notes #204-249
24	9 EDSF O and M Notes #250-265
26	8 EDWF-E-5212 Rev 03.pdf
27	2 SVC DRAFT Arc Flash Safety RA 20th May 2019.pdf
27	3 500-PR-0004.pdf
27	74 500-PR-0005.pdf
27	5 ARENA LSS Project Plan EDSF 15 June 2016.pdf
27	6 EDWF commissioning reports
27	7 Emu Downs Wind Farm - AMP.docx
27	8 Operation and Maintenance Plan - EMU DOWNS 2019.pdf"
28	·
28	•



	Criteria Effective	ness	Post R Rati	
	Evidence Ref#	Performance	Adequacy rating	Performance Rating
2.1 Full project evaluations are undertaken for new assets, including comparative assessment of non-asset options	10, 17, 18, 56, 57, 92, 268, 272, 273, 274, 277, 278	Prior to this reporting period the solar farm was evaluated and then put on hold due to political uncertainty. With Arena funding APA's hurdle rate was met and the project implemented during the reporting period. Vesta's performance modifications are justified and approved.	A	1
2.2 Evaluations include all life- cycle costs	2, 18, 273, 274, 275	A detailed life—cycle cost model is maintained and used as part of the asset acquisition process and the maintenance management process.	А	1
2.3 Projects reflect sound engineering and business decisions	10, 18, 93, 272, 273, 274, 275, 286, 287	WTGs are from one of the largest suppliers and O&M contracts for wind farm and solar farm are performance based transferring risk to sub-contractors who are were also the suppliers and installers.	A	1
2.4 Commissioning tests are documented and completed	18, 93, 276	Windfarm commissioning is fully documented on site and Vesta's SAP database provides performance indices for similar WTG worldwide. Performance enhancements from this database have been implemented at the Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture.	A	1
2.5 Ongoing legal / environmental / safety obligations of the asset owner are assigned and understood	2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 31, 32, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 131, 135,	Compliance manual covers all obligations and these are reflected in procedures and reporting. There were no LTI or environmental (incidents bird and bat mortality, noise) issues during the reporting period	A	1



	136, 140, 142, 143, 144, 156, 202, 249, 272, 273, 274, 277, 285, 286, 287				
Comments & Recommendation	Comments & Recommendations				



3.	Key process - Asset disposal	Asset	management process	Asset management
	Asset disposal is the consideration of alternatives for the	and p	olicy definition adequacy	performance rating
	disposal of surplus, obsolete, under-performing or unserviceable assets.	rating	I	
	Outcome The asset management framework minimises holdings of surplus and underperforming assets and lowers service costs. The cost-benefits of disposal options are evaluated.		A	1
Interviewees:		Relev	ant documentation:	
APA Paul McLagan	Operations Manager - Power Division	18	EDWF 33180101R4 - AMS	S Rev 4.pdf
		19	EDWF O&M Report - July	2015 to June 2016.pdf
APA Stuart Dodds	Asset Manager Renewables and Transmission -	20	EDWF O&M Report - July	2016 to June 2017.pdf
	Power Division	22	EDRF OM Report - July 20	018 to June 2019.pdf
APA Glen Thomsen	Commercial Manager - Power Division	93	Vestas SAP database	
		267	EDRF 33180104S - SVC A	Air-con.pdf
APA Naomi Donohue	Market & Regulatory Specialist - Power Division	268	EDWF-E-5212 Rev 03.pdf	
		269	EDWF-E-5213-1 Rev 03.p	df
		270	EDWF-E-5213-4 Rev 04.p	df
		271	Risk Assessment - SVC Ai	ir-con.pdf



	Criteria Effectivene	ess	Post Ro	
	Evidence Ref#	Performance	Adequacy Rating	Performance Rating
3.1 Under-utilised and under-	18, 93, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271	WTG overall and component performance is compared	Α	1
performing assets are		against Vesta's SAP database benchmarks.		
identified as part of a regular		Air-conditioning of the SVC lacked reserve capacity and		
systematic review process		utilised an obsolete refrigerant. This was investigated,		
		alternative refrigerants reduced performance and a new		
		system with N-1 redundancy installed.		
3.2 The reasons for under-	18, 93, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271	Poor performing equipment is investigated using Vesta's	Α	1
utilisation or poor performance		SAP comprehensive database that provides detail		
are critically examined and		examples of normal and abnormal conditions and		
corrective action or disposal		rectification.		
undertaken		Emu Downs Wind Farm examples are changing bearing		
		lubrication from oil to grease and aerodynamic		
		improvements to blades.		
		Emu Downs Solar Farm example is dc connectors faulty due to lack of slack in cables.		
2.2 Diamond alternatives are	18	Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture is in mid-life and	Α	1
3.3 Disposal alternatives are evaluated	10		A	'
evaluated		operating profitably. Disposal is not a consideration at this		
		stage. Consumables are limited, used lubricants are taken off site, failed equipment is collected and taken off site for		
		· · ·		
		refurbishment or recycling.		



				eview
Criteria Effectiveness				ng
	Evidence Ref#	e Ref# Performance		Performance Rating
3.4 There is a replacement	18, 19, 20, 22	Spares are held on site and through the Vesta's network.	Α	1
strategy for assets		Routine servicing of the WTGs is continuous with a stock		
		of consumables held.		
		Balance of plant spares are also held, e.g. SVC		
		transformer.		
		Others, such as the step up transformers have been shown		
		to be uneconomic considering there is partial back through		
		the second transformer.		

Comments & Recommendations



4.		cess - Environmental analysis nental analysis examines the asset management		t management process	Asset management performance rating
	system environment and assesses all external factors affecting		•	uacy rating	rating
		t management system.	aucq	uacy rating	
	Outcome			•	_
		et management system regularly assesses external		Α	1
		ities and threats and identifies corrective action to			
		performance requirements.			
Interviewee		performance requirements.	Polov	vant documentation:	
APA Paul M	_	Operations Manager - Power Division	2		DRF BRF Wind and Solar Farm
AFA FAULL	icLayan	Operations Manager - Fower Division		bliance Manual.pdf	DIVI WING AND SOLAT FAITH
APA Stuart	Dodde	Asset Manager Renewables and Transmission -	3	•	Compliance Monitoring Program.pdf
Al A Glaart	Dodds	Power Division	4	33170101R1 EDWF Yea	
APA Glen T	homsen	Commercial Manager - Power Division	5	33180101R1 EDWF Yea	•
711 71 01011 1	1101110011	Commercial Manager 1 Cwel Etholon	6		Plan 2019-2020 Rev 1.pdf
APA Naomi	Donohue	Market & Regulatory Specialist - Power Division	7	33180104R0 - CMP Rep	•
		3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	9	·	k Management - Rev 3.pdf
			10	EDRF 33190101S - Sapp	•
			12	EDRF Risk Guide 2018.p	·
			13	EDRF Risk Summary 20°	
			18	EDWF 33180101R4 - AM	•
			19	EDWF O&M Report - July	•
			20	EDWF O&M Report - July	•
			21	EDRF O&M Report - July	2017 to June 2018.pdf
			22	EDRF OM Report - July 2	•
			23	EDWF Operating Protoco	ol V2 Final_signed.pdf
			24	EDWF Risk Register 201	6.pdf
			25	EDWF Risk Register 201	
			26	EDWF Risk Register 201	8.pdf



27 EDWF Risk Register 2019.pdf
28 EDWF Risk Summary 2016.pdf
29 EDWF Risk Summary 2017.pdf
30 EDWF Risk Summary 2018.pdf
31 EDWF Year Plan 2015-2016 Rev 1.pdf
32 EDWF Year Plan 2016-2017 Rev 0.pdf
58 14. NTI Green Power Accreditation Invoice June 2016 - EDWF.pdf
59 14. NTI Green Power Accreditation Invoice June 2017 - EDWF.pdf
60 14. NTI Green Power Accreditation Invoice June 2018 - EDWF.pdf
61 14. NTI Green Power Accreditation Payment Jun 2016 - EDWF.pdf
62 14. NTI Green Power Accreditation Payment Jun 2017 - EDWF.pdf
63 14. NTI Green Power Accreditation Payment Jun 2018 - EDWF.pdf
64 15. Authority Invoice June 2016 - EDWF.pdf
65 15. Authority Invoice June 2017 - EDWF.pdf
66 15. Authority Invoice June 2018 - EDWF.pdf
67 15. Authority payment June 2016 - EDWF.pdf
68 15. Authority payment June 2017 - EDWF.pdf
69 15. Authority payment June 2018 - EDWF.pdf
81 EDRF Compliance Register 17-18.pdf
82 EDWF - 20816-01 - Economic Regulation Authority Compliance
Report July 2015 to June 2016.pdf
83 EDWF - 110718-01 - Economic Regulation Authority Compliance
Report July 2017 to June 2018.pdf
84 EDWF - 220817-01 - Economic Regulation Authority Compliance
Report July 2016 to June 2017.pdf
92
Asset%20Management%20Plan_Emu%20Downs%20-%20091120
17
93 Vestas SAP database



94	0544 EDSF OM Monthly Meeting Minutes_20190613pdf
99	EDWF - 021118-01 - WTG Availability.pdf
103	EDWF - 031117-01 - WTG Availability.pdf
104	INS SUS-IMP-EWC ASP_Extreme weather (002).pdf
105	EDWF - 131016-01 - WTG Availability.pdf
106	EDWF - 041115-01 - WTG Availability.pdf
113	WHSP\Addendum 11 - Emergency Response Plan.pdf
114	WHSP\Addendum 12 - Health and Safety Incident Reporting
Require	ements.pdf
116	WHSP\Noise_measurement_summary_NM82-1650_2004-01-
19.pdf	
126	06 UGL Contract #126-144
127	EDSF O&M Contract - Attachment 1 Knowledge Sharing Plan.pdf
135	EDSF O&M Contract - Schedule 7 Government Approvals.pdf
142	EDSF O&M Contract - Schedule 16 WHSE Requirements.pdf
144	EDSF O&M Contract - Schedule 18 ARENA Requirements.pdf
147	EDRF - Operating Agreement - Contacts.pdf
151	EDWF Operating Protocol V2 Final_signed.pdf
154	Network Access Agreement Conformed Copy.pdf
156	MCM monthly meetings notes # 158-201
202	EDWF O and M Notes #204-249
249	EDSF O and M Notes #250-265
275	ARENA LSS Project Plan EDSF 15 June 2016.pdf
277	Emu Downs Wind Farm - AMP.docx
286	EDSF-MAN-OM-0001_1_AB.pdf"
287	Emu Downs - Operating Protocol - Rev 0.pdf



	Criteria Effective	eness	Post Re Ratir	
	Evidence Ref#	Performance	Adequacy Rating	Performance Rating
4.1 Opportunities and threats	4, 5, 6, 9, 12, 13, 18, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28,	SWOT analysis forms part of the annual Year Plan reports.	Α	1
in the asset management	29, 30, 31, 32	There are some indications that this is reviewed annually but		
system environment are		the windfarm is described as 10yrs old for three consecutive		
assessed		annual reports, rectified in the latest report that has a revised SWOT analysis		
4.2 Performance standards	2, 3, 7, 10, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 93,	Performance standards are monitored and reported in O&M	Α	1
(availability of service,	94, 99, 103, 104, 105, 106, 113, 114,	monthly reports. Technical compliance with Western Power's		
capacity, continuity,	126, 154, 156, 202, 249, 275, 277, 285,	requirements are monitors with Elspec units and mainly met.		
emergency response, etc.) are	287	The WFS incorporates some automated performance		
measured and achieved		reporting.		
		Contract availability has been achieved and has been set at a		
		higher level in the new O&M contract for the windfarm. No		
		community complaints were received during the reporting period.		
4.3 Compliance with statutory	2, 3, 7, 10, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 58,	Compliance is monitored and reported in the CMP, meetings	Α	1
and regulatory requirements	59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68,	and via WFS with few non compliances attributable to Emu		
	69, 81, 82, 83, 84, 127, 135, 142, 144,	Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture.		
	147, 151, 156, 202, 249, 275, 277, 285,			
	287			



4.4 Service standard	2, 3, 7, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 92, 93, 94,	2, 93, 94, Customer service levels are mainly achieved and documented		1
(customer service levels etc.)	105, 106, 116, 156, 202, 249, 277, 285,	in the monthly and annual reports. Non-compliances are		
are measured and achieved	287	reported through the WFS and manually.		

Comments & Recommendations

5.	Key Proces	ss - Asset operations	Asset	management process and	Asset management performance
	Asset opera	ations is the day-to- day running of assets (where	policy	definition adequacy rating	rating
	the asset is	used for its intended purpose).			
	Outcome				
	The asset of	peration plans adequately document the		Δ	1
	processes a	and knowledge of staff in the operation of assets			•
	so service l	evels can be consistently achieved.			
Interv	iewees:		Relev	ant documentation:	
APA	Paul McLagan	Operations Manager - Power Division	3	Superseded 33160101R0 Comp	oliance Monitoring Program.pdf
			9	EDRF 33180103R3 - Risk Mana	agement - Rev 3.pdf
APA	Stuart Dodds	Asset Manager Renewables and	10	EDRF 33190101S - Sapphire Li	cense.pdf
		Transmission - Power Division	11 EDRF Document Register - 2019.pdf		9.pdf
APA	Glen Thomsen	Commercial Manager - Power Division	12	EDRF Risk Guide 2018.pdf	
			13	EDRF Risk Summary 2019.pdf	
APA	Naomi Donohue	Market & Regulatory Specialist - Power Division	14	EDWF - 190216-01 - Farm Rule	es Rev 1.pdf
			15	EDWF - 280116-01 - OH&S Pol	licy.pdf
Vestas	s Ian Manns	EDWF Site Supervisor	16	EDWF - 280116-02 - Environme	ental Policy.pdf
			17	EDWF 33170103R1 - Project P	rocedure.pdf
UGL	Thomas Ray	Commissioning Engineer	18	EDWF 33180101R4 - AMS Rev	4.pdf
			19	EDWF O&M Report - July 2015	to June 2016.pdf
UGL	Gary Stone	Operations Engineer - Renewables	20	EDWF O&M Report - July 2016	to June 2017.pdf
			21	EDRF O&M Report - July 2017	to June 2018.pdf



UGL	John Maroney	Junior Operations Engineer - Renewables	22	EDRF OM Report - July 2018 to June 2019.pdf
			23	EDWF Operating Protocol V2 Final_signed.pdf
			24	EDWF Risk Register 2016.pdf
			25	EDWF Risk Register 2017.pdf
			26	EDWF Risk Register 2018.pdf
			27	EDWF Risk Register 2019.pdf
			28	EDWF Risk Summary 2016.pdf
			29	EDWF Risk Summary 2017.pdf
			30	EDWF Risk Summary 2018.pdf
			34	2. FY2016 APT Audit Report.pdf
			35	2. FY2016 APTIT Audit Report.pdf
			36	2. FY2017 APT Audit Report.pdf
			37	2. FY2017 APTIT Audit Report.pdf
			38	2. FY2018 APTIT Auditor Report.pdf
			39	2. HY2019 APT Audit Report.pdf
			40	2. HY2019 APTIT Audit Report.pdf
			42	3.1 Profit & Lose - EDSF.xlsx
			43	3.1 Profit & loss - EDWF.xlsx
			44	3.2 Balance Sheet - EDSF.xlsx
			45	3.2 Balance Sheet - EDWF.xlsx
			46	3.3 Revenue Projections - EDWF.xlsx
			52	08. EDRF FAR.xlsx
			56	12. Fix Asset Register - EDSF.xlsx
			57	12. Fix Asset Register- EDWF.xlsx
			71	EDWF System Recovery Document 2019.pdf
			77	MD70 Wind Forecast System.pdf
			92	
				Asset%20Management%20Plan_Emu%20Downs%20-%2009112017.
			pdf	



93	Vestas SAP database
95	EDWF Substation Scheduled Maintenance Rev 3 - 12-3-19.pdf
96	EDWF Substation Spares 12-03-19.xls
97	EDRF Schedule Maintenance.xls
98	EDRF HV Switching 2018.pdf
100	APA.WA.CA.699_Emu Downs OM_Executed_Word Searchable.pdf
102	WA Elc Contractor Licence_2018-02-19.pdf
104	INS SUS-IMP-EWC ASP_Extreme weather (002).pdf
108	WHSP\Addendum 4 - Site Risk Register.pdf
109	WHSP\Addendum 6 - Environmental Aspects and Control
Mecha	ansims.pdf
110	WHSP\Addendum 6 - EDWF Environmental Aspects and Control
Mecha	ansims.docx
114	WHSP\Addendum 12 - Health and Safety Incident Reporting
Requir	rements.pdf
115	WHSP\EDWF WHSEMP - SC016666.pdf
119	WHSP\Vestas_Drug%20%20Alcohol-
Free%	20Workplace%20Policy%20R.1.pdf
124	Asset Criticality C ED CR M W S E.xlsx
126	06 UGL Contract #126-144
134	EDSF O&M Contract - Schedule 6 Key Personnel.pdf
135	EDSF O&M Contract - Schedule 7 Government Approvals.pdf
142	EDSF O&M Contract - Schedule 16 WHSE Requirements.pdf
144	EDSF O&M Contract - Schedule 18 ARENA Requirements.pdf
151	EDWF Operating Protocol V2 Final_signed.pdf
156	MCM monthly meetings notes # 158-201
202	EDWF O and M Notes #204-249
249	EDSF O and M Notes #250-265
275	ARENA LSS Project Plan EDSF 15 June 2016.pdf



277 Emu Downs Wind Farm - AMP.docx
278 Operation and Maintenance Plan - EMU DOWNS 2019.pdf"
280 3200-0544 Emu Downs - Emergency Response Plan Rev B.pdf"
281 3200-0544 Emu Downs Project Safety Management Plan Rev 0A.pdf"
282 3200-0544-PLN-003_R1 - Project Quality Plan.pdf"
284 Avail Calc Summary 2019.pdf"
285 EDSF O&M HSSE Risk Register R0A - EIMS-7-5448 - Section 2
BR.pdf
286 EDSF-MAN-OM-0001_1_AB.pdf"
287 Emu Downs - Operating Protocol - Rev 0.pdf



	Criteria Effective	eness	Rev	ost view ting
	Evidence Ref#	Performance	Adequacy Rating	Performance rating
5.1 Operational policies and procedures are documented and linked to service levels required	3, 10, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 23, 77, 93, 95, 96, 97, 98, 100, 109, 110, 114, 115, 119, 126, 135, 144, 151, 277, 278, 279, 280, 281, 284, 286, 287	Sapphire software upgrade allows EDSF to be incorporated in compliance reporting. CMP database monitors performance WP System Management have visibility of availability, capacity etc. and can limit output. Vestas and UGL reflect service levels in their processes	A	1
5.2 Risk management is applied to prioritise operations tasks	9, 11, 12, 13, 18, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 71, 93, 95, 97, 104, 108, 124, 277, 285, 286	With 48 WTG and over 60,000PV panels O&M is ongoing. Maintenance tasks have a +/- 2 week window to allow for non-scheduled tasks which are prioritised on risk, in particular availability and performance. The Vestas world database assists in risk assessment maintenance planning.	A	1
5.3 Assets are documented in an Asset Register including asset type, location, material, plans of components, an assessment of assets' physical/structural condition and accounting data	18, 52, 56, 57, 92, 93, 100, 126, 277, 286	Finance maintain an asset register, as do the O&M Contractors, Vestas using their SAP program which assists in maintenance planning an d recording condition and history. The solar farm is being integrated into this system.	A	1



	Criteria Effective	eness	Rev	ost view ting
	Evidence Ref#	Performance	Adequacy Rating	Performance rating
5.4 Operational costs are measured and monitored	18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 92, 93, 100, 126, 156, 202, 249, 275	Fixed O&M costs are incorporated in the O&M Contracts apart from force majeure, SVC and step up transformers unscheduled faults. Unscheduled O&M costs are monitored and reported in monthly and annual reports,	Ā	1
5.5 Staff resources are adequate and staff receive training commensurate with their responsibilities	18, 92, 98, 100, 102, 134, 142, 278	All staff have to be appropriately trained; eg HV switching, working at heights, electrician licence. Vestas have comprehensive training for their staff specific to their operating systems, working in towers etc. Vestas were sub-contracted for day to day O&M of the solar farm during the audit period.	A	1

Comments & Recommendations		



6.		- Asset maintenance		management process	Asset management performance
		nance is the upkeep of assets.	_	olicy definition adequacy	rating
	Outcome		rating		
	The asset maintenance plans cover the scheduling and resourcing of the maintenance tasks so work can be done on time and on cost.			_	_
	or the mainter	lance tasks so work can be done on time and on cost.		Α	1
Interv	iewees:		Relev	ant documentation:	
APA	Paul McLagan	Operations Manager - Power Division	2	330-MAN-CMP-8000 - ED	RF BRF Wind and Solar Farm
			Comp	liance Manual.pdf	
APA	Stuart Dodds	Asset Manager Renewables and Transmission -	3	Superseded 33160101R0	Compliance Monitoring Program.pdf
		Power Division	4	33170101R1 EDWF Year	Plan 2017-2018.pdf
APA	Glen Thomsen	Commercial Manager - Power Division	5	33180101R1 EDWF Year	Plan 2018-2019.pdf
			6	33190101R EDWF Year P	lan 2019-2020 Rev 1.pdf
APA	Naomi Donohue	Market & Regulatory Specialist - Power Division	7	33180104R0 - CMP Repo	rt.pdf
			9	EDRF 33180103R3 - Risk	Management - Rev 3.pdf
Vesta	s Ian Manns	EDWF Site Supervisor	12	EDRF Risk Guide 2018.pd	lf
			13	EDRF Risk Summary 2019	9.pdf
UGL	Thomas Ray	Commissioning Engineer	18	EDWF 33180101R4 - AMS	S Rev 4.pdf
			19	EDWF O&M Report - July	2015 to June 2016.pdf
UGL	Gary Stone	Operations Engineer - Renewables	20	EDWF O&M Report - July	2016 to June 2017.pdf
			21	EDRF O&M Report - July	2017 to June 2018.pdf
UGL	John Maroney	Junior Operations Engineer - Renewables	22	EDRF OM Report - July 20	018 to June 2019.pdf
			23	EDWF Operating Protocol	V2 Final_signed.pdf
			24	EDWF Risk Register 2016	i.pdf
			25	EDWF Risk Register 2017	î.pdf
			26	EDWF Risk Register 2018	.pdf
			27	EDWF Risk Register 2019	.pdf
			28	EDWF Risk Summary 201	6.pdf
			29	EDWF Risk Summary 201	7.pdf
			30	EDWF Risk Summary 201	8.pdf



31	EDWF Year Plan 2015-2016 Rev 1.pdf
32	EDWF Year Plan 2016-2017 Rev 0.pdf
41	03. Document list.xlsx
42	3.1 Profit & Lose - EDSF.xlsx
43	3.1 Profit & loss - EDWF.xlsx
44	3.2 Balance Sheet - EDSF.xlsx
45	3.2 Balance Sheet - EDWF.xlsx
46	3.3 Revenue Projections - EDWF.xlsx
54	9. O&M Cost Drive - EDSF.pdf
55	9. O&M Cost Drive - EDWF.pdf
92	
	Asset%20Management%20Plan_Emu%20Downs%20-%200911
20	_
93	Vestas SAP database
95	EDWF Substation Scheduled Maintenance Rev 3 - 12-3-19.pdf
97	EDRF Schedule Maintenance.xls
100	APA.WA.CA.699_Emu Downs OM_Executed_Word
Search	hable.pdf
107	WHSP\Addendum 4 - EDWF Risk Register and Control
Matrix.	.doc
111	WHSP\Addendum 7 - Safety Environmental Check Form.pdf
112	WHSP\Addendum 11 - EDWF ERP Rev F.DOC
120	0000-2968.pdf
121	0000-9776.pdf
122	0003-9663.pdf
123	20190731114817535.pdf
131	EDSF O&M Contract - Schedule 3 Scope of Services.pdf
137	EDSF O&M Contract - Schedule 9 Availability Guarantee.pdf
139	EDSF O&M Contract - Schedule 13 Maintenance Facilities.pdf
	220. Com Comand Conduct to Marketanoo Ladintoopal



140 EDSF O&M Contract - Schedule 14 Reports.pdf
141 EDSF O&M Contract - Schedule 15 Spare Parts.pdf
146 EDRF - 260718-01 - Maintenance Schedule.pdf
147 EDRF - Operating Agreement - Contacts.pdf
148 EDWF - 090816-01 - Maintenance Schedule.pdf
149 EDWF - 220817-02 - Maintenance Schedule.pdf
150 EDWF - 240915-01 - Maintenance Schedule.pdf
156 MCM monthly meetings notes # 158-201
202 EDWF O and M Notes #204-249
249 EDSF O and M Notes #250-265
271 Risk Assessment - SVC Air-con.pdf
272 SVC DRAFT Arc Flash Safety RA 20th May 2019.pdf
277 Emu Downs Wind Farm - AMP.docx
278 Operation and Maintenance Plan - EMU DOWNS 2019.pdf"
280 3200-0544 Emu Downs - Emergency Response Plan Rev B.pdf"
281 3200-0544 Emu Downs Project Safety Management Plan Rev
0A.pdf"
282 3200-0544-PLN-003_R1 - Project Quality Plan.pdf"
284 Avail Calc Summary 2019.pdf"
285 EDSF O&M HSSE Risk Register R0A - EIMS-7-5448 - Section 2
BR.pdf
286 EDSF-MAN-OM-0001_1_AB.pdf"
287 Emu Downs - Operating Protocol - Rev 0.pdf



	Criteria Effective	eness	Rev	ost view ting
	Evidence Ref#	Performance	Adequacy Rating	Performance Rating
6.1 Maintenance policies and	2, 3, 7, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 41, 92, 93, 95,	Maintenance requirements are specified in the O&M Contracts	Α	1
procedures are documented	97, 100, 120, 121, 122, 123, 131, 137,	and plans included in monthly and annual reports with a 12		
and linked to service levels	139, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 156, 202,	month look ahead.		
required	249, 277, 278, 286, 287	Work impacting on generation are scheduled for low wind		
		season for the wind farm and low solar inundation periods for		
		EDSF. Advantage is taken of any Western Power scheduled		
		outages for substation work.		
6.2 Regular inspections are	18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 92, 93, 100, 131, 140,	Performance is closely monitored electronically and routine	Α	1
undertaken of asset	156, 202, 249, 277, 278, 287	inspections made of the facility as per the contracts.		
performance and condition		Feedback from similar Vestas operations are employed in maintenance.		
6.3 Maintenance plans	4, 5, 6, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 92, 93, 97, 100,	Routine maintenance has to be on schedule as required in the	Α	1
(emergency, corrective and	111, 112, 131, 139, 140, 141, 156, 202,	contracts.		
preventative) are documented	249, 278, 287	Preventative maintenance is often the initiative of the contractor		
and completed on schedule		to minimise their exposure to penalties.		
		All maintenance is reported to APA and entered in the SAP		
		database.		
		A full history of each WTG is available and the solar farm is now		
		incorporated in this too.		
6.4 Failures are analysed and	18, 92, 93, 100, 131, 156, 202, 249	Failures are analysed and responded to and reported in the	Α	1
operational/ maintenance		monthly and annual reports.		



	Criteria Effectiv	eness	Rev	ost view ting
	Evidence Ref#	Performance	Adequacy	Performance Rating
plans adjusted where		The Vestas global database provides assistance in analysis		
necessary		and correction		
6.5 Risk management is	4, 5, 6, 9, 12, 13, 18, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28,	Risk management is applied to prioritise maintenance tasks.	Α	1
applied to prioritise	29, 30, 31, 32, 92, 100, 107, 131, 156,			Ì
maintenance tasks	202, 249, 271, 272			
6.6 Maintenance costs are	18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 54,	Scheduled maintenance costs are included in the contract.	Α	1
measured and monitored	55, 92, 93, 100, 131, 156, 202, 249	Unscheduled costs are monitored and reported monthly and		
		annually.		
Comments & Recommendation	ns			



	7. k	Key pr	ocess - Asset Management Information System (MIS)	Asse	t management process and	Asset management	
	F	·		polic	y definition adequacy rating	performance rating	
	p	processes, data and software supporting the asset management					
	f	functions. Outcome					
	(Δ	1	
	٦	The asset management information system provides authorised,			, ,	•	
	C	comple	ete and accurate information for the day-to-day running of				
	t	he ass	set management system.				
	7	The focus of the review is the accuracy of performance information used by the licensee to monitor and report on					
	iı						
	S	service	standards				
nterv	iewees:			Relev	ant documentation:		
APA	Paul McLag	an	Operations Manager - Power Division	2	330-MAN-CMP-8000 - EDRF	BRF Wind and Solar Farm	
				Comp	oliance Manual.pdf		
APA	Stuart Dodd	ls	Asset Manager Renewables and Transmission -	3	Superseded 33160101R0 Co	empliance Monitoring	
			Power Division	Progr	am.pdf		
APA	Glen Thoms	sen	Commercial Manager - Power Division	4	33170101R1 EDWF Year Pla	an 2017-2018.pdf	
				5	33180101R1 EDWF Year Pla	an 2018-2019.pdf	
APA	Naomi Dono	ohue	Market & Regulatory Specialist - Power Division	6 33190101R EDWF Year Plan 2019-2020 F		n 2019-2020 Rev 1.pdf	
				7	33180104R0 - CMP Report.p	odf	
Vesta	s Ian Manns		EDWF Site Supervisor	9	EDRF 33180103R3 - Risk Management - Rev 3.pdf		
				18	EDWF 33180101R4 - AMS F	Rev 4.pdf	
				31	EDWF Year Plan 2015-2016	Rev 1.pdf	
				32	EDWF Year Plan 2016-2017	Rev 0.pdf	
				41	03. Document list.xlsx		
				71	EDWF System Recovery Do	cument 2019.pdf	
				72	EDWFS _ Business Impact A	nalysis 2018.pdf	
				73	EDWFS System Recovery S	heet 2019.pdf	





	Criteria Effective	ness		Review ting
	Evidence Ref#	Performance	Adequacy Rating	Performance Rating
7.1 Adequate system	9, 18, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 93	Vestas database ensures only latest updates are read. Hard	Α	1
documentation for users and IT		copies are not allowed and only smart phones are used in		
operators		WTG towers (working at heights).Database is very		
		comprehensive with records, instructions, examples and		
701	0.0.7.0.40.74.75.70.77.00	images. Database now includes the solar farm.	Δ.	4
7.2 Input controls include	2, 3, 7, 9, 18, 74, 75, 76, 77, 93	Tariff metering is by WP dual meters with APA cross	Α	1
suitable verification and validation of data entered into		checking. Key parameters are visible to System Management.		
the system		Management.		
7.3 Security access controls	9, 18, 76, 77, 93	Computer access is limited to staff and passwords are in	Α	1
appear adequate, such as		place. Firewalls and virus protection are in place.		
passwords				
7.4 Physical security access	18, 71, 73, 75, 76, 93	Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture is located in a sparsely	Α	1
controls appear adequate		populated area with good relationships with the community		
		and landowner. The compound is fenced and gated. WTG		
		tower doors are locked. CCTV is installed at the solar farm.		
7.5 Data backup procedures	18, 71, 73, 75, 93	CMP computer is backed up to two separate remote data	Α	1
appear adequate and backups		centres with mirrored servers, back up to tapes is also		
are tested		performed on site with tapes later stored off site. The system		
		has been tested but reloading has never been required.		



	Criteria Effective	ness		Review ting
	Evidence Ref# Performance		Adequacy Rating	Performance Rating
		Vestas global computer system and has sophisticated protection.		
7.6 Computations for licensee performance reporting are accurate	9, 18, 77, 93	With the introduction of the new WFS generation forecasting has improved to one of the best AEMO get for renewables. System management have visibility to output, status and power quality. Duplicate WP metering and APA parity check.	A	1
7.7 Management reports appear adequate for the licensee to monitor licence obligations	18, 77	Separate management committee (MCM), Vestas and UGL monthly meetings include performance and compliance records and are summarised in annual reports. Management are notified of non-compliances when they are found.	A	1
7.8 Adequate measures to protect asset management data from unauthorised access or theft by persons outside the organisation	18, 71, 73, 74, 75, 76, 93	APA corporate system has a high level of security. Firewalls are in place to prevent external access to computers.	A	1

Comments & Recommendations		



8	3.	Key Pro	cess - Risk Management	Asset	t management process and	Asset management performance
		Risk mar	nagement involves the identification of risks and	policy	y definition adequacy rating	rating
	1	their mar	nagement within an acceptable level of risk.			
		Outcom	e			
	•	The risk	management framework effectively manages the		Α	1
		risk that t	the licensee does not maintain effective service		^	•
	:	standard	s			
Interv	iewees:			Relev	ant documentation:	
APA	Paul Mo	Lagan	Operations Manager - Power Division	4	33170101R1 EDWF Year Plan 2	2017-2018.pdf
				5	33180101R1 EDWF Year Plan 2	2018-2019.pdf
APA	Stuart D	odds	Asset Manager Renewables and	6	33190101R EDWF Year Plan 20	119-2020 Rev 1.pdf
			Transmission - Power Division	9	EDRF 33180103R3 - Risk Mana	gement - Rev 3.pdf
APA	Glen Th	omsen	Commercial Manager - Power Division	12	EDRF Risk Guide 2018.pdf	
				13	EDRF Risk Summary 2019.pdf	
APA	Naomi [Donohue	Market & Regulatory Specialist - Power Division	17	EDWF 33170103R1 - Project Pr	ocedure.pdf
				18	EDWF 33180101R4 - AMS Rev	4.pdf
Vesta	s Ian Man	nns	EDWF Site Supervisor	24	EDWF Risk Register 2016.pdf	
		_		25	EDWF Risk Register 2017.pdf	
UGL	Thomas	Ray	Commissioning Engineer	26	EDWF Risk Register 2018.pdf	
	0 0			27	EDWF Risk Register 2019.pdf	
UGL	Gary St	one	Operations Engineer - Renewables	28	EDWF Risk Summary 2016.pdf	
1101	Labor NA		lunian Operationa Francisco Demonstrato	29	EDWF Risk Summary 2017.pdf	
UGL	John Ma	aroney	Junior Operations Engineer - Renewables	30	EDWF Risk Summary 2018.pdf	
				31	EDWF Year Plan 2015-2016 Re	
				32	EDWF Year Plan 2016-2017 Re	•
				71	EDWF System Recovery Docum	•
				72	EDWFS _ Business Impact Anal	•
				73	EDWFS System Recovery Shee	t 2019.pdf
				75	IT Backup Standard v1.2.pdf	



81	EDRF Compliance Register 17-18.pdf
92	
	Asset%20Management%20Plan_Emu%20Downs%20-%2009112017.
pdf	
93	Vestas SAP database
94	0544 EDSF OM Monthly Meeting Minutes_20190613pdf
100	APA.WA.CA.699_Emu Downs OM_Executed_Word Searchable.pdf
104	INS SUS-IMP-EWC ASP_Extreme weather (002).pdf
107	WHSP\Addendum 4 - EDWF Risk Register and Control Matrix.doc
108	WHSP\Addendum 4 - Site Risk Register.pdf
113	WHSP\Addendum 11 - Emergency Response Plan.pdf
124	Asset Criticality C ED CR M W S E.xlsx
151	EDWF Operating Protocol V2 Final_signed.pdf
271	Risk Assessment - SVC Air-con.pdf
272	SVC DRAFT Arc Flash Safety RA 20th May 2019.pdf



	Criteria Effecti	veness	Rev Rat	ost view ting
	Evidence Ref#	Performance	Adequacy Rating	Performance Rating
8.1 Risk management policies	4, 5, 6, 9, 12, 13, 17, 18, 24, 25, 26, 27,	The relatively small scale of Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture	Α	2
and procedures exist and are	28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 72, 92, 100, 104,	when compared to APA's other assets means corporate risk in		
applied to minimise internal	107, 108, 113, 124, 271, 272	financial terms can appear low.		
and external risks		Vesta's risk criteria are more sensitive and what in APA's terms		
		could be a minor consequence (<\$1.5M) would be catastrophic		
		for Vestas (>USD0.5M)		
8.2 Risks are documented in a	9, 13, 18, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 81,	APA's and Vestas risk registers are maintained, with annual	Α	1
risk register and treatment	92, 93, 100, 107, 108, 271, 272	review and updates as required.		
plans are implemented and		Controls are put in place.		
monitored				
8.3 Probability and	9, 13, 18, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 93,	The Vestas database records and shares problems encountered	Α	1
consequences of asset failure	100, 107, 108	with similar WTGs worldwide and provide rectification advice.		
are regularly assessed				

Comments & Recommendations	



		Key Process - Contingency Planning		t management process	Asset management
	Contingency plans document the steps to deal with the			policy definition	performance rating
		unexpected failure of an asset.	adeq	uacy rating	
		Outcome-			
		Contingency plans have been developed and tested to		Α	1
		minimise any major disruptions to service standards.			
Interv	iewees:		Rele	vant documentation:	
APA	Paul McLaga	n Operations Manager - Power Division	13	EDRF Risk Summary 201	9.pdf
			18	EDWF 33180101R4 - AM	S Rev 4.pdf
APA	Stuart Dodds	Asset Manager Renewables and Transmission -	28	EDWF Risk Summary 201	16.pdf
		Power Division	29	EDWF Risk Summary 201	17.pdf
APA	Glen Thoms	en Commercial Manager - Power Division	30	EDWF Risk Summary 201	18.pdf
			71	EDWF System Recovery	Document 2019.pdf
APA	Naomi Dono	nue Market & Regulatory Specialist - Power Division	72	EDWFS _ Business Impa	ct Analysis 2018.pdf
			73	EDWFS System Recovery	y Sheet 2019.pdf
Vesta	s Ian Manns	EDWF Site Supervisor	75	IT Backup Standard v1.2.	pdf
			93	Vestas SAP database	
			94	0544 EDSF OM Monthly N	Meeting Minutes_20190613pdf
			100	APA.WA.CA.699_Emu Do	owns OM_Executed_Word
			Sear	chable.pdf	
			104	INS SUS-IMP-EWC ASP_	_Extreme weather (002).pdf
			151	EDWF Operating Protoco	l V2 Final_signed.pdf



Criteria Effectiveness				
	Evidence Ref#	Performance	Adequacy Rating	Performance Rating
9.1 Contingency plans are	13, 18, 28, 29, 30, 71, 72, 73, 75, 93, 94,	Extreme weather response; wind and lightning, happens	Α	1
documented, understood and	100, 104, 151	most years.		
tested to confirm their		Plant outages eg step up transformer, 22kV bus tie etc. are		
operability and to cover higher		applied for routine and contingency e.g. buchholtz trip, CT		
risks		failure.		
Comments & Recommendations				



10.	Key Process - Financial Planning Financial brings together the financial elements of the service delivery to ensure its financial viability over the long term.	and p	et management process policy definition luacy rating	Asset management performance rating
	Outcome The financial plan is reliable and provides for the long- term financial viability of the services.		Α	1
Interviewees:		Rele	vant documentation:	
APA Paul McLag	an Operations Manager - Power Division	4	33170101R1 EDWF Yea	r Plan 2017-2018.pdf
		5	33180101R1 EDWF Yea	r Plan 2018-2019.pdf
APA Stuart Dodo	s Asset Manager Renewables and Transmission -	6	33190101R EDWF Year	Plan 2019-2020 Rev 1.pdf
	Power Division	17	EDWF 33170103R1 - Pro	oject Procedure.pdf
APA Glen Thoms	en Commercial Manager - Power Division	18	EDWF 33180101R4 - AN	IS Rev 4.pdf
		31	EDWF Year Plan 2015-2	016 Rev 1.pdf
APA Naomi Dono	hue Market & Regulatory Specialist - Power Division	32	EDWF Year Plan 2016-2	017 Rev 0.pdf
		34	2. FY2016 APT Audit Re	port.pdf
		35	2. FY2016 APTIT Audit F	Report.pdf
		36	2. FY2017 APT Audit Re	port.pdf
		37	2. FY2017 APTIT Audit F	Report.pdf
		38	2. FY2018 APTIT Auditor	Report.pdf
		39	2. HY2019 APT Audit Re	port.pdf
		40	2. HY2019 APTIT Audit F	Report.pdf
		42	3.1 Profit & Lose - EDSF	.xlsx
		43	3.1 Profit & loss - EDWF.	xlsx
		44	3.2 Balance Sheet - EDS	F.xlsx
		45	3.2 Balance Sheet - EDV	VF.xlsx
		46	3.3 Revenue Projections	- EDWF.xlsx
		48	6. FY19 Capex Plan - ED	WF.pdf
		49	6. FY20 Capex Plan - ED	SF.pdf



50 6. FY20 Capex Plan - EDWF.pdf
51 7. Capex Act v Bud FY19.xlsx
53 8. Capex Review Process.pdf
54 9. O&M Cost Drive - EDSF.pdf
55 9. O&M Cost Drive - EDWF.pdf
100 APA.WA.CA.699_Emu Downs OM_Executed_Word
Searchable.pdf
131 EDSF O&M Contract - Schedule 3 Scope of Services.pdf
275 ARENA LSS Project Plan EDSF 15 June 2016.pdf



	Criteria Effective	eness	Post R Rati	
	Evidence Ref#	Performance	Adequacy Rating	Adequacy Rating
10.1 The financial plan states the financial objectives and identifies strategies and actions to achieve those	4, 5, 6, 17, 18, 31, 32, 39, 48, 49, 50, 51, 53, 100, 131, 275	Financial plans are prepared each year and set budgets for future cash flow. Emu Downs Wind Farm Joint Venture is an established operating generator with no major changes planned so forecasting is mainly revenue and O&M costs.	A	1
10.2 The financial plan identifies the source of funds for capital expenditure and recurrent costs	18, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 275	No major new capital expenditure is planned. Operating and revenue costs are well defined.	А	1
10.3 The financial plan provides projections of operating statements (profit and loss) and statement of financial position (balance sheets)	18, 31, 32, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 46, 48, 49, 50, 51, 54, 55, 275	Financials are reported in monthly and annually, with operating costs and P&L and Balance. Finances are externally audited annually.	A	1
10.4 The financial plan provides firm predictions on income for the next five years and reasonable predictions beyond this period	18, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 53	Revenue projections reflecting a slight drop off in WTG performance at the end of the project (2031) due to wear and possibly drop in wind speed. Projections are updated	A	1



	Criteria Effective	eness	Post R Rati	
	Evidence Ref#	Performance	Adequacy Rating	Adequacy Rating
10.5 The financial plan provides for the operations and maintenance, administration and capital expenditure requirements of the services	18, 31, 32, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 48, 49, 50, 51, 53, 54, 55	O&M costs are defined in the O&M Contracts with only SVC and force majeure being APA's risk.	A	1
10.6 Large variances in actual/budget income and expenses are identified and corrective action taken where necessary	18, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 42, 43, 44, 45, 48, 49, 50, 51	Actuals are compared against budgeted and any variances are investigated. Examples. Energy loss is estimated for each outage, forced or unforced, and reported monthly. Variance in EDSF generation due to wind curtailment	A	1
Comments & Recommendati	ons			



11.	Key Process - Capital Expenditure Planning The capital expenditure plan provides a schedule of new works, rehabilitation and replacement works, together with estimated annual expenditure for these works over the next five or more years. Since capital investments tend to be large and lumpy projections would normally be expected to cover at least 10 years, preferably longer. Projections over the next five years would usually be based on firm estimates.		management process solicy definition adequacy	Asset management performance rating
	Outcome - The capital expenditure plan provides reliable forward estimates of capital expenditure and asset disposal income. Reasons for the decisions and for the evaluation of alternatives and options are documented.			
Interviewees:		Relev	ant documentation:	,
APA Paul McLaga	an Operations Manager - Power Division	4	33170101R1 EDWF Year F	Plan 2017-2018.pdf
		5	33180101R1 EDWF Year F	Plan 2018-2019.pdf
APA Stuart Dodds	Asset Manager Renewables and Transmission -	6	33190101R EDWF Year Plan	an 2019-2020 Rev 1.pdf
	Power Division	18	EDWF 33180101R4 - AMS	Rev 4.pdf
APA Glen Thomse	en Commercial Manager - Power Division	31	EDWF Year Plan 2015-201	6 Rev 1.pdf
		32	EDWF Year Plan 2016-201	7 Rev 0.pdf
APA Naomi Dono	hue Market & Regulatory Specialist - Power Division	34	2. FY2016 APT Audit Repo	rt.pdf
		35	2. FY2016 APTIT Audit Rep	port.pdf
		36	2. FY2017 APT Audit Repo	•
		37	2. FY2017 APTIT Audit Rep	·
		38	2. FY2018 APTIT Auditor R	• •
		39	2. HY2019 APT Audit Repo	•
		40	2. HY2019 APTIT Audit Re	•
		42	3.1 Profit & Lose - EDSF.xl	
		43	3.1 Profit & loss - EDWF.xls	SX



		4 4 5 5 5 1 2	5 8 9 0	3.2 Balance Sheet - EDSF.xlsx 3.2 Balance Sheet - EDWF.xlsx 6. FY19 Capex Plan - EDWF.pdf 6. FY20 Capex Plan - EDSF.pdf 6. FY20 Capex Plan - EDWF.pdf 7. Capex Act v Bud FY19.xlsx 8. Capex Review Process.pdf MCM monthly meetings notes # 158-201 EDWF O and M Notes #204-249 EDSF O and M Notes #250-265		
	Criteria Effective		49	EDSF O and M Notes #250-265	Post R	
	Evidence Ref#	Performance				Performance Rating
11.1 There is a capital expenditure plan covering works to be undertaken, actions proposed, responsibilities and dates	4, 5, 6, 18, 31, 32, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 48, 49, 50, 51, 53		•	spending is limited to maintenance and is incorporated in the annual plans.	A	1
11.2 The capital expenditure plan provides reasons for capital expenditure and timing of expenditure	4, 5, 6, 18, 31, 32, 48, 49, 50, 51	All non-cor approved b The contra expense, to performance	А	1		



11.3 The capital expenditure	4, 5, 6, 18, 31, 32, 48, 49, 50, 51	Currently in midlife and capital expenditure is appropriate.	Α	1
plan is consistent with the				
asset life and condition				
identified in the asset				
management plan				
11.4 There is an adequate	4, 5, 6, 18, 31, 32, 51, 53, 156, 202, 249	Plans are updated annually.	Α	1
process to ensure the capital				
expenditure plan is regularly				
updated and implemented				
Comments & Recommendation	ons			I.



12.	Key F	Process - Review of AMS	Asse	t management process	Asset management						
	The a	asset management system is regularly reviewed and updated	and p	oolicy definition	performance rating						
	Outco	ome	adeq	uacy rating							
	The a	asset management system is regularly reviewed and									
	updat	ded.		Α	1						
Interviewees:			Relev	ant documentation:							
APA Paul McLa	ıgan	Operations Manager - Power Division	2	330-MAN-CMP-8000 - ED	RF BRF Wind and Solar Farm						
			Comp	oliance Manual.pdf							
APA Stuart Dod	lds	Asset Manager Renewables and Transmission -	3	Superseded 33160101R0	Compliance Monitoring						
		Power Division	Program.pdf								
APA Glen Thom	nsen	Commercial Manager - Power Division	7	33180104R0 - CMP Repo	ort.pdf						
			15	EDWF - 280116-01 - OH&S Policy.pdf							
APA Naomi Dor	nohue	Market & Regulatory Specialist - Power Division	16	EDWF - 280116-02 - Envi	ronmental Policy.pdf						
			17	EDWF 33170103R1 - Pro	ject Procedure.pdf						
			18	EDWF 33180101R4 - AM	S Rev 4.pdf						
			79	Approval of auditor - 2019	Audit and Review - EGL001 -						
			Emu	Downs Wind Fapdf							
			80	AUDITREPORT-6202-ED	WF PA&AMSR 2015-01.1.pdf						
			85	EDWF - Post Audit Plan 2	2015 - Progress 15-12-16.pdf						
			89 Letter to Emu Downs - 2015 Audit & Review - EGL00								
			90 Letter to licensee - Commencement of audit and rev								
			2019	Audit and Rpdf							
			157	2015\07 EDWF MCM Not	es - July 2015.pdf						



	Criteria Effectivene	ess		Review ing
	Evidence Ref#	Performance	Adequacy rating	Performance Rating
12.1 A review process is in place to ensure the asset management plan	2, 3, 7, 15, 16, 17, 18, 80, 85, 89, 90	Review is prompted at monthly meetings. AMP revised to incorporate EDSF	А	1
and the asset management system described in it remain current				
12.2 Independent reviews (e.g. internal audit) are performed of the asset management system	18, 79, 80, 85, 90, 157	Economic Regulation Authority audits and reporting, financial audits and performance monitoring by System Management provide independent reviews.	A	1
Comments & Recommendations				



APPENDIX 3

AUDIT PLAN ASSET REVIEW PRIORITIES



Table 17 Effectiveness Criteria Pre-audit Review

Asset management system component	Consequence 1=minor, 2=moderate, 3=major	Risk Likelihood A=likely, B=probable, C=unlikely	Inherent Risk low, medium, high	Adequacy of existing controls S=strong, M=moderate, W=weak	Revi	Review Priority				
					1	2	3	4	5	N/A
ASSET PLANNING					0	0	0	7	2	0
1.1. Asset management plan covers the processes in this table	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4		
Planning processes and objectives reflect the needs of all stakeholders and are integrated with business planning	2	С	MEDIUM	M				4		
Service levels are defined in the asset management plan	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4		
Non-asset options (e.g. demand management) are considered	1	С	LOW	М					5	
Lifecycle costs of owning and operating assets are assessed	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4		
1.6. Funding options are evaluated	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4		
1.7. Costs are justified and cost drivers identified	2	С	MEDIUM	M				4		
Likelihood and consequences of asset failure are predicted	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4		
1.9. Asset management plan is regularly reviewed and updated	1	С	LOW	М					5	
ASSET CREATION AND ACQUISITION					0	0	0	5	0	0



Asset management system component	Consequence 1=minor, 2=moderate, 3=major	Risk Likelihood A=likely, B=probable, C=unlikely	Inherent Risk low, medium, high	Adequacy of existing controls S=strong, M=moderate, W=weak	Revi	Review Priority				
					1	2	3	4	5	N/A
2.1. Full project evaluations are undertaken for new assets, including comparative assessment of non- asset options	2	С	MEDIUM	M				4		
2.2. Evaluations include all life-cycle costs	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4		
Projects reflect sound engineering and business decisions	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4		
2.4. Commissioning tests are documented and completed	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4		
2.5. Ongoing legal / environmental / safety obligations of the asset owner are assigned and understood	2	С	MEDIUM	M				4		
ASSET DISPOSAL					0	0	0	3	1	0
3.1. Under-utilised and under-performing assets are identified as part of a regular systematic review process	1	С	LOW	M					5	
3.2. The reasons for under-utilisation or poor performance are critically examined and corrective action or disposal undertaken	2	С	MEDIUM	M				4		
3.3. Disposal alternatives are evaluated	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4		
3.4. There is a replacement strategy for assets	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4		
ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS					0	0	0	4	0	0



Asset ma	nagement system component	Consequence 1=minor, 2=moderate, 3=major	Risk Likelihood A=likely, B=probable, C=unlikely	Inherent Risk low, medium, high	Adequacy of existing controls S=strong, M=moderate, W=weak	Revi	Review Priority				
						1	2	3	4	5	N/A
4.1.	Opportunities and threats in the asset management system environment are assessed	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4		
4.2.	Performance standards (availability of service, capacity, continuity, emergency response, etc.) are measured and achieved	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4		
4.3.	Compliance with statutory and regulatory requirements	2	С	MEDIUM	S				4		
4.4.	Service standard (customer service levels etc) are measured and achieved.	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4		
ASSET C	PERATIONS					0	0	0	4	2	0
5.1.	Operational policies and procedures are documented and linked to service levels required	1	С	LOW	М					5	
5.2.	Risk management is applied to prioritise operations tasks	1	С	LOW	М					5	
5.3.	Assets are documented in an asset register including asset type, location, material, plans of components, and an assessment of assets' physical/structural condition	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4		
5.4.	Accounting data is documented for assets	2	С	MEDIUM	M				4		



Asset management system component	Consequence 1=minor, 2=moderate, 3=major	Risk Likelihood A=likely, B=probable, C=unlikely	Inherent Risk low, medium, high	Adequacy of existing controls S=strong, M=moderate, W=weak	Revi	Review Priority				
					1	2	3	4	5	N/A
5.5. Operational costs are measured and monitored	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4		
5.6. Staff resources are adequate and staff receive training commensurate with their responsibilities.	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4		
ASSET MAINTENANCE					0	0	0	6	0	0
6.1. Maintenance policies and procedures are documented and linked to service levels required	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4		
 Regular inspections are undertaken of asset performance and condition 	2	С	MEDIUM	M				4		
6.3. Maintenance plans (emergency, corrective and preventative) are documented and completed on schedule	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4		
6.4. Failures are analysed and operational/maintenance plans adjusted where necessary	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4		
6.5. Risk management is applied to prioritise maintenance tasks	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4		
6.6. Maintenance costs are measured and monitored	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4		



Asset management system component	Consequence 1=minor, 2=moderate, 3=major	Risk Likelihood A=likely, B=probable, C=unlikely	Inherent Risk low, medium, high	Adequacy of existing controls S=strong, M=moderate, W=weak	Review Priority					
					1	2	3	4	5	N/A
ASSET MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM					0	0	0	6	1	0
7.1. Adequate system documentation for users and IT operators	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4		
7.2. Input controls include suitable verification and validation of data entered into the system	2	С	MEDIUM	M				4		
7.3. Security access controls appear adequate, such as passwords	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4		
7.4. Physical security access controls appear adequate	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4		
7.5. Data backup procedures appear adequate and backups are tested	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4		
7.6. Computations for licensee performance reporting are accurate	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4		
7.7. Management reports appear adequate for the licensee to monitor licence obligations	1	С	LOW	М					5	
7.8. Adequate measures to protect asset management data from unauthorised access or theft by persons outside the organisation	2	С	MEDIUM	M				4		
RISK MANAGEMENT					0	0	0	3	0	0



Asset management system component	Consequence 1=minor, 2=moderate, 3=major	Risk Likelihood A=likely, B=probable, C=unlikely	Inherent Risk low, medium, high	Adequacy of existing controls S=strong, M=moderate, W=weak	Revi	Review Priority						
					1	2	3	4	5	N/A		
Risk management policies and procedures exist and are applied to minimise internal and external risks	2	С	MEDIUM	M				4				
Risks are documented in a risk register and treatment plans are implemented and monitored	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4				
8.3. Probability and consequences of asset failure are regularly assessed	2	С	MEDIUM	M				4				
CONTINGENCY PLANNING					0	0	0	1	0	0		
9.1. Contingency plans are documented, understood and tested to confirm their operability and to cover higher	2	С	MEDIUM	M				4				
FINANCIAL PLANNING					0	0	0	6	0	0		
10.1. The financial plan states the financial objectives and identifies strategies and actions to achieve those	2	С	MEDIUM	M				4				
10.2. The financial plan identifies the source of funds for capital expenditure and recurrent costs	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4				



Asset management system component	Consequence 1=minor, 2=moderate, 3=major	Risk Likelihood A=likely, B=probable, C=unlikely	Inherent Risk low, medium, high	Adequacy of existing controls S=strong, M=moderate, W=weak	Review Priority						
					1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
10.3. The financial plan provides projections of operating statements (profit and loss) and statement of financial position (balance sheets)	2	С	MEDIUM	M				4			
10.4. The financial plan provides firm predictions on income for the next five years and reasonable predictions beyond this period	2	С	MEDIUM	M				4			
10.5. The financial plan provides for the operations and maintenance, administration and capital expenditure requirements of the services	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4			
10.6. Large variances in actual/budget income and expenses are identified and corrective action taken where necessary	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4			
CAPITAL EXPENDITURE PLANNING					0	0	0	3	1	0	
11.1. There is a capital expenditure plan covering works to be undertaken, actions proposed, responsibilities and dates	1	С	LOW	М					5		



Asset management system component	Consequence 1=minor, 2=moderate, 3=major	Risk Likelihood A=likely, B=probable, C=unlikely	Inherent Risk low, medium, high	Adequacy of existing controls S=strong, M=moderate, W=weak	Review Priority						
					1	2	3	4	5	N/A	
11.2. The capital expenditure plan provides reasons for capital expenditure and timing of expenditure	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4			
11.3. The capital expenditure plan is consistent with the asset life and condition identified in the asset management plan	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4			
There is an adequate process to ensure the capital expenditure plan is regularly updated and implemented,	2	С	MEDIUM	М				4			
REVIEW OF AMS					0	0	0	0	2	0	
12.1. A review process is in place to ensure the asset management plan and the asset management system described in it remain current	1	С	LOW	М					5		
12.2. Independent reviews (e.g. internal audit) are performed of the asset management system	1	С	LOW	M					5		
					0	0	0	49	9	0	