Alinta DEWAP Pty Ltd

Electricity Integrated Regional Licence (EIRL7) 2016 Performance Audit (Independent Assurance) November 2016 report

Deloitte.

Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu ABN 74 490 121 060

Tower 2 Brookfield Place 123 St Georges Terrace Perth WA 6000 GPO Box A46 Perth WA 6837 Australia

Tel: +61 (0) 8 9365 7000 Fax: +61 (0) 8 9365 7001 www.deloitte.com.au

Ms Fiona Wiseman Wholesale Regulation Manager Alinta Sales Pty Ltd, trading as Alinta Energy The Quadrant, 1 William Street Perth, WA 6000

21 November 2016

Dear Fiona

Alinta DEWAP Pty Ltd Electricity Integrated Regional Licence (EIRL7) – 2016 Performance audit report

We have completed the Electricity Integrated Regional Licence Performance audit for Alinta DEWAP Pty Ltd for the period 25 June 2014 to 30 June 2016 and are pleased to submit our report to you.

I confirm that this report is an accurate presentation of the findings and conclusions from our audit procedures.

If you have any questions or wish to discuss anything raised in the report, please contact Andrew Baldwin on 9365 7236 or myself on 9365 7024.

Yours sincerely

Richard Thomas Partner Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu

Contents

1	Independent Auditor's report	1
2	Executive summary	4
	2.1 Introduction and background	4
	2.2 Observations	4
	2.3 Findings	4
	2.4 Alinta's response to previous audit recommendations	6
	2.5 Recommendations and action plans	6
	2.6 Scope and objectives	9
	2.7 Approach	9
3	Summary of findings	10
4	Detailed findings, recommendations and action plans	18
	4.1 Electricity Industry Act 2004	19
	4.2 Electricity Licences – Licence Conditions and Obligations	22
	4.3 Electricity Industry Metering Code 2012	24
	4.4 Electricity Industry (Network Quality and Reliability of Supply) Code	50
Apper	ndix A – Audit Plan	52
Apper	ndix B – References	53
Apper	ndix C – Post Audit Implementation Plan	54

Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, a UK private company limited by guarantee, and its network of member firms, each of which is a legally separate and independent entity. Please see www.deloitte.com/au/about for a detailed description of the legal structure of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited and its member firms.

1 Independent Auditor's report

With the approval of the Economic Regulation Authority (the **Authority**) Alinta DEWAP Pty Ltd (**Alinta**) engaged Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu (**Deloitte**) to conduct a performance audit of Alinta's compliance with the conditions of its Electricity Integrated Regional Licence (the **Licence**).

Deloitte conducted the performance audit as a reasonable assurance engagement and in accordance with the specific requirements of the Licence and the April 2014 issue of the *Audit and Review Guidelines: Electricity and Gas Licences* (Audit Guidelines).

Alinta's responsibility for compliance with the conditions of the Licence

Alinta is responsible for:

- Ensuring that it has complied in all material respects with the requirements of the Licence
- Establishing and maintaining an effective system of internal control over its systems designed to achieve its compliance with the Licence requirements
- Implementing processes for assessing its compliance requirements and for reporting its level of compliance to the Authority
- Implementing corrective actions for instances of non-compliance (if any).

Deloitte's responsibility

Our responsibility is to express a conclusion in respect of Alinta's compliance with the conditions of the Licence based on our audit procedures. The reasonable assurance engagement has been conducted in accordance with the Audit Guidelines and the Australian Standard on Assurance Engagements (ASAE) 3100 *Compliance Engagements* issued by the Australian Auditing and Assurance Standards Board, in order to state whether, in our opinion, based on the procedures performed, Alinta has complied, in all material respects with the conditions of its Licence as outlined in the approved Audit Plan (dated June 2016) for the period 25 June 2014 to 30 June 2016.

ASAE 3100 also requires us to comply with the relevant ethical requirements of the Australian professional accounting bodies.

Our procedures consisted primarily of:

- Utilising the Audit Guidelines and the Electricity Compliance Reporting Manual (**Reporting Manual**) as a guide for development of a risk assessment, and document review to assess controls
- Development of an Audit Plan for approval by the Authority and an associated work program, set out in Appendix A
- Interviews with and representations from relevant Alinta staff to gain an understanding of process controls
- Review of documents and walkthrough of processes and controls to assess the overall compliance and effectiveness in accordance with Licence obligations
- Sample testing where relevant for obligations rated as an audit priority 3¹ and above in the approved Audit Plan.

Limitations of use

This report is intended solely for the information and internal use of Alinta, and is not intended to be and should not be used by any other person or entity. No other person or entity is entitled to rely, in any manner, or for any purpose, on this report.

¹ For the purpose of this audit, no obligations were rated as an audit priority 3 or above

We understand that a copy of this report will be provided to the Authority for the purpose of reporting on the performance audit for the Licence. We agree that a copy of this report may be provided to the Authority for its information in connection with this purpose but only on the basis that we accept no duty, liability or responsibility to the Authority in relation to the report. We accept no duty, responsibility or liability to any party, other than Alinta, in connection with the report or this engagement.

Inherent limitations

Our engagement will provide reasonable assurance as defined in ASAE 3100. Reasonable assurance means a high but not absolute level of assurance. Absolute assurance is very rarely attainable as a result of factors such as the following:

- The use of selective testing and testing as at a point of time
- The inherent limitations of internal controls
- The fact that much of the evidence available to us is persuasive rather than conclusive
- The use of judgement in gathering and evaluating evidence and forming conclusions based on that evidence.

Because of the inherent limitations of any compliance procedure, it is possible that fraud, error or noncompliance may occur and not be detected. A reasonable assurance engagement is not designed to detect all instances of non-compliance, as the engagement is not performed continuously throughout the period and the procedures performed in respect of compliance are undertaken on a test basis.

The conclusion expressed in this report has been formed on the above basis. Any projection of the evaluation of the level of compliance to future periods is subject to the risk that the systems may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with management procedures may deteriorate.

Independence

We have complied with the independence and other relevant ethical requirements relating to assurance engagements, which are founded on fundamental principles of integrity, objectivity, professional competence and due care, confidentiality and professional behaviour.

The firm applies Auditing Standard ASQC 1 Quality Control for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Financial Reports and Other Financial Information, Other Assurance Engagements and Related Services Engagements, and accordingly maintains a comprehensive system of quality control including documented policies and procedures regarding compliance with ethical requirements, professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements.

Conclusion

In our opinion, based on the procedures performed, except for the effect of the issues set out in the Basis for qualified conclusion section below, Alinta has complied, in all material respects, with the conditions of its Licence as outlined in the approved Audit Plan (dated June 2016) for the period 25 June 2014 to 30 June 2016.

Basis for qualified conclusion

The following performance criteria were assessed as non-compliant (rating 2):

Repor	ting Manual number and Licence condition	Issue
345	Metering Code clause 3.12(4) "Metering installation design requirements"	 Alinta has not fully complied with the requirements to: Maintain drawings and supporting information, to the standard of good electricity industry practice, detailing the metrics installation for maintenance.
366	Metering Code clause 4.1(1) "The metering database – general"	 detailing the metering installation for maintenance and auditing purposes (<i>obligation 345</i>) Maintain and administer a metering database containing the required standing data in accordance
369	Metering Code clause 4.2(1) ""The metering database – the registry"	 with Part 4 of the Metering Code (<i>obligations 366</i>, <i>369 and 370</i>) Develop an Energy Data Verification Request Form (<i>obligation 411</i>)
370	Metering Code clause 3.9(3) "Standing data items"	 Submit to the Authority for its approval under clause 6.2 of the Metering Code: A proposed model service level agreement
411	Metering Code clause 5.20(1) "Energy data verification requests"	 A proposed model service level agreement A proposed metrology procedure Proposed mandatory link criteria.
	Metering Code clause 6.2 "Network operator must establish documents"	

DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU

Richard Thomas Partner Perth, November 2016

2 Executive summary

2.1 Introduction and background

The Economic Regulation Authority (the **Authority**) has, under the provisions of the *Electricity Industry Act* 2004 (the **Act**), issued to Alinta DEWAP Pty Ltd (**Alinta**) an Electricity Integrated Regional Licence (EIRL7) (the **Licence**). The Licence relates to Alinta's electricity generation, transmission, and retail operations in Port Hedland.

Section 13 of the Act requires Alinta to provide the Authority with an audit (the **audit**) conducted by an independent expert acceptable to the Authority not less than once in every 24 month period (or any longer period that the Authority allows). The Authority set the period to be covered by the audit as 25 June 2014 to 30 June 2016.

At the request of Alinta, Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu (**Deloitte**) has undertaken a reasonable assurance audit of Alinta's compliance with its Licence obligations.

The Licence covers Alinta's generation, transmission and retail activity in relation to its Port Hedland power station, which consists of five gas turbines (three units at Port Hedland and two units at Boodarie), and the supply of electricity to two customers. Alinta also owns and operates a number of 66kV transmission lines, which connect the Boodarie and Port Hedland facilities with two substations operated by Horizon Power. Alinta also accesses Horizon Power's North West Interconnected System (**NWIS**) network for the purpose of supplying electricity to a customer.

2.2 Observations

In considering Alinta's internal control procedures, structure and environment, its compliance culture and its information systems specifically relevant to those licence obligations subject to audit, we observed that Alinta:

- Has developed procedures and controls designed to help:
 - o Facilitate compliance with its Licence obligations
 - Uphold the integrity of its reporting to the Authority and other statutory organisations on matters specific to its Licence obligations
- Maintains a strong records management system and document library, although there is a documentation gap relating to its management of meters
- Has, through Power Purchase Agreements with its customers and an Access and Standby Agreement with Horizon Power, addressed those requirements of the Licence and specifically the Metering Code that relate to its day-to-day operations and supply of electricity to customers
- Until the time of this audit, was not fully aware of all of its obligations under the Metering Code, in relation to its role as a network operator.

2.3 Findings

The following tables summarise the assessments made during the audit on Alinta's compliance and the adequacy of controls in place for Alinta to manage its compliance with the relevant obligations or conditions of the Licence:

Table 1 sets out the rating scale defined by the Authority in the Audit Guidelines for the assessment of the level of compliance with the conditions of the Licence. For the highest possible compliance rating to be achieved, Alinta was required to demonstrate it has maintained mature processes and controls, which facilitate compliance with relevant obligations.

	Adequacy of Controls Rating		Compliance Rating
Rating	Description	Rating	Description
А	Adequate controls – no improvement needed	1	Compliant
В	Generally adequate controls – improvement needed	2	Non-compliant – minor impact on customers or third parties
C	Inadequate controls – significant improvement required	3	Non-compliant – moderate impact on customers or third parties
D	No controls evident	4	Non-compliant – major impact on customers or third parties

Table 1: Compliance and control adequacy rating scale

- On a scale of 1 to 4, "1" is the highest compliance rating possible (defined as "Compliant") with the rating scale moving through to "4", the lowest rating possible (defined as "Non-compliant major impact on customers or third parties")
- On a scale of A to D, "A" is the highest control adequacy rating (defined as "Adequate controls no improvement needed") with the rating scale moving through to "D", the lowest control adequacy rating possible (defined as "No controls evident").

Table 4 at section 3 of this report provides further detail on the compliance and control adequacy rating scales.

 The above rating scale is defined by the Audit Guidelines.

Audit		Compliar	NR	Total		
Priority	1	2 3		4	INK	Total
Priority 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Priority 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Priority 3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Priority 4	41	5	-	-	86	132
Priority 5	13	-	-	-	16	29
Total:	54	5	-	-	102	161

Table 2: Summary of findings, by audit priority and compliance rating

Table 3: Summary of findings, by audit priority and control adequacy

Audit	(Control ade	Control adequacy rating									
Priority	Α	В	С	D	NP ²	Total						
Priority 1	-	-	-	-	-	-						
Priority 2	-	-	-	-	-	-						
Priority 3	-	-	-	-	-	-						
Priority 4	-	16	7	-	109	132						
Priority 5	-	-	-	-	29	29						
Total:	-	16	7	-	138	161						

 $^{^{2}}$ Refers to the obligations for which a control assessment was not required (obligations with an audit priority of 4 or 5 and a compliance rating of 1, or which were not rateable).

Note that, in accordance with the current Audit Guidelines, obligations assessed as being "not applicable" to Alinta's operations have not been included within this report.

Specific assessments for each Licence obligation are summarised at **Table 4** in the "Summary of findings" section of this report.

Detailed findings, including relevant observations, recommendations and action plans are located in section 4 "Detailed findings, recommendations and action plans" of this report.

2.4 Alinta's response to previous audit recommendations

Not applicable - as this is the first audit of Alinta's Licence obligations, there are no previous audit recommendations requiring Alinta's response.

2.5 Recommendations and action plans

Reporting manual no. & Licence condition ref	Control adequacy	Issue 1/2016
Obligation 103 A licensee must notify details of the asset management system and any substantial changes to it to the Authority. <i>Electricity Industry Act</i> <i>section 14.1(b)</i>	Generally adequate controls – improvement needed (B) Compliance rating Compliant (1)	Through discussions with the Manager Asset Management & Engineering and Manager Generation Operations WA; and consideration of Alinta's Asset Management Framework and Site Asset Management Plans, we determined that Alinta's asset management system has further evolved since the Authority was advised of the details of the system at the time of Alinta's application for its Licence. The key enhancement made during the period subject to this audit relates to the use of the SPM Asset software for supplementing the capabilities of the Ellipse system in Alinta's approach to assessing and treating risks Judgement is required to determine whether changes to the asset management system during the audit period are sufficiently substantial as to require specific notification to the Authority in accordance with the requirements of section 14(1) of the Act. Alinta had not specifically considered this requirement and does not have a protocol in place for determining whether the requirement has been triggered. As it may be reasonable to form a view either way, we consider Alinta has not breached the requirements of the Act.
Recommendation 1/2016 Alinta establish a protocol constitutes a "substantial cl management system and fo Authority when appropriate	hange" in its asset or duly notifying the	Action Plan 1/2016 Alinta will amend its Management of Change procedure to include a definition of a "substantial change" to the asset management system, requiring management under that procedure and which will acknowledge the requirement to notify the Authority of any such change. Responsible Person: Manager, Asset Management & Engineering
		Target Date:31 December 2016

Reporting manual (obligation) no.	Metering Code clause	Control adequacy	Compliance rating
319	3.1	Generally adequate controls – improvement needed (B)	Compliant (1)
320	3.2(1)	Inadequate controls – significant improvement required (C)	Compliant (1)
326, 327	3.5(1), (2) and (3)	Generally adequate controls – improvement needed (B)	Compliant (1)
331	3.7	Generally adequate controls – improvement needed (B)	Not rated
332	3.8	Generally adequate controls – improvement needed (B)	Compliant (1)
333	3.9(3)	Generally adequate controls – improvement needed (B)	Compliant (1)
336	3.10	Generally adequate controls – improvement needed (B)	Compliant (1)
337	3.11(1)	Generally adequate controls – improvement needed (B)	Compliant (1)
340, 341	3.11A(1) and (2)	Generally adequate controls – improvement needed (B)	Not rated
342 - 344	3.12(1) to (3)	Generally adequate controls – improvement needed (B)	Not rated
345	3.12(4)	Inadequate controls – significant improvement required (C)	Non-compliant (2)
357	3.21(1)	Generally adequate controls – improvement needed (B)	Not rated
366	4.1(1)	Inadequate controls – significant improvement required (C)	Non-compliant (2)
369	4.2(1)	Inadequate controls – significant improvement required (C)	Non-compliant (2)
370	4.3(1)	Inadequate controls – significant improvement required (C)	Non-compliant (2)
411	5.20(1)	Inadequate controls – significant improvement required (C)	Non-compliant (2)
422	5.22(1)	Generally adequate controls – improvement needed (B)	Compliant (1)
447	6.1(1)	Inadequate controls – significant improvement required (C)	Not rated
Issue 2/2016 - refer to	next page	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Issue 2/2016

Alinta maintains three meters on its electricity transmission network for measuring and recording energy data relating to electricity transferred to its customers' delivery points. Alinta's Power Purchase Agreements (**PPA**) with its two customers specify Alinta's obligations for operating and maintaining metering equipment, including references to the requirements of the *North West Interconnected System Electricity Network Access Technical Rules* the *Electricity Transmission Regulations 1996 (WA)*, *Good Engineering and Operating Practices* and the Metering Code. All energy data from these meters is captured within Alinta's Honeywell Experion system. Although Alinta has demonstrated that it has operated its meters and recorded related energy data to the satisfaction of its customers throughout the period subject to this audit:

1. Alinta has not fully complied with the requirements to:

- Maintain drawings and supporting information, to the standard of good electricity industry practice, detailing the metering installation for maintenance and auditing purposes (*obligation 345*)
- Maintain and administer a metering database containing the required standing data in accordance with Part 4 of the Metering Code (*obligations 366, 369 and 370*)
- Develop an Energy Data Verification Request Form (*obligation 411*).
- 2. Alinta has not established a clear metering management plan or framework for demonstrating its:
 - Installation and maintenance of meters in accordance with an applicable metrology procedure, the specifications of the National Measurement Institute under the *National Measurements Act*; and the functionality and testing requirements outlined in Part 3 of the Metering Code (*obligations 319, 320, 326, 327, 331 to 333, 336, 337, 340 to 344*)
 - Management of validated energy data (*obligation 422*)
 - Compliance with all applicable agreements, rules, procedures, criteria and processes outlined in Part 6 of the Metering Code (*obligation 447*).
- 3. The two PPAs with Alinta's customers are not consistent in their reference to the underlying technical and legislative obligations for installing and maintaining metering equipment. For example, one, but not both of the PPAs, refers to the requirements of the Metering Code, the *North West Interconnected System Electricity Network Access Technical Rules* and the *Electricity Transmission Regulations 1996 (WA)*.

We also note that Alinta has not complied with clause 6.2 of the Metering Code, which requires a network operator to submit a proposed model service level agreement, metrology procedure and mandatory link criteria to the Authority for its approval (clause 6.2 of the Metering Code is not referenced in the Reporting Manual and was not included in the audit plan). Further, as there are no other users of Alinta's transmission network and no foreseeable prospect of a request to use the network, Alinta's circumstances have not triggered the intent of the Metering Code for such documents to be prepared for the purpose of another user.

Rec	commendation 2/2016	Action I	Plan 2/2016	
Ali	nta:	Alinta w	rill:	
1.	Establish a formal metering database, maintain drawings and supporting information and develop an Energy Data Verification Request Form to ensure its compliance with the requirements of the Metering Code.	drav Ene	wings and supp rgy Data Veri pliance with t	metering database, maintain porting information and develop an fication Request Form to ensure its he requirements of the Metering
2.	 Prepare a metering management plan (or similar) to: a. Incorporate the requirements of the Metering Code relevant to Alinta's metering operations b. Consolidate, make consistent and expand on the obligations contained in the two 	the Alir 3. Rea tech Cod	requirements on ta's metering ch a position of nical requirent le.	on its approach for addressing the nents of clause 6.2 of the Metering
	PPAs.	Kespons	sidie Person:	Manager Generation Operations WA
3.	Reach a position on its approach for addressing the technical requirements of clause 6.2 of the Metering Code.	Target l	Date:	31 December 2016

2.6 Scope and objectives

As described in our engagement letter dated 19 April 2016, we have conducted a reasonable assurance audit in order to state whether, in our opinion, based on our procedures, Alinta has complied, in all material respects, with the conditions of its Licence as outlined in the approved Audit Plan (dated 13 July 2016) during the period 25 June 2014 to 30 June 2016.

Our engagement was conducted in accordance with Australian Standard on Assurance Engagements ASAE 3100 Compliance Engagements, issued by the Australian Auditing and Assurance Standards Board and provides reasonable assurance as defined in ASAE 3100. The procedures we performed are described in more detail in section 2.7 below.

A reasonable assurance engagement in accordance with ASAE 3100 involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the compliance with the conditions of the Licence. The nature, timing and extent of procedures selected depend on the assurance practitioner's professional judgement, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement in compliance with the conditions of the Licence. In making those risk assessments; we considered internal controls in relation to compliance with the conditions of the Licence.

ASAE 3100 also requires us to comply with the relevant ethical requirements of the Australian professional accounting bodies.

The Authority has summarised the requirements of the applicable legislation that it expects to be reported upon and included in the scope of this audit in its *September 2014 Electricity Compliance Reporting Manual* (**Reporting Manual**).

The Audit Plan approved by the Authority for this audit sets out the Licence conditions confirmed to be included in the scope of the audit, along with the risk assessments and audit priority assigned to each licence obligation. Note that under the current Audit Guidelines, the audit report is not required to include reference to those obligations assessed as "Not Applicable" to Alinta's operations.

2.6.1 Risk assessment revisions

During the audit, we determined that the following obligations were not applicable to Alinta's operations:

- **436 and 437:** These requirements of the Metering Code apply only to network operators whose network is part of the South West Interconnected System (SWIS). Alinta's network is not part of the SWIS
- **483 to 485**: Clause 28 of the Network Quality and Reliability of Supply Code provides an exemption to those network operators who do not supply electricity to small use customers from the requirements of clauses 26 and 27. Alinta is not licenced to supply electricity to small use customers.

2.7 Approach

Our approach for this audit involved the following activities, which were undertaken during the period July to October 2016:

- Utilising the Audit Guidelines and Reporting Manuals (May 2014, July 2014 and September 2014 versions) as a guide, development of a risk assessment which involved discussions with key staff and document review to assess controls
- Development of an Audit Plan (see **Appendix A**) for approval by the Authority and an associated work program
- Interviews with relevant site level Alinta staff to gain understanding of process controls (see Appendix B for staff involved)
- Review of documents and walkthrough of processes and controls to assess the overall compliance and effectiveness of those processes and controls in accordance with Licence obligations (see **Appendix B** for reference listing)
- Sample testing for obligations assessed as an audit priority 3³ (or above) and where there was relevant activity to determine whether transactions complied with the requirements of the obligation
- Reporting of findings to Alinta for review and response.

³ For the purpose of this audit, no obligations were rated as an audit priority 3 or above

3 Summary of findings

Table 1 in section 2 above sets out the rating scale defined by the Authority in the Audit Guidelines for the assessment of the level of compliance with the conditions of the Licence. For the highest possible compliance rating to be achieved, Alinta was required to demonstrate it has maintained mature processes and controls, which facilitate compliance with relevant obligations.

The remainder of this report provides:

- A summary of the findings for the compliance obligations (at **Table 4** below)
- Detailed findings, including relevant observations, recommendations and action plans (at Section 4).

The risk assessment has been included in this summary to give context to the ratings that have been determined.

Table 4: Compliance Ratings

Refer to Detailed Findings at section 4 and Audit Plan at Appendix A for descriptions of the obligations. Note that detailed findings are not presented for those obligations assessed to be not applicable to Alinta's operations for the period subject to audit - refer to the Audit Plan at Appendix A for further explanation.

	Obligation			Inherent	Control	Ad	equacy	of cont	rols rat	ing		Compli	ance ra	Compliance rating						
#	reference (condition/clause)	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	assessment	A	B	C	D	NP	Audit Risk/ Priority	1	2	3	4	NR				
12 Electr	icity Industry Act – Li	cence Conditions a	nd Obligations																	
101	13(1)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate					•	Priority 5	~								
102	14(1)(a)	Minor	Probable	Low	Moderate					✓	Priority 5	~								
103	14(1)(b)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate		~				Priority 4	~								
104	14(1)(c)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate					~	Priority 5	~								
105	17(1)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4	~								
106	31(3)	Minor	Probable	Low	Moderate					~	Priority 5	~								
107	41(6)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					•				
110	76	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~				
112	115(1)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4	~								
13 Electr	icity Licences – Licenc	e Conditions and O	bligations					1		1 1				1	1					
119	12.1 or 24.1	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					•	Priority 4	~								
120	13.4 or 25.4	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~				
121	14.2	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4	~								
122	20.5	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4	~								
123	15.1	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~				
124	16.1	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4	~								
125	17.1and 17.2	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4	~								
126	18.1	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4	~								
15 Electr	icity Industry Meterin	g Code – Licence C	onditions and C	bligations									1	1	1					
Part 2 Co	de objectives and arms-l	ength treatment																		
317	2.2(1)(a)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate					~	Priority 5					~				
318	2.2(1)(b)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~				
Part 3 Me	eters and metering instal	ments																		
319	3.1	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate		~				Priority 4	v								

	Obligation			Inherent	Control	Ad	lequacy	of cont	rols rat	ing		Compl	iance ra	ting		
#	reference (condition/clause)	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	assessment	A	B	C	D	NP	Audit Risk/ Priority	1	2	3	4	NR
320	3.2(1)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate			~			Priority 4	~				
321	3.3(1)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
322	3.3(3)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
323	3.3A(1)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4	~				
324	3.3B	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
325	3.3C	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4	~				
326	3.5(1) and (2)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate		~				Priority 4	~				
327	3.5(3)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate		~				Priority 4	~				
328	3.5(4)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4	~				
329	3.5(6)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
330	3.5(9)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
331	3.7	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate		~				Priority 4					~
332	3.8	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate		~				Priority 4	~				
333	3.9(3)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate		~				Priority 4	~				
334	3.9(7)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
335	3.9(9)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
336	3.10	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate		~				Priority 4	~				
337	3.11(1)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate		~				Priority 4	~				
338	3.11(2)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
339	3.11(3)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
340	3.11A(1)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate		~				Priority 4					•
341	3.11A(2)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate		~				Priority 4					~
342	3.12(1)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate		~				Priority 4					~
343	3.12(2)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate		~				Priority 4					~
344	3.12(3)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate		~				Priority 4					•
345	3.12(4)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate			~			Priority 4		~			
346	3.13(1)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4	~				

	Obligation			Inherent	Control	Ad	lequacy	of cont	rols rat	ing		Compl	iance ra	ting		
#	reference (condition/clause)	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	assessment	A	В	С	D	NP	Audit Risk/ Priority	1	2	3	4	NR
347	3.13(3)(c)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
348	3.13(4)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4	~				
349	3.14(3)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
355	3.20(1)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
356	3.20(3)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
357	3.21(1)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate		~				Priority 4	•				
358	3.21(2)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					•	Priority 4	•				
359	3.22	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					•	Priority 4	•				
360	3.23(a)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					•	Priority 4					~
361	3.23(b)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					•	Priority 4					~
364	3.27	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					•	Priority 4					~
365	3.29	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					•	Priority 4					~
Part 4 Th	e metering database	1	1				1	1	1				1	1	1	
366	4.1(1)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate			~			Priority 4		•			
367	4.1(2)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					•	Priority 4	~				
368	4.1(3)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4	~				
369	4.1(2)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate			~			Priority 4		✓			
370	4.3(1)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate			~			Priority 4		•			
371	4.4(1)	Minor	Probable	Low	Moderate					•	Priority 5	~				
372	4.5(1)	Minor	Probable	Low	Moderate					~	Priority 5	~				
373	4.5(2)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
374	4.6(1)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
375	4.6(2)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					•	Priority 4					~
376	4.7	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					•	Priority 4					~
377	4.8(3)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					•	Priority 4					~
378	4.8(3A)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
379	4.8(4)(a)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4	~				
380	4.8(4)(b)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4	~				1

	Obligation			Inhovont	Control	Ad	lequacy	of cont	rols rat	ing		Compl	iance <u>r</u> a	nting		
#	reference (condition/clause)	Consequence	Likelihood	Inherent Risk Rating	Control assessment	A	B	С	D	NP	Audit Risk/ Priority	1	2	3	4	NR
381	4.8(5)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4	~				
382	4.9	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4	~				
Part 5 M	etering services															
383	5.1 (1)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate					✓	Priority 5					•
384	5.1(2)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate					~	Priority 5					•
385	5.3	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4	•				
386	5.4(1)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate					~	Priority 5	~				
387	5.4(1A)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4	~				
388	5.4(2)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate					~	Priority 5					~
389	5.5(2)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate					~	Priority 5					~
390	5.5(2A)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
391	5.6(1)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate					~	Priority 5	~				
392	5.7	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4	•				
393	5.8	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
394	5.9	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
397	5.12(1)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					•
398	5.13	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
399	5.14(3)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
400	5.15	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					•
401	5.16	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
402	5.17(1)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4	~				
403	5.17A(1)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
404	5.17A(3)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
405	5.18	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
406	5.19(1)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate					~	Priority 5					~
407	5.19(2)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate					~	Priority 5					~
408	5.19(3)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~

	Obligation			Inherent	Control	Ad	lequacy	of cont	rols rat	ing		Compl	iance ra	nting		
#	reference (condition/clause)	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	assessment	A	В	C	D	NP	Audit Risk/ Priority	1	2	3	4	NR
409	5.19(5)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
410	5.19(6)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate					~	Priority 5					~
411	5.20(1)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate			~			Priority 4		~			
412	5.20(2)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
413	5.20(4)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
414	5.21(2)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
415	5.21(4)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
416	5.21(5)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
417	5.21(6)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
418	5.21(8)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
419	5.21(9)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
420	5.21(11)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
421	5.21(12)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
422	5.22(1)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate		~				Priority 4	~				
423	5.22(2)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4	~				
424	5.22(3)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					•	Priority 4					~
425	5.22(4)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
426	5.22(5)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
427	5.22(6)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
428	5.23(1)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					•	Priority 4					~
429	5.23(3)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					•	Priority 4					~
430	5.24(1)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					•	Priority 4					•
431	5.24(2)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					•	Priority 4					~
432	5.24(3)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					•	Priority 4					~
433	5.24(4)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					•	Priority 4					•
434	5.25	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					•	Priority 4					~
435	5.27	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate				1	~	Priority 4		1			~

	Obligation			Inherent	Control	Ad	lequacy	of cont	rols rat	ing		Compli	ance ra	ting		
#	reference (condition/clause)	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	assessment	A	В	C	D	NP	Audit Risk/ Priority	1	2	3	4	NR
441	5.37(1)(a)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
442	5.37(1)(b)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
443	5.37(1)(b)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
444	5.37(2)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
445	5.37(3)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
446	5.38	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
Part 6 Do	cumentation	1						1	1						1	
447	6.1(1)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate			~			Priority 4					✓
448	6.1(2)	Moderate	Probable	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4	~				
449	6.20(4)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate					~	Priority 5					~
450	6.20(5)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
Part 7 No	tes and confidential info	ormation														
451	7.2(1)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate					~	Priority 5	~				
452	7.2(2)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4	~				
453	7.2(4)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
454	7.2(5)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
455	7.5	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4	~				
456	7.6(1)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
Part 8 Di	spute resolution	1	1													
457	8.1(1)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate					✓	Priority 5					~
458	8.1(2)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate					~	Priority 5					✓
459	8.1(3)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate					~	Priority 5					~
460	8.1(4)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
461	8.3(2)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate					~	Priority 5					~
16 Electr	icity Industry (Networ	k Quality and Relia	ability of Supply) Code – Licenco	e Conditions and	l Obliga	tions									
462	5(1)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate					•	Priority 5	~				
463	8	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate					~	Priority 5					~
464	9	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate					~	Priority 5	~				

	Obligation			Inherent	Control	Ad	equacy	of cont	rols rat	ing		Compli	ance ra	ting		
#	reference (condition/clause)	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating		A	В	C	D	NP	Audit Risk/ Priority	1	2	3	4	NR
465	10(1)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate					~	Priority 5	~				
466	10(2)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate					~	Priority 5					~
468	13(2)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate					~	Priority 5					~
469	13(3)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					•	Priority 4					~
470	14(8)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					~	Priority 4					~
471	15(2)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					•	Priority 4					~
477	23(1)	Minor	Unlikely	Low	Moderate					•	Priority 5	~				
478	23(2)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					•	Priority 4	~				
479	24(3)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					•	Priority 4					~
480	24(4)	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium	Moderate					•	Priority 4					~

4 Detailed findings, recommendations and action plans

This section has been structured in subsections for the relevant Codes and Regulations against which we assessed Alinta's compliance. The sections are:

- 4.1 Electricity Industry Act 2004
- 4.2 Electricity Licenses Licence Conditions and Obligations
- 4.3 Electricity Industry Metering Code 2005
- 4.4 Electricity Industry (Network Quality and Reliability of Supply) Code.

Each section contains:

Assessment of compliance and control adequacy – the conclusions from our audit procedures and our assessment of Alinta's compliance with the applicable obligations. These tables include:

- **Findings** the auditor's understanding of the process and any issues that have been identified during the audit
- **Recommendations** recommendations for improvement or enhancement of the process or control
- Action plans Alinta's formal response to audit recommendations, providing details of action to be implemented to address the specific issue raised by the audit, assignment of the actions to appropriate staff and corresponding completion dates for the actions.

The compliance and control adequacy ratings have been summarised below for each sub-section.

	Co	ntrols ade	quacy rati	ng				Complian	ce rating		
А	В	С	D	NP	Total	1	2	3	4	NR	Total
4.1 Ele	ctricity Ind	ustry Act –	Licence C	onditions d	and Obliga	tions					
-	1	-	-	8	9	7	-	-	-	2	9
4.2 Ele	ctricity Lic	ences – Lic	cence Cona	litions and	Obligation	s					
-	-	-	-	8	8	6	-	-	-	2	8
4.3 Ele	ctricity Ind	ustry Mete	ring Code	– Licence (Conditions	and Obliga	itions				
-	15	7	-	109	131	36	5	-	-	90	131
4.4 Ele	ctricity Ind	ustry (Netw	vork Quali	ty and Reli	ability of S	upply) Cod	e – Licence	e Condition	s and Obli	gations	
-	-	-	-	13	13	5	-	-	-	8	13
-	16	7	-	138	161	54	5	-	-	102	161

4.1 Electricity Industry Act 2004

No.	Obligation under condi	ition		Findings				
101		lit conducted by an indep	nths, provide the Authority endent expert acceptable	In July 2016, Deloitte was appointed with the Authority's approval to undertake the first performance audit for the period 25 June 2014 to 30 June 2016. Alinta's arrangements made prior to 1 July 2016 were consistent with the requirements of section 13(1) of the Act.				
	Priority: 5	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1					
102	A licensee must provid Electricity Industry Act	e for an asset management section 14(1)(a)	it system.	Through discussions with the Manager Generation Operations WA and examination of Alinta's Licence Application, we determined that an outline of the asset management system was provided to the Authority as part of the licence application process.				
	Priority:5	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	was provided to the Authority as part of the neence application process.				
103	A licensee must notify substantial changes to i <i>Electricity Industry Act</i>	2	ement system and any	Through discussions with the Manager Asset Management & Engineering and Manager Generation Operations WA; and consideration of Alinta's Asset Management Framework and Site Asset Management Plans, we determined that Alinta's asset management system has further evolved since the Authority was advised of the details of the system at the time of Alinta's application for its Licence. The key enhancement made during the period subject to this audit relates to the use of the SPM Asset software for supplementing the capabilities of the Ellipse system in Alinta's approach to assessing and treating risks. Judgement is required to determine whether changes to the asset management system during the audit period are sufficiently substantial as to require specific notification to the Authority in accordance with the requirements of section 14(1) of the Act. Alinta had not specifically considered this requirement and does not have a protocol in place for determining whether the requirement has been triggered. As it may be reasonable to form a view either way, we consider Alinta has not breached the				
	Priority:4	Controls rating: B	Compliance Rating: 1	requirements of the Act.				
	Alinta establish a proto change" in its asset ma	Recommendation 1/2016 Alinta establish a protocol for determining what constitutes a "substantial change" in its asset management system and for duly notifying the Authority when appropriate.		Action Plan 1/2016Alinta will amend its Management of Change procedure to include a definition of a "substantial change" to the asset management system, requiring management under that procedure and which will acknowledge the requirement to notify the Authority of any such change.Responsible Person:Manager, Asset Management & EngineeringTarget Date:31 December 2016				

No.	Obligation under condi	tion		Findings
104	expert as to the effectiv	the the Authority with a representation of its asset management period as determined by the section $14(1)(c)$	ment system every 24	In July 2016, Deloitte was appointed with the Authority's approval to undertake the first review of Alinta's asset management system for the period 25 June 2014 to 30 June 2016. Alinta's arrangements made prior to 1 July 2016 were consistent with the requirements of section 14(1)(c) of the Act.
	Priority:5	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	
105	month after the day of	the Authority the prescrib grant or renewal of the lic ersary of that day during t <i>section</i> $17(1)$	ence and within one	Through examination of Alinta's financial records of licence fee payments processed and the respective invoices issued by the Authority for licence fees payable, we determined that the annual licence fees for 2014 and 2015 were appropriately paid within one month of the 25 June anniversary date.
	Priority:4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	
106	A licensee must take reasonable steps to minimise the extent or duration of any interruption, suspension or restriction of the supply of electricity due to an accident, emergency, potential danger or other unavoidable cause. <i>Electricity Industry Act section 31(3)</i>		supply of electricity due	 Through discussions with the Manager Generation Operations WA and Port Hedland Power Station Plant Manager and examination of Alinta's Emergency Response Plan and the PPAs with its customers, we determined that: Alinta maintains emergency response, incident response and business continuity management systems, which support Alinta's commitment to its two customers for maintaining continuity of supply and safe and secure operations
	Priority:5	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	• Alinta managers are notified of significant disruptions as and when they occur.
107	A licensee must pay the over land. <i>Electricity Industry Act</i>	e costs of taking an interest section 41(6)	st in land or an easement	The Manager Generation Operations WA confirmed that there have been no interests or easements taken in land during the period subject to audit.
	Priority:4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
110	If a designation under section 71(1) of the Electricity Industry Act is in force a licensee must perform the functions of a retailer of last resort and must carry out the supplier of last resort plan if it comes into operation under section 70 of the Electricity Industry Act. <i>Electricity Industry Act section 76</i>		etailer of last resort and	As the Authority has not yet designated an area under section 68(1) of the Electricity Industry Act, Alinta's compliance with this obligation cannot be rated for the period under audit. The Wholesale Regulation Manager confirmed that the Authority has not consulted with Alinta under section 71 in relation to designating Alinta (the licensee) as a supplier of last resort.
	Priority:4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	

No.	Obligation under cond	ition		Findings
112	service provider, in rel must not engage in cor access by any person to of access agreements of facilities, or the access	duct for the purpose of him o services in accordance w r any particular agreement to which a person is entitl mation made by way of ar	ture covered by the Code, ndering or prohibiting ith the Code, the making in respect of those ed under an access	Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA, we determined that Alinta has not engaged in any conduct for the purpose of hindering or prohibiting access by any person to services in accordance with the Code, the making of access agreements or any particular agreement in respect of those facilities, or the access to which a person is entitled under an access agreement or a determination made by way of arbitration. Access to Alinta's network (relating to the Licence) is governed by Alinta's contracts with its respective customers. The Manager Generation operations WA confirmed that there have been no additional requests for access to the network during the audit period outside of the terms of
	Priority:4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	these existing contracts.

4.2 Electricity Licences – Licence Conditions and Obligations

No.	Obligation under condition	tion		Findings			
119	records that comply with Standards or equivalent	ted body corporate must th the Australian Accoun t International Accountin cence condition 12.1 or 2	ting Standards Board g Standards.	 The Manager Finance (Power Generation) confirmed that: To the best of his knowledge, the Alinta Group reporting structure in which the licensee (Alinta DEWAP Pty Ltd) is a subsidiary, has maintained accounting records that comply with Australian Accounting Standards during the period 25 June 2014 to 30 June 2016 Alinta's financial reporting period is from 1 July to 30 June There are no significant accounting transactions or items that would currently jeopardise Alinta's compliance with accounting standards. Alinta's published financial statements and signed annual audit reports were sighted for the 			
	Priority:4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	years ending 30 June 2014 and 2015. The financial statements were unqualified.			
120	prescribed by the Author	y with any individual per prity. cence condition 13.4 or 2		The Wholesale Regulation Manager confirmed that, during the period 25 June 2014 to 30 June 2016, Alinta was not prescribed individual performance standards by the Authority. Therefore, an assessment of Alinta's compliance with this obligation cannot be made for the period subject to audit.			
	Priority:4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR				
121		6 6	to comply, with the the performance audit.	In July 2016, Deloitte was appointed with the Authority's approval to undertake the first performance audit for the period 25 June 2014 to 30 June 2016. Alinta's arrangements made prior to 1 July 2016 were consistent with the requirements of the Authority's standard audit guidelines.			
	Priority:4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	guidennes.			
122		ts of the Authority's stand nent system.	censee's expert to comply, lard guidelines dealing	In July 2016, Deloitte was appointed with the Authority's approval to undertake the first review of Alinta's asset management system for the period 25 June 2014 to 30 June 2016. Alinta's arrangements made prior to 1 July 2016 were consistent with the requirements of the Authority's standard audit guidelines.			
	Priority:4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1				
123	A licensee must report to the Authority, in the manner prescribed, if a licensee is under external administration or there is a significant change in the circumstances upon which the licence was granted which may affect a licensee's ability to meet its obligations. Integrated Regional Licence condition 15.1			The Wholesale Regulation Manager confirmed that, during the period 25 June 2014 to 30 June 2016, Alinta was not under external administration and has not undergone any significant change in circumstances upon which it's Licence was granted, which may affect its ability to meet its Licence obligations. Accordingly, there was no obligation to report to the Authority.			
	Priority:4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR				

No.	Obligation under condi	tion		Findings
124	A licensee must provide the Authority, in the manner prescribed, any information the Authority requires in connection with its functions under the Electricity Industry Act. <i>Integrated Regional Licence condition 16.1</i>			 Through discussions with the Regulation Wholesale Manager, we determined that Alinta has processes in place to respond to requests for information from the Authority. We note that: The Regulation Wholesale Manager is responsible for monitoring compliance with Alinta's Licence obligations, including the timing of submission of required information and reports Alinta is required to submit compliance reports to the Authority by 31 August each year. Alinta submitted its 2014 and 2015 compliance reports to the Authority on-time by due date of 31 August. The Regulation Wholesale Manager confirmed that for the period subject to audit, the
	Priority:4	Priority:4 Controls rating: NP Compliance Rating: 1		Authority made ad hoc enquiries but has not required Alinta to formally provide any further information.
125	publish, within the time	•	rected by the Authority to	The Regulation Wholesale Manager confirmed that the Authority did not direct Alinta to publish any information during the period 25 June 2014 to 30 June 2016. We note that under section 28 of the Electricity Industry (Network Quality and Reliability of Supply) Code 2005, Alinta is exempt from publishing an annual performance report each year as it is not permitted to contract small use customers under the Licence.
	Priority:4	Priority:4 Controls rating: NP Compliance Rating: 1		
126	Unless otherwise specified, all notices must be in writing. Integrated Regional Licence condition 18.1		n writing.	The Regulation Wholesale Manager maintains manual and scanned records to evidence formal communications with the Authority, which have been made via post or email.
	Priority:4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	

4.3 Electricity Industry Metering Code 2012

Summary findings

Alinta maintains three meters on its electricity transmission network for measuring and recording energy data relating to electricity transferred to its customers' delivery points. Alinta's PPAs with its two customers specify Alinta's obligations for operating and maintaining metering equipment, including references to the requirements of the *North West Interconnected System Electricity Network Access Technical Rules* the *Electricity Transmission Regulations 1996 (WA)*, *Good Engineering and Operating Practices* and the Metering Code. All energy data from these meters is captured within Alinta's Honeywell Experion system.

Although Alinta has demonstrated that it has operated its meters and recorded related energy data to the satisfaction of its customers throughout the period subject to this audit:

1. Alinta has not fully complied with the requirements to:

- Maintain and administer a metering database containing the required standing data in accordance with Part 4 of the Metering Code (*obligations 366, 369 and 370*)
- Maintain drawings and supporting information, to the standard of good electricity industry practice, detailing the metering installation for maintenance and auditing purposes (*obligation 345*)
- Develop an Energy Data Verification Request Form (*obligation 411*).
- 2. Alinta has not established a clear metering management plan or framework for demonstrating its:
 - Installation and maintenance of meters in accordance with an applicable metrology procedure, the specifications of the National Measurement Institute under the National Measurements Act; and the functionality and testing requirements outlined in Part 3 of the Metering Code (*obligations 319, 320, 326, 327, 331 to 333, 336, 337, 340 to 344*)
 - Management of validated energy data (*obligation 422*)
 - Compliance with all applicable agreements, rules, procedures, criteria and processes outlined in Part 6 of the Metering Code (obligation 447).
- 3. The two PPAs with Alinta's customers are not consistent in their reference to the underlying technical and legislative obligations for installing and maintaining metering equipment. For example, one, but not both of the PPAs, refers to the requirements of the Metering Code, the *North West Interconnected System Electricity Network Access Technical Rules* and the *Electricity Transmission Regulations 1996 (WA)*.

We also note that Alinta has not complied with clause 6.2 of the Metering Code, which requires a network operator to submit a proposed model service level agreement, metrology procedure and mandatory link criteria to the Authority for its approval (clause 6.2 of the Metering Code is not referenced in the Reporting Manual and was not included in the audit plan). Further, as there are no other users of Alinta's transmission network and no foreseeable prospect of a request to use the network, Alinta's circumstances have not triggered the intent of the Metering Code for such documents to be prepared for the purpose of another user.

The recommendation and action plan below applies to obligations 319, 320, 326, 327, 331 to 333, 336, 337, 345, 340 to 344, 366, 369, 370, 411, 422 and 447.

Recommendation 2/2016	Action Plan 2/2016
Alinta:	Alinta will:
1. Establish a formal metering database, maintain drawings and supporting information and develop an Energy Data Verification Request Form to ensure its compliance with the requirements of the Metering Code.	1. Establish a formal metering database, maintain drawings and supporting information and develop an Energy Data Verification Request Form to ensure its compliance with the requirements of the Metering Code.
2. Prepare a metering management plan (or similar) to:	2. Prepare a metering management plan to incorporate the requirements of the Metering Code
a. Incorporate the requirements of the Metering Code relevant to Alinta's	relevant to Alinta's metering operations.
metering operations	3. Reach a position on its approach for addressing the technical requirements of clause 6.2 of the
b. Consolidate, make consistent and expand on the obligations contained in the	Metering Code.
two PPAs.	Responsible Person: Manager Generation Operations WA
3. Reach a position on its approach for addressing the technical requirements of clause 6.2 of the Metering Code.	Target Date:31 December 2016

No.	Obligation under condit	tion		Findings
Part 2	Code objectives and ar	ms-length treatment		
317	A network operator must on an arms-length basis <i>Metering Code clause 2</i>		nts that are its associates	During the period subject to audit, no other retailers, distributors, generators or users (collectively Code Participants) had access to Alinta's transmission network.
	U U	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Dating: ND	
210	Priority: 5	-	Compliance Rating: NR	
318	A network operator must ensure that no Code participant that is its associate receives a benefit in respect of the Code unless the benefit is attributable to an arm's length application of the Code or is also made available to all other Code participants on the same terms and conditions. <i>Metering Code clause 2.2(1)(b)</i>			
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
Part 3	Meters and metering in	stallations		
319 320	A network operator must ensure that its meters meet the requirements specified in the applicable metrology procedure and also comply with any applicable specifications or guidelines (including any transitional arrangements) specified by the National Measurement Institute under the National Measurement Act.Metering Code clause 3.1Controls rating: BCompliance Rating: 1An accumulation meter must at least conform to the requirements specified in the applicable metrology procedure and display, or permit access to a display of the measurements specified in subclauses 3.2(1)(a)(b) using dials, a cyclometer, an illuminated display panel or some other visual means.Metering Code clause 3.2(1)		and also comply with any g any transitional ement Institute under the Compliance Rating: 1 the requirements specified ay, or permit access to a uses 3.2(1)(a)(b) using	 Obligations 319 and 320 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: Although Alinta has demonstrated that it has maintained its meters to the satisfaction of its customers throughout the period subject to this audit, it has not established a clear metering management plan or framework for demonstrating its compliance with the specifications of the National Measurement Institute under the National Measurements Act A metrology procedure has not been established for the transmission network Alinta categorises its meters as accumulation meters, however a metering registry has not been maintained to record details of that categorisation (refer to obligation 370). <i>Refer to summary findings, recommendation and action plan at Issue 2/2016 above.</i>
	Priority: 4	Priority: 4 Controls rating: C Compliance Rating: 1		
321	 An interval meter must at least have an interface to allow the interval energy data to be downloaded in the manner prescribed using an interface compatible with the requirements specified in the applicable metrology procedure. Metering Code clause 3.3(1) 			 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: Although Alinta's meters collect interval data, Alinta categorises its meters as accumulation meters rather than interval meters.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	

No.	Obligation under condi-	tion		Findings
322	link must (where necess approved under the rele	sary), include a modem a want telecommunications be downloaded in the ma	s regulations, to allow the	 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: Alinta's meters operate within internal communications systems and are not required to comply with telecommunications regulations.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
323	occur at a metering poin	nt unless the metering ins rately measuring and rec	al electricity flows do not stallation for the metering ording electricity flows in	 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: Alinta's meters are capable of separately measuring and recording electricity flows in each direction.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	
324	not previously subject t in a customer's or user'	o a bi-directional electric s circumstances in a met electricity flows must not	ering point which will	 Through discussions with Manager Generation Operations WA and examination of Alinta's Access and Standby Agreement with Horizon Power we determined that: Alinta has not become aware of bi-directional electricity flow in a metering point within Horizon Power's network, which was not previously subject to bi-directional electricity flow.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
325	An accumulation meter or an interval meter that separately measures and records bi-directional electricity flows at the metering point must record the net electricity production transferred into the network that exceeds electricity consumption and the net electricity consumption transferred out of the network that exceeds electricity production. <i>Metering Code clause 3.3C</i> Priority: 4 Controls rating: NP Compliance Rating: 1		tering point must record network that exceeds onsumption transferred out n.	 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: Each of Alinta's accumulation meters have the capability to record the net electricity production transferred into the network that exceeds electricity consumption and the net electricity consumption transferred out of the network that exceeds electricity production All such energy data is captured within Alinta's Honeywell Experion system.

No.	Obligation under condi	tion		Findings
326	A network operator must ensure that there is a metering installation at every connection point on its network which is not a Type 7 connection point. Unless it is a Type 7 metering installation, the metering installation must meet the functionality requirements prescribed. <i>Metering Code clause 3.5(1) and (2)</i>			 Obligations 326 and 327 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: Alinta maintains meters for each relevant connection points within its network Although Alinta has demonstrated that it has installed and maintained its meters to the
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: B	Compliance Rating: 1	satisfaction of its customers throughout the period subject to this audit, it has not
insta netw the r	installation on its netwo network, provide, instal	n in the manner prescribe	of its connection to the subclause 3.7(5), maintain	established a clear metering management plan or framework for demonstrating its compliance with all requirements outlined in Part 3 of the Metering Code. <i>Refer to summary findings, recommendation and action plan at Issue 2/2016 above.</i>
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: B	Compliance Rating: 1	
328	A network operator must ensure that, except for a Type 7 metering installation, the metering point for a revenue metering installation is located as close as practicable to the connection point in accordance with good electricity industry practice. <i>Metering Code clause 3.5(4)</i>			 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: Alinta's meters are located as close as practicable to the connection points within its network.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	
329	A network operator may only impose a charge for providing, installing, operating or maintaining a metering installation in accordance with the applicable service level agreement between it and the user. <i>Metering Code clause 3.5(6)</i>			 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: Alinta's PPAs with its customers do not provide for Alinta to impose a charge for providing, installing, operating or maintaining its meters
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	• Alinta has not imposed such a charge during the period subject to audit.
330	If a network operator becomes aware that a metering installation does not comply with the Code, the network operator must advise affected parties of the non-compliance and arrange for the non-compliance to be corrected as soon as practicable.			 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: Alinta has not identified any of its meters to be non-compliant with the Metering Code
	Metering Code clause 3.5(9)			
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	

No.	Obligation under condi	tion		Findings
331	All devices that may be connected to a telecommunications network must be compatible with the telecommunications network and comply with all applicable State and Commonwealth enactments. <i>Metering Code clause 3.7</i>			 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: Alinta's meters are currently not supported by equipment which is connected to a telecommunications network However, in the event of such equipment being installed, Alinta has not established a clear metering management plan or framework for ensuring its compliance with the Metering Code and applicable State and Commonwealth enactments.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: B	Compliance Rating: NR	Refer to summary findings, recommendation and action plan at Issue 2/2016 above.
332	Subject to clause 3.27, a network operator must, for each metering installation on its network, ensure that the metering installation is secured by means of devices or methods which, to the standard of good electricity industry practice, hinder unauthorized access and enable unauthorized access to be detected. <i>Metering Code clause 3.8</i>			 Obligations 331, 332 and 333 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: Alinta's meters have been secured through physical and logical means to prevent and/or detect unauthorised access
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: B	Compliance Rating: 1	 Alinta's meters are designed to meet the accuracy requirements for type 1 meters Although Alinta has demonstrated that it has installed and maintained its meters to the
333	Subject to subclauses 3.9(4), 3.9(5) and 3.9(7), each metering installation must meet at least the requirements for that type of metering installation specified in Table 3 in Appendix 1 of the Code. <i>Metering Code clause 3.9(3)</i>			 satisfaction of its customers throughout the period subject to this audit, it has not established a clear metering management plan or framework for demonstrating its compliance with all requirements outlined in Part 3 of the Metering Code. Refer to summary findings, recommendation and action plan at Issue 2/2016 above.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: B	Compliance Rating: 1	
334	above 1000 volts that rebelow 750MWh, the me	metering installation for	nnual consumption is neet the relevant accuracy	During the period subject to audit, Alinta did not have any customers with annual consumption below 750MWh. Accordingly, Alinta had no obligations under clause 3.9(7) of the Metering Code for the period subject to audit.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
335	If compensation is carried out within the meter then the resultant metering system error must be as close as practicable to zero. <i>Metering Code clause 3.9(9)</i>			 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: No such compensation has been carried out within Alinta's meters during the period subject to audit.
	Priority: 4Controls rating: NPCompliance Rating: NR			

No.	Obligation under condi	ition		Findings
336				 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: Alinta's meters are designed to meet the specifications of the National Measurement Institute under the <i>National Measurement Act</i> A metrology procedure has not been established for Alinta's transmission network Although Alinta has demonstrated that it has installed and maintained its meters to the satisfaction of its customers throughout the period subject to this audit, it has not established a clear metering management plan or framework for demonstrating its compliance with the specifications of the National Measurement Institute under the <i>National Measurements Act</i>.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: B	Compliance Rating: 1	<i>Refer to summary findings, recommendation and action plan at Issue 2/2016 above.</i>
337	A network operator must ensure that a metering installation on its network is operating consistently with good electricity industry practice to measure and record data, and to permit collection of data within the time specified in the applicable service level agreement, for at least the percentages of the year specified. <i>Metering Code clause 3.11(1)</i>			 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: Although Alinta has demonstrated that it has maintained and operated its meters to the satisfaction of its customers throughout the period subject to this audit, it has not established a clear metering management plan or framework for demonstrating its compliance with all requirements outlined in Part 3 of the Metering Code.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: B	Compliance Rating: 1	Refer to summary findings, recommendation and action plan at Issue 2/2016 above.
338	If an outage or malfunction occurs to a metering installation, the network operator must make repairs to the metering installation in accordance with the applicable service level agreement. <i>Metering Code clause 3.11(2)</i>			The Manager Generation Operations WA confirmed that Alinta had not made repairs to its meters during the period subject to audit.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
339	A Code participant who becomes aware of an outage or malfunction of a metering installation must advise the network operator as soon as practicable. <i>Metering Code clause 3.11(3)</i>			The Manager Generation Operations WA confirmed that Alinta had not become aware of an outage or malfunction of any of Horizon Power's metering installations that Horizon Power had not already been aware of during the period subject to audit.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	

No.	Obligation under cond	ition		Findings
340	A network operator must ensure that the meters on its network are systematically sampled and tested for accuracy in accordance with AS 1284.13. <i>Metering Code clause 3.11A(1)</i>			<i>Obligations 340 to 344</i> Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that:
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: B	Compliance Rating: NR	• Although Alinta has demonstrated that it has installed, maintained and operated its meters to the satisfaction of its customers throughout the period subject to this audit, it
341	Subject to clause 3.11A(3), if a "population" of meters is deemed to have failed under AS 1284.13, the network operator must ensure that all the meters that make up the population are removed and replaced with new meters within 3 years of the testing of the population. <i>Metering Code clause 3.11A(2)</i>			 has not established a clear metering management plan or framework for demonstrating its compliance with the Metering Code and specifications of the National Measurement Institute under the <i>National Measurements Act</i> A metrology procedure has not been established for the transmission network. <i>Refer to summary findings, recommendation and action plan at Issue 2/2016 above.</i>
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: B	Compliance Rating: NR	
342	A network operator must ensure that each metering installation complies with, at least, the prescribed design requirements. <i>Metering Code clause 3.12(1)</i>			
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: B	Compliance Rating: NR	
343	A network operator must ensure that instrument transformers in its metering installations comply with the relevant requirements of any applicable specifications or guidelines (including any transitional arrangements) specified by the National Measurement Institute under the National Measurement Act and any requirements specified in the applicable metrology procedure. <i>Metering Code clause 3.12(2)</i>			
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: B	Compliance Rating: NR	
344			ties, to the standard of sting and calibration of the	
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: B	Compliance Rating: NR	
345	to the standard of good installation for mainter	A network operator must maintain drawings and supporting information, o the standard of good electricity industry practice, detailing the metering nstallation for maintenance and auditing purposes. <i>Metering Code clause 3.12(4)</i>		Alinta has not maintained sufficient drawings and supporting information, detailing the metering installation for auditing purposes. Refer to summary findings, recommendation and action plan at Issue 2/2016 above.
	Priority: 4 Controls rating: C Compliance Rating: 2			

No.	Obligation under condi	tion		Findings
346	A network operator must procure the user or the user's customer to install (or arrange for the installation of) a full check metering installation or partial check metering installation in accordance with the prescribed requirements. <i>Metering Code clause 3.13(1)</i>			 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: Alinta uses parallel check meters for each of its metering installations, which are Type 1 metering installations.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	
347				Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that:Alinta does not use partial check meters.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
348	A check metering installation for a metering point must comply with the prescribed requirements. <i>Metering Code clause 3.13(4)</i>			 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: Alinta's parallel check metering arrangements meet the requirements of clause
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	3.13(4)(b) of the Metering Code.
349	If, under clause 3.14(2), a metering installation uses metering class CTs and VTs that do not comply with the Table 3 in Appendix 1, then the network operator must either or both install meters of a higher class accuracy and apply accuracy calibration factors within the meter to compensate for CT and VT errors, in order to achieve the accuracy requirements in Table 3 in Appendix 1. <i>Metering Code clause 3.14(3)</i>			 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: At the time of commissioning, Alinta's metering installations were designed in compliance with the accuracy requirements of the Metering Code. Accordingly, clause 3.14(3) is not relevant to Alinta's circumstances.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
355	A network operator must, if reasonably requested by a Code participant, provide enhanced technology features in a metering installation. <i>Metering Code clause 3.20(1)</i>			The Manager Generation Operations WA confirmed that Alinta has not been requested by a Code participant to provide enhanced technology features in a metering installation.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
356	A network operator may only impose a charge for the provision of metering installations with enhanced technology features in accordance with the applicable service level agreement between it and the user. <i>Metering Code clause 3.20(3)</i>			 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: Alinta's PPAs with its customers do not provide for Alinta to impose a charge for providing, installing, operating or maintaining its meters
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	• Alinta has not imposed such a charge during the period subject to audit.

No.	Obligation under condi	tion		Findings
357		ft must be measured over	ist maintain time accuracy a period of 1 month.	 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: Alinta's meters contain an internal real time clock Although Alinta's operation and maintenance of its meters is designed to maintain the prescribed time accuracy of their internal real time clock in accordance with its obligations under its customer PPAs, Alinta has not established a clear metering management plan or framework for demonstrating its compliance with the Metering Code.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: B	Compliance Rating: 1	Refer to summary findings, recommendation and action plan at Issue 2/2016 above.
358		the periods prescribed.	elements and an internal ities on site for storing the	 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: Alinta's on-site facilities and systems appropriately provide for interval energy data to be stored.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	
359	359 A network operator providing one or more metering installations with enhanced technology features must be licensed to use and access the metering software applicable to all devices being installed and be able to program the devices and set parameters. <i>Metering Code clause 3.22</i>			 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: During the period subject to audit Alinta held the required licence for using and accessing the metering software associated with its meters.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	
360	 Where signals are provided from the meter for the user or the user's customer use, a network operator must ensure that signals are isolated by relays or electronic buffers to prevent accidental or malicious damage to the meter. Metering Code clause 3.23(a) 			 Obligations 360 and 361 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: During the period subject to audit, Alinta did not provide signals from the meter for a user's purposes.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
361	Where signals are provided from the meter for the user or the user's customer use, a network operator must provide the user or the user's customer with sufficient details of the signal specification to enable compliance with clause 3.23(c) of the Code. <i>Metering Code clause 3.23(b)</i>			
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	

No.	Obligation under condi	tion		Findings
364	A person must not install a metering installation on a network unless the person is the network operator or a registered metering installation provider for the network operator doing the type of work authorised by its registration. <i>Metering Code clause 3.27</i>			The Manager Generation Operations WA confirmed that no meters were installed on Alinta's transmission network during the period subject to audit.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
365	A network operator must publish a list of registered metering installation providers, including the prescribed details, and at least annually, update the list. <i>Metering Code clause 3.29</i>			As Alinta has not installed any new meters during the period subject to audit, there was no requirement to register a metering installation provider or publish an associated list.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
Part 4	The metering database			
366	A network operator must establish, maintain and administer a metering database containing, for each metering point on its network, standing data and energy data. <i>Metering Code clause 4.1(1)</i>			Alinta has not maintained and administered a metering database containing the required standing data in accordance with Part 4 of the Metering Code. We observed that energy data from each of Alinta's meters is captured within Alinta's Honeywell Experion system.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: C	Compliance Rating: 2	Refer to summary findings, recommendation and action plan at Issue 2/2016 above.
367	 A network operator must ensure that its metering database and associated links, circuits, information storage and processing systems are secured by means of devices or methods which, to the standard of good electricity industry practice, hinder unauthorized access and enable unauthorized access to be detected. Metering Code clause 4.1(2) 		ag systems are secured by lard of good electricity	 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and Ellipse Team Leader, consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements and examination of Alinta Energy's IT Security Policy and password policy system parameters, we determined that: Alinta's Honeywell Experion system is appropriately secured through physical and logical means to prevent and/or detect unauthorised access.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	
368	A network operator must prepare, and if applicable, must implement a disaster recovery plan to ensure that it is able, within 2 business days after the day of any disaster, to rebuild the metering database and provide energy data to Code participants. <i>Metering Code clause 4.1(3)</i>			 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and Ellipse Team Leader; and examination of Alinta Energy's System back-up protocol, we determined that: Alinta has established appropriate capabilities to restore the Honeywell Experion system within two business days to ensure energy data will continue to be captured.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	
Obligation under condi	uon		Findings	
---	---	---	--	
and the prescribed clause of the market rules.			<i>Obligations 369 and 370</i> We observed that Alinta had maintained many of the components of the information required by clause 4.3 of the Metering Code, however:	
Priority: 4	Controls rating: C	Compliance Rating: 2	• That information is not held in a central registry	
specified.		prise at least the items	 Alinta has not maintained and administered a metering database containing all of the required registry and standing data in accordance with Part 4 of the Metering Code. Refer to summary findings, recommendation and action plan at Issue 2/2016 above. 	
Priority: 4	Controls rating: C	Compliance Rating: 2		
71 If there is a discrepancy between energy data held in a metering installation and data held in the metering database, the affected Code participants and the network operator must liaise together to determine the most appropriate way to resolve a discrepancy.			 Obligations 369 and 370 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and examination of Alinta's Access and Standby Agreement with Horizon Power (which defines Alinta's obligations as a user), we determined that: Any discrepancies in metering data are managed in accordance with the Access and 	
Priority: 5	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	Standby Agreement	
A Code participant must not knowingly permit the registry to be materially inaccurate.	 Alinta's Commercial team maintains ongoing communication with Horizon Power who resolving metering discrepancies Alinta understands its obligations not to permit Horizon Power's metering registry to b materially inaccurate 			
Priority: 5	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	• Alinta has not knowingly permitted the registry to be materially inaccurate.	
Subject to subclause 5.19(6), if a Code participant, other than a network operator, becomes aware of a change to, or an inaccuracy in, an item of standing data in the registry, then it must notify the network operator and provide details of the change or inaccuracy within the timeframes prescribed. <i>Metering Code clause 4.5(2)</i>			The Manager Generation Operations WA confirmed that Alinta had not become aware of any inaccuracy in an item of standing data in Horizon Power's metering registry during the period subject to audit.	
	A network operator mu and the prescribed clau <i>Metering Code clause</i> Priority: 4 The standing data for a specified. <i>Metering Code clause</i> Priority: 4 If there is a discrepancy installation and data he participants and the net most appropriate way to <i>Metering Code clause</i> Priority: 5 A Code participant mus inaccurate. <i>Metering Code clause</i> Priority: 5 Subject to subclause 5. operator, becomes away standing data in the reg provide details of the cl prescribed.	A network operator must ensure that its registry and the prescribed clause of the market rules. Metering Code clause 4.2(1)Priority: 4Controls rating: CThe standing data for a metering point must comspecified. Metering Code clause 4.3(1)Priority: 4Controls rating: CIf there is a discrepancy between energy data heli installation and data held in the metering database participants and the network operator must liaise most appropriate way to resolve a discrepancy. Metering Code clause 4.4(1)Priority: 5Controls rating: NPA Code participant must not knowingly permit the inaccurate. Metering Code clause 4.5(1)Priority: 5Controls rating: NPSubject to subclause 5.19(6), if a Code participant operator, becomes aware of a change to, or an in standing data in the registry, then it must notify the provide details of the change or inaccuracy with prescribed. Metering Code clause 4.5(2)	A network operator must ensure that its registry complies with the Code and the prescribed clause of the market rules. Metering Code clause 4.2(1)Priority: 4Controls rating: CCompliance Rating: 2The standing data for a metering point must comprise at least the items specified. Metering Code clause 4.3(1)Controls rating: CCompliance Rating: 2Priority: 4Controls rating: CCompliance Rating: 2If there is a discrepancy between energy data held in a metering installation and data held in the metering database, the affected Code participants and the network operator must liaise together to determine the most appropriate way to resolve a discrepancy. Metering Code clause 4.4(1)Controls rating: NPCompliance Rating: 1A Code participant must not knowingly permit the registry to be materially inaccurate. Metering Code clause 4.5(1)Controls rating: NPCompliance Rating: 1Subject to subclause 5.19(6), if a Code participant, other than a network operator, becomes aware of a change to, or an inaccuracy in, an item of standing data in the registry, then it must notify the network operator and provide details of the change or inaccuracy within the timeframes prescribed. Metering Code clause 4.5(2)	

No.	Obligation under condi	tion		Findings
374	of standing data by a Code participant which is the designated source for the item of standing data under Table 2 in clause 4.3(1), then the network operator must update the registry to reflect the change to, or correct the			<i>Obligations 374 to 376</i> During the period subject to audit, Alinta did not maintain a metering registry and there was no opportunity for a Code participant to have visibility of items of standing data recorded by Alinta.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
375	If a network operator is notified of a change to or inaccuracy in an item of standing data by a Code participant which is not the designated source for the item of standing data, or otherwise becomes aware of a change to or inaccuracy in an item of standing data, then the network operator must undertake investigations to the standard of good electricity industry practice to determine whether the registry should be updated, and update the registry as required. <i>Metering Code clause 4.6(2)</i>			
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
376	If standing data for a metering point is updated in the registry, the network operator must, within 2 business days after the update (or such other time as is specified in the applicable service level agreement) notify the update to the current user and each previous user, if the updated standing data relates to a period or periods when the previous user was the current user. <i>Metering Code clause 4.7</i>			
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
377	377 A network operator must allow a user who is a retailer or a generator to have local and (where a suitable communications link is installed) remote access to the energy data for metering points at its associated connection points, using a password provided by the network operator which provides 'read only' access. <i>Metering Code clause 4.8(3)</i>		s link is installed) remote its associated connection	Obligations 377 and 378 During the period subject to audit, no other retailers or generators had access to Alinta's transmission network.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
378	78A network operator must allow a user who is a retailer or a generator to have access to data held in its metering database for metering points at its associated connection points, by means of a website (or otherwise by remote access to a "data storage device" as that expression is defined in the Electronic Transactions Act 2003), using a password provided by the network operator which provides 'read only' access. Metering Code clause 4.8(3A)Priority: 4Controls rating: NPCompliance Rating: NR			

No.	Obligation under condi	tion		Findings
379	that energy data held in its metering installation is secured from unauthorised local or remote access using the methods prescribed.			Obligations 379 to 381 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and Ellipse Team Leader, consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements and examination of Alinta Energy's IT Security Policy and password policy system parameters, we determined that:
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	• Alinta has appropriate methods in place to ensure that relevant data held in its meters and Honeywell Experion system and is appropriately secured through physical and
380	 A network operator must have devices and methods in place that ensure and ensure that the data held in its metering database is secured from unauthorised local or remote access using the methods prescribed. Metering Code clause 4.8(4)(b) 		base is secured from	logical means to prevent and/or detect unauthorised access.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	
381	 Without limiting subclause 4.8(4), a network operator must ensure that electronic passwords and other electronic security controls are only issued to the specified authorised personnel and otherwise keep its records of electronic passwords and other electronic security controls secure from unauthorised access. Metering Code clause 4.8(5) 			
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	
382				 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and Ellipse Team Leader; and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: Alinta's Honeywell Experion system is designed to ensure that relevant energy data is retained in a readily accessible format for at least 13 months and once archived, indefinitely (i.e. in excess of five years and 11 months) in a format that is accessible
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	within a reasonable period of time.

No.	Obligation under condi	tion		Findings
Part 5	Metering services			
383	another Code participant's requirement to obtain a metering service and requirements in connection with the negotiation of a service level agreement.			Obligations 383 and 384 During the period subject to audit, no other retailers, distributors, generators or users (collectively Code Participants) had access to Alinta's transmission network, or an opportunity to obtain a metering service. Therefore clause 5.1 of the Metering Code did not apply to Alinta's circumstances during the period subject to audit.
	Priority: 5	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
384	Without limiting subclause 5.1(1), a network operator must expeditiously and diligently process all requests for a service level agreement and negotiate its terms in good faith and, to the extent reasonably practicable in accordance with good electricity industry practice, permit a Code participant to acquire a metering service containing only those elements of the metering service which the Code participant wishes to acquire. <i>Metering Code clause 5.1(2)</i>			
	Priority: 5	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
385	A network operator must, for each metering point on its network, obtain energy data from the metering installation and transfer the energy data into its metering database by no later than 2 business days after the date for the scheduled meter reading for the metering point (or such other time as is specified in the applicable service level agreement). <i>Metering Code clause 5.3</i>			 Obligations 385 to 387 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: Energy data collected from meters is immediately transferred to Alinta's Honeywell Experion system
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	 Actual meter readings are undertaken on a continuous basis and in 30 minute intervals Alinta's use of check meters accommodates the required energy data validation
386	A network operator must, for each meter on its network, at least once in every 12 month period, undertake a meter reading that provides an actual value that passes the validation processes in Appendix 2. <i>Metering Code clause 5.4(1)</i>		ig that provides an actual	 Annua's use of encek meters accommodates the required energy data validation processes Meter readings are only performed by appointed Alinta personnel.
	Priority: 5	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	
387	7 The meter reading referred to in clause 5.4(1) must not be undertaken by the customer associated with the meter, and must be undertaken by a person who is employed or appointed by the network operator and who is suitably skilled in accordance with good electricity industry practice to carry out meter readings. <i>Metering Code clause 5.4(1A)</i>			
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	

No.	Obligation under condit	ion		Findings
388	network operator to consubclause 5.4(1).	nply with the network op	twork operator, assist the erator's obligation under	The Manager Generation Operations WA confirmed that the network operator has not provided any requests in relation to subclause $5.4(1)$ during the period subject to audit.
	Metering Code clause 5			
	Priority: 5	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
389	Subject to subclause $5.5(2A)(b)$, a network operator may impose a charge for the provision of data but only if a user has requested the energy data to the extent permitted by, and in accordance with the applicable service level agreement between it and the user, and if a customer has given a direction under subclause $5.17A(1)$, in accordance with the prescribed conditions. <i>Metering Code clause</i> $5.5(2)$			 Obligations 389 and 390 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: Alinta's PPAs with its customers do not provide for Alinta to impose a charge for providing energy data or standing data on request Alinta has not imposed such a charge during the period subject to audit.
	Priority: 5	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
390	 A network operator must not impose a charge for the provision of standing data and for the provision of energy data if another enactment prohibits it doing so. Metering Code clause 5.5(2A) 			
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
391			ergy data for a metering	 Obligations 391 and 392 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: Energy data, including validated, substituted or estimated data is provided to Alinta's customers in accordance with the respective PPAs
	Priority: 5	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	• As Alinta's network is not part of the wholesale electricity market, Alinta is not required
392	 If a replacement energy data value is inserted in a metering database for a metering point, the network operator must provide replacement energy data to the user for the metering point and the IMO within the timeframes prescribed. Metering Code clause 5.7 			 to provide data to the IMO During the period subject to audit, no other users had access to Alinta's transmission network.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	

No.	Obligation under condi	tion		Findings
393	A network operator must provide a user with whatever information the network operator has that is necessary to enable the user to comply with its obligations under the Code of Conduct, within the time necessary for the user to comply with the obligations. <i>Metering Code clause 5.8</i>			 Obligations 393, 394, 397 to 400 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: Any related metering information (including dates for the purpose of invoicing) is
394	Priority: 4 A network operator mu	Controls rating: NP st provide standing data.	Compliance Rating: NR provided to or obtained by	required to be provided to Alinta's customers in accordance with the respective PPAsDuring the period subject to audit, no other users had access to Alinta's transmission
374		sers where required to do	so under any enactment.	networkNo requests for energy data, standing data or bulk standing data have been received by
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	Alinta during the period subject to auditAs Alinta's network is not part of the wholesale electricity market, Alinta is not required
397	If a user gives a network operator an energy data request for a metering point in accordance with the communication rules and the energy data request relates only to a time or times for which the user was the current user at the metering point, then the a network operator must provide a user with a complete set of energy data for the a metering point within the timeframes prescribed. <i>Metering Code clause 5.12(1)</i>			to provide data to the IMO.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
398	If the current user for a metering point gives the network operator a standing data request for the metering point in accordance with the communication rules then the network operator must provide the current user with a complete current set of standing data for a metering point and advise whether there is a communications link for the metering point, within the timeframes prescribed. <i>Metering Code clause 5.13</i>			
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
399	If a user makes a bulk standing data request, the network operator must in accordance with the communication rules, acknowledge receipt of the request and provide the requested standing data within the timeframes prescribed. <i>Metering Code clause 5.14(3)</i>			
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
400	If a network operator p also provide the date of requirements specified. <i>Metering Code clause</i>	rovides energy data to a to the meter reading in acc	user or the IMO it must ordance with the	
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	

No.	Obligation under condi	tion		Findings
401	the user must provide the network operator with the energy data (in accordance with the communication rules) within the timeframes			 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA; and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements and its Access and Standby Agreement with Horizon Power, we determined that: In relation to Alinta's capacity as a user of Horizon Power's network, Alinta has no role in the collection of energy data In relation to Alinta's transmission network, Alinta operates as both the network
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	operator and retailer.
402				 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: Standing data and validated energy data is provided to Alinta's customers in accordance with the respective PPAs, for the purpose of invoicing.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	
403				Obligations 403 and 404 The Manager Generation Operations WA confirmed that during the period subject to audit, Alinta did not receive any directions from a customer to provide data for a metering point from its metering database.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
404	404 A network operator must comply with a direction under subclause 5.17A(1) within the timeframes prescribed. <i>Metering Code clause 5.17A(3)</i>			
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
405	energisation status of a metering point then the user must provide the network operator with the prescribed information, including the stated			In relation to Alinta's capacity as a user of Horizon Power's network, Alinta has no capacity to observe any change in the energisation status of a metering point. Accordingly, Alinta had no obligations under clause 5.18 of the Metering Code for the period subject to audit.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	

No.	Obligation under condi	tion		Findings
406	A user must, when requested by the network operator acting in accordance with good electricity industry practice, use reasonable endeavours to collect information from customers, if any, that assists the network operator in meeting its obligations described in the Code and elsewhere, and provide that information to the network operator. <i>Metering Code clause 5.19(1)</i>			Obligations 406 to 408 In relation to Alinta's capacity as a user of Horizon Power's network, Alinta has no role in the collection of any information relating to Horizon Power's meters or connection points. Accordingly, Alinta had no obligations under clause 5.19(1-3) of the Metering Code for the period subject to audit.
	Priority: 5	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
407		ssociated.	and maintain a record of of each connection point	
	Priority: 5 Controls rating: NP Compliance Rating: NR		Compliance Rating: NR	
408	408 Subject to subclauses 5.19(3A) and 5.19(6), the user must within 1 business day after becoming aware of any change in an attribute described in subclause 5.19(2), notify the network operator of the change. <i>Metering Code clause 5.19(3)</i>		e in an attribute described	
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
409	A network operator must give notice to a user, or (if there is a different current user) the current user, acknowledging receipt of any customer, site or address attributes from the user within the timeframes prescribed. <i>Metering Code clause 5.19(5)</i>			 In relation to Alinta's transmission network, during the period subject to audit: Alinta operated as both the network operator and retailer No other users had access to Alinta's network.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	Accordingly, Alinta had no obligations under clause 5.19(5) of the Metering Code for the period subject to audit.
410	the network operator of	a change in an attribute an the provision of standing		In relation to Alinta's capacity as a user of Horizon Power's network, Alinta has no role in the collection of any information relating to Horizon Power's meters or connection points. Accordingly, Alinta had no obligations under clause 5.19(6) of the Metering Code for the period subject to audit.
	Priority: 5	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
411	A network operator must, by not later than 6 months after the date this Code applies to the network operator, develop, in accordance with the communication rules, an Energy Data Verification Request Form. <i>Metering Code clause 5.20(1)</i>			Alinta has not developed an Energy Data Verification Request Form. We also note that communication rules have not been established in relation to Alinta's transmission network. <i>Refer to summary findings, recommendation and action plan at Issue 2/2016 above.</i>
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: C	Compliance Rating: 2	

No.	Obligation under condi-	tion		Findings
412	An Energy Data Verification Request Form must require a Code participant to provide the information prescribed. <i>Metering Code clause 5.20(2)</i>			Alinta has not developed an Energy Data Verification Request Form. We note that the Manager Generation Operations WA confirmed that during the period subject to audit, Alinta did not receive any request for verification of energy data.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
413				The Manager Generation Operations WA confirmed that during the period subject to audit, Alinta did not receive any request for verification of energy data.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
414	subclause 5.21(1) Metering Code clause 5.21(2)			The Manager Generation Operations WA confirmed that during the period subject to audit, Alinta did not receive any request for a test or audit of the accuracy of a metering installation, the energy data from the metering installation and/or the standing data from the metering
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	installation.
415			onducted in accordance service level agreement.	
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
416	unless the Code particip			Obligations 416 and 417 The Manager Generation Operations confirmed that during the period subject to audit, in relation to Alinta's capacity as a user of Horizon Power's network, Alinta did not make a request to Horizon Power for a test or audit of the accuracy of a metering installation, the energy data from the metering installation and/or the standing data from the metering
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	installation.
417				
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	

No.	Obligation under condi	tion		Findings
418	metering installations, or associated with the met	agreement between it ar	h from the meters h, in accordance with the	 Obligations 418 to 421 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: Alinta's PPAs with its customers do not provide for Alinta to impose a charge for undertaking a test of metering installations and/or or auditing of information from the
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	meters associated with the metering installations
419		on that no charge is to be ce with this Code.	o under subclause 5.21(7), imposed if the test or audit	• Alinta has not imposed such a charge during the period subject to audit. The Manager Generation Operations WA confirmed that during the period subject to audit, Alinta did not receive any request for a test or audit of the accuracy of a metering installation, the energy data from the metering installation and/or the standing data from the metering installation.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
420	If a test or audit shows that the accuracy of the metering installation or information from the meter associated with the metering installation does not comply with the requirements under this Code, the network operator must advise the affected parties as soon as practicable of errors detected under a test or audit, the possible duration of the errors, and must restore the accuracy of the metering installation in accordance with the applicable service level agreement. <i>Metering Code clause 5.21(11)</i>			
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
421		br correction data in a me testing and calibration o 5.21(12)		
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
422				 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: Alinta uses parallel check meters for each of its metering installations, which are Type 1 metering installations Although Alinta applies energy data validation process in accordance with its obligations under its customer PPAs, Alinta has not established a clear metering management plan or framework for demonstrating its compliance with the prescribed rules and procedures set out in Appendix 3 of the Metering Code.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: B	Compliance Rating: 1	Refer to summary findings, recommendation and action plan at Issue 2/2016 above.

No.	Obligation under condi	tion		Findings
423				 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA and consideration of Alinta's metering arrangements, we determined that: Alinta uses parallel check meters for each of its metering installations, which are Type 1 metering installations Alinta's parallel check metering arrangements meet the requirements of clause 3.13(4)(b) of the Metering Code.
424	4 If a check meter is not available or energy data cannot be recovered from the metering installation within the time required under this Code, then the network operator must prepare substitute values using a method contained		cannot be recovered from d under this Code, then the using a method contained	The Manager Generation Operations WA confirmed that during the period subject to audit, there were no instances in which substitute values were required to be prepared due to a check meter not being available or energy data not being recoverable from a metering installation within the time required.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
425	If a network operator detects a loss of energy data or incorrect energy data from a metering installation, it must notify each affected Code participant of the loss or error within 24 hours after detection. Metering Code clause 5.22(4)			The Manager Generation Operations WA confirmed that during the period subject to audit, there were no instances in which it detected a loss of energy data or incorrect energy data from a metering installation.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
426	Substitution or estimation of energy data is required when energy data is missing, unavailable or corrupted, including in the circumstances described in this subclause. <i>Metering Code clause 5.22(5)</i>			<i>Obligations 426 to 429</i> The Manager Generation Operations WA confirmed that during the period subject to audit, there were no instances in which substitution or estimation of energy data was required due to energy data being missing, unavailable or corrupted.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
427	A network operator must review all validation failures before undertaking any substitution. <i>Metering Code clause 5.22(6)</i>		ailures before undertaking	
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
428	If a network operator determines that there is no possibility of determining an actual value for a metering point, then the network operator must designate an estimated or substituted value for the metering point to be a deemed actual value for the metering point. <i>Metering Code clause 5.23(1)</i>			
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	

No.	Obligation under condi	tion		Findings
429	point then the network more of components of metering point and sub-	operator must repair or re- metering equipment (as clauses 5.24(3)(c) and 5.2 uted value which was des		
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
430	If a network operator uses an actual value (first value) for energy data for a metering point, and a better quality actual or deemed actual value is available (second value), the network operator must replace the first value with the second value if doing so would be consistent with good electricity industry practice. <i>Metering Code clause 5.24(1)</i>			 Obligations 430 to 434 The Manager Generation Operations WA confirmed that during the period subject to audit, there were no instances in which: A better quality actual or deemed actual value became available Substitution or estimation of energy data was required
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	• A Code participant requested an estimated or substituted value to be replaced.
431	If a network operator uses a deemed actual value (first value) for energy data for a metering point, and a better quality deemed actual value is available (second value), then the network operator must replace the first value with the second value if doing so would be consistent with good electricity industry practice. <i>Metering Code clause 5.24(2)</i>			
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
432	If a network operator uses an estimated or substituted value (first value) for energy data for a metering point, and a better quality actual, deemed, estimated or substituted value is available (second value), then the network operator must replace the first value with the second value if doing so would be consistent with good electricity industry practice or the user and its customer jointly request it to do so. <i>Metering Code clause 5.24(3)</i>			
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
433	practice) must consider an estimated or substitu <i>Metering Code clause</i>	tted value to be replaced	rom a Code participant for under subclause 5.24.	
1	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	

No.	Obligation under condition			Findings
434	A network operator must ensure the accuracy of estimated energy data in accordance with the methods in its metrology procedure and ensure that any transformation or processing of data preserves its accuracy in accordance with the metrology procedure. Metering Code clause 5.25			Refer to description above for obligations 430 to 434
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
435	point must provide the network operator with customer attribute		stomer attribute	The Manager Generation Operations confirmed that during the period subject to audit, in relation to Alinta's capacity as a user of Horizon Power's network, Alinta did not receive any requests from Horizon Power to provide customer attribute information.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
441	1 A network operator must for the year ending on each 30 June, prepare a report setting out the information listed in subclause 5.37(2) for each metering service it was requested during the year to provide or scheduled during the year to carry out. Metering Code clause 5.37(1)(a) Priority: 4 Controls rating: NP Compliance Rating: NR			Obligations 441 to 446 During the period subject to audit, the requirements of Division 5.5 of the Metering Code were not relevant to Alinta's network operations as Alinta did not have any small use customers connected to its network and it was not contracted to provide metering services at one or more connection points on its network. Accordingly, Alinta had no obligations under clauses 5.37 and 5.38 of the Metering Code for
442	42 A network operator must provide a copy of the report described in subclause 5.37(1)(a) to the Minister and the Authority not less than 5 business days before it is published under subclause 5.37(3). <i>Metering Code clause</i> 5.37(1)(b)		hority not less than 5	the period subject to audit.
443	3 A network operator must publish the report described in subclause 5.37(1)			
	within 3 months after the year ends.			
	Metering Code clause 5.37(1)(b) Priority: 4 Controls rating: NP Compliance Rating: NR			
444	The report prepared by the network operator must include the information prescribed. <i>Metering Code clause 5.37(2)</i>			
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	

No.	Obligation under condition			Findings
445	For each relevant metering service, the information in subclause 5.37(2) must be reported separately for the specified classes of connection point. <i>Metering Code clause 5.37(3)</i>			Refer to description above for obligations 441 to 446
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
446	A network operator must keep such records of information as are required for the purposes of subclause 5.37, and must retain the information (in a format that is accessible within a reasonable period of time) for at least 7 years after the day on which a report containing the information is published under subclause 5.37(1)(c). <i>Metering Code clause 5.38</i>			
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
Part 6	Documentation			
447	A network operator must, in relation to its network, comply with the agreements, rules, procedures, criteria and processes prescribed. <i>Metering Code clause 6.1(1)</i>			Alinta has not complied with clause 6.2 of the Metering Code, which requires a network operator to submit a proposed model service level agreement, metrology procedure and mandatory link criteria to the Authority for its approval. However, we note that as there are no other users of Alinta's transmission network and no foreseeable prospect of a request to use the network, Alinta's circumstances have not triggered the intent of the Metering Code for such documents to be prepared for the purpose of another user. However, Alinta has not established a clear metering management plan or framework for demonstrating its compliance with all applicable agreements, rules, procedures, criteria and
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: C	Compliance Rating: NR	processes outlined in Part 6 of the Metering Code.
448	comply with the rules, procedures, agreements and criteria prescribed. <i>Metering Code clause 6.1(2)</i>			Section 6.1(2) of the Metering Code requires Alinta (as a user) to comply with the network operator's metrology procedure, communication rules, mandatory link criteria, or service level agreement. Through our discussions with the Manager Generation Operations WA and examination of Alinta's Access and Standby Agreement with Horizon Power, nothing has come to our attention to indicate that Alinta has not complied with the rules, procedures, agreements and
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	criteria prescribed by Horizon Power.
449	A network operator must amend any document in accordance with the Authority's final findings. <i>Metering Code clause 6.20(4)</i>			<i>Obligations 449 and 450</i> During the period subject to audit, Alinta had not submitted a proposed model service level agreement, metrology procedure or mandatory link criteria to the Authority for its approval.
	Priority: 5	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
450	The network operator must publish any document that has been amended under subclause 6.20(4). <i>Metering Code clause 6.20(5)</i>		nt that has been amended	
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	

No.	Obligation under condition	tion		Findings
Part 7 Notes and confidential information				
451	Code participants must use reasonable endeavours to ensure that they can send and receive a notice by post, facsimile and electronic communication and must notify the network operator of a telephone number for voice communication in connection with the Code. <i>Metering Code clause 7.2(1)</i>			Through discussions with the Wholesale Regulation Manager and consideration of Alinta's business practices, we determined that Alinta maintains electronic, facsimile and voice communication channels commensurate with the expectations of a major business.
	Priority: 5	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	
452	A network operator must notify each Code participant of its initial contact details and of any change to its contact details at least 3 business days before the change takes effect. <i>Metering Code clause 7.2(2)</i>			Alinta had notified its customers of its contact details prior to the issue of the Licence. The Wholesale Regulation Manager confirmed that Alinta has not made any changes to its contact details during the period subject to audit.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	
453	If requested by a network operator with whom it has entered into an access contract, the Code participant must notify its contact details to a network operator within 3 business days after the request. <i>Metering Code clause 7.2(4)</i>			The Wholesale Regulation Manager confirmed that during the period subject to audit, Alinta had not been requested by Horizon Power to provide notification of its contact details.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
454			work operator under	The Wholesale Regulation Manager confirmed that during the period subject to audit, Alinta's contact details had not changed.
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
455	A Code participant must subject to subclauses 5.17A and 7.6 not disclose, or permit the disclosure of, confidential information provided to it under or in connection with the Code and may only use or reproduce confidential information for the purpose for which it was disclosed or another purpose contemplated by the Code. <i>Metering Code clause 7.5</i>			 Obligations 455 and 456 Through discussions with the Manager Generation Operations WA; and examination of Alinta's Access and Standby Agreement with Horizon Power and its customer PPAs, we determined that: Alinta is aware of its confidentiality requirements both in its capacity as a user and a network operator
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	 Alinta's Access and Standby Agreement with Horizon Power contains detailed provisions on the management of confidential information
456	A Code participant must disclose or permit the disclosure of confidential information that is required to be disclosed by the Code. Metering Code clause 7.6(1)			 Alinta's customer PPAs (which governs Alinta's obligations as a network operator) with its customers contains detailed provisions on the management of confidential information. During the period subject to audit, Alinta was not required under a provision in the
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	Metering Code to disclose confidential information.

No.	Obligation under condition			Findings	
Part 8	Dispute resolution				
457	If any dispute arises between any Code participants then (subject to subclause 8.2(3)) representatives of disputing parties must meet within 5 business days after a notice given by a disputing party to the other disputing parties and attempt to resolve the dispute by negotiations in good faith. <i>Metering Code clause 8.1(1)</i>			Obligations 457 to 461 For the purposes of the Metering Code, 'disputes' refers to metering disputes between Alinta as a code participant and as a network operator, another retailer, another generator, another network operator (Horizon Power), a user or the IMO. Through discussions with the Manager Generation Operations WA; and examination of Alinta's Access and Standby Agreement with Horizon Power and its customer PPAs, we determined that:	
	Priority: 5	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	No disputes occurred during the period subject to audit	
458	referred to representative dispute to a senior man	ve negotiations, the dispu agement officer of each c olve the dispute by negot	 Alinta, in its role as a user, is governed by the provisions of its Ac Agreement with Horizon Power when settling disputes Alinta, in its role as a network operator, is governed by the provision of its Ac Agreement with Horizon Power when settling disputes Alinta, in its role as a network operator, is governed by the provision of its Ac Agreement with Horizon Power when settling disputes Alinta, in its role as a network operator, is governed by the provision of its Ac Agreement with Horizon Power when settling disputes Alinta, in its role as a network operator, is governed by the provision of its Ac Agreement with Horizon Power when settling disputes 		
	Priority: 5	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR		
459	If the dispute is not resolved within 10 business days after the dispute is referred to senior management negotiations, the disputing parties must refer the dispute to the senior executive officer of each disputing party who must meet and attempt to resolve the dispute by negotiations in good faith. <i>Metering Code clause 8.1(3)</i>				
	Priority: 5	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR		
460	 460 If the dispute is resolved by representative negotiations, senior management negotiations or CEO negotiations, the disputing parties must prepare a written and signed record of the resolution and adhere to the resolution. Metering Code clause 8.1(4) 		the disputing parties must		
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR		
461	461 The disputing parties must at all times conduct themselves in a manner which is directed towards achieving the objective in subclause 8.3(1). <i>Metering Code clause 8.3(2)</i>				
	Priority: 5	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR		

4.4 Electricity Industry (Network Quality and Reliability of Supply) Code

No.	Obligation under condition			Findings
462	A distributor or transmitter must, as far as reasonably practicable, ensure that electricity supply to a customer's electrical installations complies with prescribed standards. <i>Network Quality and Reliability of Supply Code clause 5(1)</i>			 Obligations 462 to 469 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA; consideration of Alinta's transmission network operations and its customer PPAs, we determined that during the period subject to audit: Alinta's customer PPAs prescribe voltage fluctuation, harmonic and reliability requirements, plus obligations upon Alinta for managing interruptions As far as reasonably practicable, Alinta had ensured that electricity supply to its customers' electrical installations complied with the prescribed standards There were no occasions in which Alinta was required to disconnect the supply of electricity to its customers electrical installations
463	Priority:5Controls rating: NPCompliance Rating: 1A distributor or transmitter must, so far as reasonably practicable, disconnect the supply of electricity to installations or property in specified circumstances, unless it is in the interest of the customer to maintain the supply. Network Quality and Reliability of Supply Code clause 8			
	Priority:5	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	 As far as reasonably practicable, Alinta had ensured that the supply of electric customers was maintained and the occurrence and duration of interruptions was minimum
464	A distributor or transmitter must, as far as reasonably practicable, ensure that the supply of electricity is maintained and the occurrence and duration of interruptions is kept to a minimum. Network Quality and Reliability of Supply Code clause 9 Priority:5 Controls rating: NP A distributor or transmitter must, so far as reasonably practicable, reduce the effect of any interruption on a customer. Network Quality and Reliability of Supply Code clause 10(1)	ne occurrence and duration clause 9	 There were no instances in which an alternate supply of electricity was viable in the event of a customer being affected by a proposed interruption Alinta's customer PPAs address the requirements of clause 13 of the Network Quality and Reliability of Supply Code in relation to the duration of interruptions. 	
465		nably practicable, reduce		
466	Priority:5Controls rating: NPCompliance Rating: 16A distributor or transmitter must consider whether, in specified circumstances, it should supply electricity by alternative means to a customer who will be affected by a proposed interruption. Network Quality and Reliability of Supply Code clause 10(2)		er, in specified ernative means to a erruption.	
	Priority:5	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
that customers in specified areas do not interruptions of supply greater than spe		unsmitter must, so far as reasonably practicable, ensure specified areas do not have average total lengths of pply greater than specified durations. <i>nd Reliability of Supply Code clause 13(2)</i>		
	Priority:5	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	

No.	Obligation under condition			Findings
469	The average total length of interruptions of supply is to be calculated using the specified method. Network Quality and Reliability of Supply Code clause 13(3)			Refer to description above for obligations 462 to 469
	Priority:4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
470	A distributor or transmitter must, on request, provide to an affected customer a free copy of an instrument issued by the Minister and of any notice given under section 14(7) of the Electricity Industry (Network Quality and Reliability of Supply) Code 2005. <i>Network Quality and Reliability of Supply Code clause 14(8)</i>			During the period subject to audit, the Minister had not issued an instrument in relation to the Network Quality and Reliability of Supply Code, nor had any notice been given under section 14(7) of the Network Quality and Reliability of Supply Code.
	Priority:4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
modify certain provisions must set out the advantages and disadvantages to Alinta's operations and its customer PPAs, we determ				
	Priority:4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	 However, during the period subject to audit, no new customer agreements had been established.
477	A distributor or transmitter must take all such steps as are reasonably necessary to monitor the operation of its network to ensure compliance with specified requirements. Network Quality and Reliability of Supply Code clause 23(1)			 Through discussion with the Manager Generation Operations WA; and consideration of Alinta's transmission network operations and its customer PPAs, we determined that during the period subject to audit: As far as reasonably practicable, Alinta had monitored its network operations to ensure compliance with the requirements of its customer PPAs and any additional requirement
	Priority: 5	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	prescribed by the Network Quality and Reliability of Supply Code.
478	compliance with specifi Network Quality and Re	c requirements for the pe eliability of Supply Code	er must keep records of information regarding its requirements for the period specified. <i>iability of Supply Code clause 23(2)</i> Through discussions with the Manager Generation Operations WA Manager; and consideration of Alinta's business practices, we deter SharePoint system to maintain formal records of information regard reliability performance.	
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: 1	
		The Manager Generation Operations WA confirmed that during the period subject to audit, there were no requests from a customer for Alinta to complete a quality investigation.		
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	
480	A distributor or transmitter must report the results of an investigation to the customer concerned. <i>Network Quality and Reliability of Supply Code clause 24(4)</i>		C	
	Priority: 4	Controls rating: NP	Compliance Rating: NR	

Appendix A – Audit Plan

Appendix B – References

Alinta staff and representatives participating in the review

- Manager Generation Operations WA
- Wholesale Regulation Manager
- Finance Manager Power Generation
- Manager, Asset Management & Engineering
- Ellipse Team Leader
- Port Hedland Power Station Plant Manager.

Deloitte staff participating in the review

Name		Position	Hours
•	Richard Thomas	Partner	6
•	Andrew Baldwin	Account Director	32
•	David Herbert	Senior Analyst	92
•	Kobus Beukes	Quality Assurance Partner	1
•	Esther Ong	Analyst	8

Key documents and other information sources examined

- FY14 Annual Compliance Report
- FY15 Annual Compliance Report
- FY14 Alinta Holdings Group financial statements and supplementary notes
- FY15 Alinta Holdings Group financial statements and supplementary notes
- Regulatory Obligations Register
- ERA Licence payment tax invoices for July 2014 and June 2015
- ANZ Payment Detail Reports for July 2014 and June 2015
- Accounting position paper Operating and Capital Expenses Policy
- Electricity Licence Application Alinta DEWAP Pty Ltd 3 April 2014
- Customer Power Purchase Agreements
- Access and Standby Agreement with Horizon Power
- Port Hedland and Boodarie Power Station Asset Management Plan (AMP) FY2014
- Port Hedland and Boodarie Power Station AMP FY2016
- Alinta Energy Asset Management Framework
- KMI Incident Management Register
- Port Hedland Power Station Emergency Response Plan
- Power Generation Weekly Performance Report
- IT policy listing
- IT Security Policy
- Alinta Energy back-up system protocol
- Application user approval matrix
- Accounts policies/Password Policy system parameters.

Appendix C – Post Audit Implementation Plan

Issue 1/2016

Obligation 103: Electricity Industry Act section 14.1(b)

Through discussions with the Manager Asset Management & Engineering and Manager Generation Operations WA; and consideration of Alinta's Asset Management Framework and Site Asset Management Plans, we determined that Alinta's asset management system has further evolved since the Authority was advised of the details of the system at the time of Alinta's application for its Licence. The key enhancement made during the period subject to this audit relates to the use of the SPM Asset software for supplementing the capabilities of the Ellipse system in Alinta's approach to assessing and treating risks.

Judgement is required to determine whether changes to the asset management system during the audit period are sufficiently substantial as to require specific notification to the Authority in accordance with the requirements of section 14(1) of the Act. Alinta had not specifically considered this requirement and does not have a protocol in place for determining whether the requirement has been triggered.

As it may be reasonable to form a view either way, we consider Alinta has not breached the requirements of the Act.

Recommendation 1/2016

Alinta establish a protocol for determining what constitutes a "substantial change" in its asset management system and for duly notifying the Authority when appropriate.

Action Plan 1/2016

g whatAlinta will amend its Management of Changeisetprocedure to include a definition of a "substantialg thechange" to the asset management system, requiring
management under that procedure and which will
acknowledge the requirement to notify the Authority of
any such change.Responsible Person:Manager, Asset Management &
EngineeringTarget Date:31 December 2016

Issue 1/2016

Obligation 319: *Metering Code clause 3.1*

Obligation 320: *Metering Code clause 3.2(1)*

Obligations 326, 327: Metering Code clauses 3.5(1), (2) and (3)

Obligation 331: Metering Code clause 3.7

Obligation 332: *Metering Code clause 3.8*

Obligation 333: *Metering Code clause 3.9(3)*

Obligation 336: *Metering Code clause 3.10* **Obligation 337:** *Metering Code clause 3.11(1)*

Obligation 337. *Metering Code clause 5.11(1)* **Obligations 340, 341:** *Metering Code clauses 3.11A(1) and (2)*

Obligations 342 to 344: Metering Code clauses 3.12(1) to (3)

Obligations 342 to 344. *Metering Code clauses* 5.12(1) **Obligation 345:** *Metering Code clause* 3.12(4)

Obligation 357: Metering Code clause 3.12(1)

Obligation 366: *Metering Code clause 4.1(1)*

Obligation 369: *Metering Code clause 4.2(1)*

Obligation 370: Metering Code clause 4.3(1)

Obligation 411: Metering Code clause 5.20(1)

Obligation 422: Metering Code clause 5.22(1)

Obligation 447: *Metering Code clause 6.1(1)*

Alinta maintains three meters on its electricity transmission network for measuring and recording energy data relating to electricity transferred to its customers' delivery points. Alinta's Power Purchase Agreements (**PPA**) with its two customers specify Alinta's obligations for operating and maintaining metering equipment, including references to the requirements of the *North West Interconnected System Electricity Network Access Technical Rules* the *Electricity Transmission Regulations 1996 (WA)*, *Good Engineering and Operating Practices* and the Metering Code. All energy data from these meters is captured within Alinta's Honeywell Experion system.

Although Alinta has demonstrated that it has operated its meters and recorded related energy data to the satisfaction of its customers throughout the period subject to this audit:

1. Alinta has not fully complied with the requirements to:

- Maintain and administer a metering database containing the required standing data in accordance with Part 4 of the Metering Code (*obligations 366, 369 and 370*)
- Maintain drawings and supporting information, to the standard of good electricity industry practice, detailing the metering installation for maintenance and auditing purposes (*obligation 345*)
- Develop an Energy Data Verification Request Form (obligation 411).

2. Alinta has not established a clear metering management plan or framework for demonstrating its:

- Installation and maintenance of meters in accordance with an applicable metrology procedure, the specifications of the National Measurement Institute under the *National Measurements Act*; and the functionality and testing requirements outlined in Part 3 of the Metering Code (*obligations 319, 320, 326, 327, 331 to 333, 336, 337, 340 to 344*)
- Management of validated energy data (*obligation 422*)
- Compliance with all applicable agreements, rules, procedures, criteria and processes outlined in Part 6 of the Metering Code (*obligation 447*).
- 3. The two PPAs with Alinta's customers are not consistent in their reference to the underlying technical and legislative obligations for installing and maintaining metering equipment. For example, one, but not both of the PPAs, refers to the requirements of the Metering Code, the *North West Interconnected System Electricity Network Access Technical Rules* and the *Electricity Transmission Regulations 1996 (WA)*.

We also note that Alinta has not complied with clause 6.2 of the Metering Code, which requires a network operator to submit a proposed model service level agreement, metrology procedure and mandatory link criteria to the Authority for its approval (clause 6.2 of the Metering Code is not referenced in the Reporting Manual and was not included in the audit plan). Further, as there are no other users of Alinta's transmission network and no foreseeable prospect of a request to use the network, Alinta's circumstances have not triggered the intent of the Metering Code for such documents to be prepared for the purpose of another user.

Rec	commendation 2/2016	Action Plan 2/2016		
Ali	nta:	Alinta will:		
1.	Establish a formal metering database, maintain drawings and supporting information and develop an Energy Data Verification Request Form to ensure its compliance with the requirements of the Metering Code.	1. Establish a formal metering database, maintain drawings and supporting information and develop an Energy Data Verification Request Form to ensure its compliance with the requirements of the Metering Code.		
2.	 Prepare a metering management plan (or similar) to: a. Incorporate the requirements of the Metering Code relevant to Alinta's metering operations b. Consolidate, make consistent and expand on the obligations contained in the two PPAs. 	 Prepare a metering management plan to incorporate the requirements of the Metering Code relevant to Alinta's metering operations. Reach a position on its approach for addressing the technical requirements of clause 6.2 of the Metering Code. 		
3.	Reach a position on its approach for addressing the technical requirements of clause 6.2 of the Metering Code.	Responsible Person: Manager Generation Operations WA 31 December 2016		