

Draft Decision on Proposed Variations to Western Power's Access Arrangement for 2009/10 to 2011/12: Contributions Policy

Submitted by Western Power

Economic Regulation Authority

WESTERN AUSTRALIA

Important Notice

This document has been compiled in good faith by the Economic Regulation Authority (Authority). The document contains information supplied to the Authority from third parties. The Authority makes no representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, completeness, reasonableness or reliability of the information supplied by those third parties.

This document is not a substitute for legal or technical advice. No person or organisation should act on the basis of any matter contained in this document without obtaining appropriate professional advice. The Authority and its staff members make no representation or warranty, expressed or implied, as to the accuracy, completeness, reasonableness or reliability of the information contained in this document, and accept no liability, jointly or severally, for any loss or expense of any nature whatsoever (including consequential loss) arising directly or indirectly from any making available of this document, or the inclusion in it or omission from it of any material, or anything done or not done in reliance on it, including in all cases, without limitation, loss due in whole or part to the negligence of the Authority and its employees.

This notice has effect subject to the *Competition & Consumer Act 2010* (Cwlth), the *Fair Trading Act 1987* (WA) and the *Fair Trading Act 2010* (WA), if applicable, and to the fullest extent permitted by law.

Any summaries of the legislation, regulations or licence provisions in this document do not contain all material terms of those laws or obligations. No attempt has been made in the summaries, definitions or other material to exhaustively identify and describe the rights, obligations and liabilities of any person under those laws or licence provisions.

This document is available from the Economic Regulation Authority website at www.erawa.com.au.

For further information, contact:

Economic Regulation Authority
Perth, Western Australia
Phone: (08) 6557 7900

© Economic Regulation Authority 2012

The copying of this document in whole or part for non-commercial purposes is permitted provided that appropriate acknowledgment is made of the Economic Regulation Authority and the State of Western Australia. Any other copying of this document is not permitted without the express written consent of the Authority.

Contents

DRAFT DECISION	1
REASONS	3
Western Power's Proposed Amendments to the Access Arrangement	3
Assessment of compliance with the Access Code	5
Access Code Requirements	5
Western Power's Assessment	5
Submissions to the Authority	5
Considerations of the Authority	6
Varying Access Arrangement during the current Access Arrangement Period	16
Access Code Requirements	16
Western Power's Assessment	17
Submissions to the Authority	17
Considerations of the Authority	17

DRAFT DECISION

1. On 17 January 2012, the Economic Regulation Authority (**Authority**) received a proposal from Western Power to vary Appendix 3 of its current access arrangement, the contributions policy, under section 4.41A of the *Electricity Networks Access Code 2004* (**Access Code**).¹ Sections 4.41A to 4.45 of the Access Code allow Western Power to propose (mid-period) revisions to vary its approved access arrangement during the access arrangement period and sets out the procedure for the Authority to follow when considering such revisions to an access arrangement.
2. Western Power's current access arrangement covers a three year access arrangement period from 2009/10 to 2011/12 and was approved by the Authority in January 2010, with the access arrangement becoming effective on 1 March 2010.
3. As the proposed changes, which relate to the inclusion of a new distribution low voltage connection scheme (**DLVCS**) in the contributions policy, are material the Authority undertook public consultation as provided for under section 4.34(a) of the Access Code. As part of this consultation, the Authority prepared an issues paper on the variation proposal to assist interested parties in understanding Western Power's proposal.² The invitation for submissions was published by the Authority on 18 May 2012 with a closing date for submissions of 5 June 2012.
4. Western Power included details of the DLVCS in its proposed revisions to the access arrangement for the Western Power Network submitted to the Authority on 30 September 2011. Five submissions received by the Authority in response to the consultation process³ for Western Power's proposed revised access arrangement included comments on the proposed DLVCS. These submissions were from:
 - Office of Energy;
 - Synergy;
 - National Electrical and Communications Association (**NECA**);
 - Property Council; and
 - Finbar.
5. The Authority's issues paper published on 18 May 2012 for the variation proposal included details of Western Power's responses to the five submissions noted above. Only one further submission, from NECA, was received during the consultation period in relation to the variation proposal. All submissions received during both consultations have been published on the Authority's website.
6. In considering whether to approve Western Power's variation proposal, and hence allow variations to Western Power's current access arrangement, the Authority must determine whether the proposed variations are consistent with the specific requirements for a contributions policy as set out in the Access Code.

¹ Western Power, 17 January 2012, Modifications to Contributions Policy for New Charging Arrangement Methodology for Low Voltage Customer Connections (hereafter referred to as "**variation proposal**").

² Economic Regulation Authority, 18 May 2012, Issues Paper: Proposed Variations to Western Power's Access Arrangement for 2009/10 to 2011/12: Contributions Policy.

³ Public consultation on Western Power's proposed access arrangement revisions took place between 7 October 2011 to 5 December 2011.

7. The Authority has identified a number of required amendments that will need to be addressed by Western Power prior to the DLVCS being approved. These required amendments are set out in the following pages.
8. Under section 4.42 of the Access Code, the Authority must determine whether the advantages of varying the access arrangement during the current access arrangement period outweigh the disadvantages, and in particular the disadvantages associated with decreased regulatory certainty and increased regulatory cost and delay.
9. Subject to Western Power making the required amendments as set out in this draft decision, the Authority considers the proposed DLVCS will provide benefits in terms of simplicity, predictability and transparency.
10. The Authority considers that any disadvantages associated with decreased regulatory certainty as a consequence of varying the access arrangement during the current access arrangement period are negligible for the following reasons:
 - any changes to the contributions policy would only likely precede the introduction of the next access arrangement by a relatively short period; and
 - interested parties have been consulted on the proposed introduction of the DLVCS by Western Power and have generally indicated support for the new arrangements.
11. The Authority has not identified any significant additional costs or delays that might arise as a consequence of varying the access arrangement during the current access arrangement period.
12. On balance, the Authority considers that the benefits to stakeholders of introducing the proposed DLVCS as a mid-term variation outweigh any disadvantages associated with decreased regulatory certainty, increased regulatory cost or delays.

REASONS

13. In considering whether to approve Western Power's variation proposal, and hence allow variations to Western Power's current access arrangement, the Authority must:
- determine whether the proposed variations are consistent with the specific requirements for a contributions policy as set out in the Access Code; and
 - determine whether the advantages of varying the access arrangement during the current access arrangement period outweigh the disadvantages, and in particular the disadvantages associated with decreased regulatory certainty and increased regulatory cost and delay.⁴

Western Power's Proposed Amendments to the Access Arrangement

14. Western Power's current access arrangement includes, at Appendix 3, a contributions policy. The contributions policy sets out the principles and processes for determining when a contribution will be required from a user, including for a network augmentation, and for determining the amount of the contribution.
15. Under the current contributions policy, customers seeking a new low voltage connection to the electricity network are required to pay a capital contribution based on the specific augmentation costs for individual new customer connections less the forecast incremental revenue that Western Power would earn as a consequence of the connection. Capital contributions are assessed on a case by case basis.
16. Western Power notes:
- An outcome of using specific augmentation costs is that quotes can vary considerably for the same size load in different locations depending upon the spare capacity of the network and the timing of the application. Loads connected to areas with low capacity, that require extensive augmentation may result in a customer making a higher capital contribution when compared to the same load being connected to an area that has ample spare capacity and little or no augmentation is required. This can result in a situation where adjacent customers make vastly different contributions, as the first customer pays for the majority of the costs and subsequent applicants are able to connect at much reduced costs.⁵
17. In the variation proposal, Western Power is seeking to vary its current contributions policy to introduce a DLVCS. It is proposed to implement the DLVCS as a "headworks scheme" within the terms of the contributions policy.
18. Western Power considers the proposed DLVCS will address concerns raised by customers about the lack of transparency of the current contributions policy and the lack of predictability of the required contributions amounts.
19. The proposed amendments to the contributions policy are set out in a marked-up document in Attachment D of Western Power's variation proposal. The methods used to derive the proposed contributions under the DLVCS are set out in Attachment G of Western Power's variation proposal.

⁴ This is a requirement under section 4.42 of the Access Code, which relates to mid-period revisions to access arrangements.

⁵ Western Power, Variation proposal, section 3.1, p.6.

20. The DLVCS would result in a new method of charging customers for low voltage connections on the basis of standard charges rather than individually determined charges.
21. Western Power proposes to have three tranches of charges (low use, medium use and high use) for applicants seeking connections direct to transformers. The three tranches of charges recognise that costs per unit of capacity (kVA) decrease as demand increases due to economies of scale.⁶
22. Western Power also proposes a separate two-tranche set of charges for applicants that will be supplied from a low voltage street network (rather than directly connected to a transformer). The charges for supply from the low voltage street network are higher than for a direct connection to a transformer because of the additional low voltage assets required for a connection to the street network.⁷
23. The standard charges would be based upon the average costs for the provision of capacity (kVA) for customers eligible for this service. The actual contribution for these customers would be net of expected additional (incremental) revenue.⁸
24. Western Power will update the standard charges annually to reflect the actual augmentation costs for works undertaken during the previous 12 month period.
25. Western Power's proposed initial standard charges per kVA are set out in the following table.

Table 1 Proposed Charging Rates

Customer Category	Charge Rate (\$/kVA)
Low use transformer connection (0 – 216 kVA)	\$443.74
Medium use transformer connection (217 – 630 kVA)	\$221.87
High use transformer connection (631 kVA and above)	\$110.93
LV street feed connection (0 – 216 kVA)	\$495.63
LV street feed connection (217 kVA and above)	\$273.76

26. Western Power proposes that the DLVCS will apply to both country and metropolitan customers connecting to the low voltage distribution system within 25 kilometres of a zone substation. The DLVCS will not apply to large industrial or commercial premises, pole to pillar connections, Supply Extension Scheme works, works in excess of standard requirements, temporary supplies, street-lighting, unmetered connections, relocations, undergrounding and subdivisions.
27. Western Power proposes applying an economic test to exclude some otherwise eligible customers on the grounds that the costs of their required augmentation would be substantially higher than the average cost.⁹ Western Power notes that the purpose of the economic test is to ensure that the average charges are not unreasonably

⁶ Western Power, Variation proposal, Attachment G, p.8.

⁷ Western Power, Variation proposal, section 3.2, p.7.

⁸ Western Power, Variation proposal, section 3.2, pp.6-7.

⁹ Western Power, Variation proposal, Attachment G, pp.10.

skewed by very high cost connections. Western Power anticipates that around 1.5 per cent of connections would be ineligible for the standard charges and would be charged at actual cost.¹⁰

Assessment of compliance with the Access Code

Access Code Requirements

28. In considering whether to approve Western Power's variation proposal, the Authority must give consideration to the specific requirements for a headworks scheme under a contributions policy.
29. The required content of an access arrangement is specified in Chapter 5 of the Access Code. Specifically, section 5.1(h) of the Access Code requires that an access arrangement include a contributions policy, defined in section 1.3 of the Access Code as a policy in an access arrangement under section 5.1(h) dealing with contributions by users.
30. The contributions policy sets out the principles and processes for determining when a contribution will be required from a user, including for a network augmentation, and for determining the amount of the contribution. A "contribution" is defined in section 1.3 of the Access Code as a capital contribution, a non-capital contribution or a headworks charge.
31. Specific requirements for a contribution policy that must be met are set out in sections 5.12 to 5.17D of the Access Code. However, of these sections, only sections 5.12, 5.13(a), 5.13(c), 5.15, 5.16, 5.17, 5.17C and 5.17D are relevant to a headworks scheme such as the proposed DLVCS. Further details of these requirements are set out below under "Considerations of the Authority".

Western Power's Assessment

32. Western Power submits that its proposal for a headworks scheme (the DLVCS), and hence its proposed revised contributions policy, complies with the requirements of the Access Code. Details of Western Power's assessment are included below under "Considerations of the Authority".

Submissions to the Authority

33. Both submissions from NECA supported the proposals of Western Power on the basis that it believes that it will provide greater transparency and openness to how upgrades are priced. NECA submitted that the proposed method of charging will be a fairer system for all as it will result in more predictable pricing and will remove the disparity in pricing for customers that request the same scope of works yet are charged dramatically different prices.
34. Submissions from Finbar and the Property Council, in response to the consultation process for Western Power's proposed revised access arrangement, both raise similar points and are concerned particularly with the potential impact on the competitiveness of multi-unit development in Western Australia. Specific points raised include the following:

¹⁰ Western Power, Variation proposal, section 3.2, p.7.

- There is no effective means to gauge the risk of having Contributions Policy section 7.5 (exclusion from DLVCS) applied to a project, thus providing no certainty to a developer when considering the initial feasibility of a project;
 - The revenue offset is not clearly set out and the current arrangements include the inequitable exclusion of multi-residential development from having a revenue offset applied to the headworks costs; and
 - The need to develop an agreed formula for calculating the amount to be paid to Western Power under section 4.3 as security to ensure that forecast revenue will be met (if the revenue offset were to be applied to multi-residential developments in the future).
35. Synergy's response to the public consultation on Western Power's proposed revised access arrangement noted that, as the Access Code needed to be amended to accommodate the variation proposal, the scheme should not be considered as part of the proposed revised access arrangement. Synergy also referred to a submission it had made to the Office of Energy, in relation to its consultation on the proposed amendment to section 5.17D of the Access Code, and requested that the Authority consider the matters raised in that submission.
36. The submission from the Office of Energy in relation to the public consultation on Western Power's proposed revised access arrangement noted issues relating to the definitions of various terms:
- The contributions policy defines "headworks scheme" as meaning "the scheme described in clause 6 of this contributions policy". Clause 6 only refers to the distribution headworks scheme. This definition therefore, does not include Western Power's distribution low voltage connection scheme.
- It would be helpful if Western Power provided reasoning for its amendments to the Code definitions of "transmission system" and "distribution system" in its Distribution Headworks Methodology.
- Western Power explicitly states that "[the] methodology document explains how the requirements of sections 5.17D(i), (ii) and (iii) [of the Access Code] have been met in the Contributions Policy. It makes no mention of the requirements under 5.17D(iv) and (v). What are Western Power's reasons for not considering these requirements?
- The Distribution Headworks Methodology states that "headworks has the same meaning given to it in the Contributions Policy". However, the definition in the DLVCS Methodology does not contain the reference to HV (or high voltage) like the Contributions Policy definition does. The high voltage reference may have implications for the classification of the proposed distribution low voltage connection scheme as a headworks scheme.

Considerations of the Authority

37. In considering the proposed variation, the Authority has considered the requirements of the Access Code and matters raised in submissions from interested parties.

Objectives for a Contributions Policy

38. Section 5.12 of the Access Code states as follows:
- 5.12 The objectives for a contributions policy must be that:
- (a) it strikes a balance between the interests of:
 - (i) contributing users; and

- (ii) other users; and
 - (iii) consumers; and
 - (b) it does not constitute an inappropriate barrier to entry.
39. Western Power considers that the proposed revisions strike a balance between the interests of contributing users, other users and consumers on the basis that:
- The interests of contributing users, other users and consumers are more appropriately balanced under the DLVCS with regard to simplicity, predictability and transparency.
- In addition, the DLVCS reduces the barriers to entry for relevant customers, and, as discussed in section 3.3 [of Western Power's variation proposal], it strikes a better balance between the interests of contributing users and other users.¹¹
40. The Authority notes Western Power's view that the current arrangements 'can result in the situation where adjacent customers make vastly different contributions, as the first customers pays for the majority of the costs and subsequent applicants are able to connect at much reduced costs'.¹² The proposed DLVCS will help to ensure that adjacent customers will make similar contributions by applying standard charges based on the capacity and the type of connection sought. The Authority considers that the proposed revisions strike a more appropriate balance between the interests of contributing users and other users than the current arrangements by minimising the disadvantage experienced by some 'first movers'.
41. Under the proposed DLVCS the costs of connecting to the low voltage system will be averaged across customers seeking a connection. The effect of this will be to apportion the costs of significant augmentation work across all customers seeking low voltage connection, thereby reducing the number of customers that are required to make substantial contributions. The Authority considers that implementation of the proposed DLVCS would reduce barriers to entry for customers seeking low voltage connections relative to the existing arrangements.
42. The Authority notes that Western Power proposes to apply an economic test to exclude a small proportion (around 1.5 per cent) of otherwise eligible customers from the DLVCS because of the high cost of their connections. Customers excluded because of the economic test will be charged at actual costs.¹³ These individuals may encounter a high barrier to entry, but the Authority notes that the barrier to entry will be no greater than what these customers would have encountered under the existing arrangements. Furthermore, the Authority notes that excluding these customers from the DLVCS moderates the standard charges applied to other customers and hence helps to strike an appropriate balance between the contributing user and other users.
43. Public submissions from Finbar and the Property Council expressed concern that some customers would face uncertainty because their connections would be excluded from the proposed DLVCS because of the economic test. However, the Authority notes that these customers would be no worse off than under the existing regime of capital contributions for low voltage connections.
44. The Authority notes that Western Power has not proposed a similar economic test to exclude the least expensive connections from the DLVCS (and charge these customers the actual cost of the works required for their connection). However, the Authority considers this to be reasonable. Western Power will incur at least a

¹¹ Western Power, Variation proposal, Attachment E, p.1.

¹² Western Power, Variation proposal, section 3.1, p.6.

¹³ Western Power, Variation proposal, section 3.2, p.6.

minimum level of unavoidable costs for even the lowest cost connections (for example, overheads, planning costs, travel costs). Consequently, there are unlikely to be extreme outliers amongst the lowest cost connections. In contrast, there is greater potential for extreme outliers amongst the highest cost connections, depending upon the scope of works required.

45. The main disadvantage of the proposed DLVCS (relative to the existing arrangements) is that it will potentially reduce the economic efficiency of investment in the network. The Authority notes that this is an important consideration because promoting economically efficient investment in the network is the primary objective of the Access Code.¹⁴
46. An inefficient investment in the network may arise because customers seeking a low voltage connection to the distribution network under the proposed DLVCS will be required to pay a standard charge based upon average connection costs for such works instead of a capital contribution that is based on the specific augmentation costs of their connection. The consequence of this fee structure may be an inefficiently high number of connections to areas of the network requiring high-cost augmentations and an inefficiently low number of connections to areas of the network requiring low-cost augmentations.
47. The Authority notes that the degree of inefficiency will depend upon the magnitude of difference between what customers would pay under the proposed arrangements relative to the existing arrangements. Where the difference between the two possible charges is small, the degree of inefficiency will likewise be small. Conversely, the degree of inefficiency will be large where the difference between the two possible charges is large. The Authority expects that the difference between what customers would pay under the two alternative arrangements will generally be small as the actual costs of the majority of connections are likely to be closely distributed around the average costs. As such, the potentially detrimental effect on efficient investment in the network of applying standard charges instead of charging actual costs is likely to be small. Furthermore, the DLVCS is only available to a small set of customers and specifically excludes work relating to geographic extension of the network.
48. The point raised in the submission from Synergy noting that the Access Code needed to be amended to accommodate the variation proposal, is no longer relevant as the Code amendment has now been gazetted. The Authority also considers the submission made by Synergy to the Office of Energy in relation to its consultation on the proposed amendment to section 5.17D of the Access Code is no longer relevant and notes that Synergy has made no further submissions to the Authority in relation to the proposed variation.
49. For the reasons set out above, the Authority considers that the proposed DLVCS meets the requirements of section 5.12 (a) and (b) of the Access Code.

Specific Requirements for a Contribution Policy

Section 5.13

50. Section 5.13 of the Access Code states as follows:

- 5.13 A contributions policy must facilitate the operation of this Code, including:
 - (a) sections 2.10 to 2.12; and

¹⁴ Section 2,1 of the Access Code.

- (b) the test in section 6.51A¹⁵, and
- (ba) sections 5.14 and 5.17D, and
- (c) the regulatory test.

51. Section 2.10 to 2.12 of the Access Code states as follows:

2.10 Subject to section 2.11, the service provider must undertake and fund any required work.

2.11 If one or more contributions are required to be made under the contributions policy or under section 5.17A in respect of required work, then the service provider may refuse to undertake and fund any relevant required work under section 2.10 until either:

- (a) the applicant provides the contributions; or
- (b) the applicant and the service provider reach agreement on, or the arbitrator determines, the terms on which the applicant will make the contributions.

2.12 If work:

- (a) is of a class which is or has been identified as headworks under any current or past headworks scheme; and
- (b) is necessary in accordance with good electricity industry practice,

then the service provider must undertake and fund the work, despite section 2.11 and whether or not the work is required work.

52. Western Power considers that the proposed revisions comply with this requirement as the revisions do not vary the compliance of the existing contributions policy with these clauses.¹⁶

53. The Authority notes that Section 4.1(b) of the existing Contributions Policy states:

If the work *falls* within the class of *headworks*, Western Power must undertake and fund the *work* whether or not the *work* is *required work*. This does not excuse the *applicant* from any obligations to make a *contribution* under this *contributions policy*.

54. The existing Contributions Policy includes a definition of “headworks” as “enhancements required to the existing HV three-phase distribution system that provides for an increase in capacity of that system”. The Authority notes that this definition only applies to the existing headworks scheme and does not include the proposed DLVCS.

55. To ensure compliance with section 2.12 of the Access Code, the variation proposal needs to be amended to clarify that section 4.1(b) of the Contributions Policy applies to both the existing headworks scheme and the proposed DLVCS.

¹⁵ Section 6.51A relates to the new facilities investment test.

¹⁶ Western Power, Variation proposal, Attachment E, p. 1.

Required Amendment 1

Western Power must amend the definition of 'headworks' in the contributions policy to include a reference to 'enhancements required to the existing low voltage distribution system'.

Section 5.15 of the Access Code

56. Section 5.15 of the Access Code states as follows:

5.15 A contributions policy must set out:

- (a) the circumstances in which a contributing user may be required to make a contribution; and
- (b) the method for calculating any contribution a contributing user may be required to make; and
- (c) for any contribution:
 - (i) the terms on which a contributing user must make the contribution; or
 - (ii) a description of how the terms on which a contributing user must make the contribution are to be determined.

57. Western Power considers that the proposed revisions comply with this requirement. Western Power notes that:

The proposed scheme identifies the circumstances in which a contributing user is required to make a contribution and the method for calculating the contribution, which satisfies clauses 5.15 (a) and 5.15 (b).

Compliance of the contribution policy with clause 5.15 (c) is unchanged by the proposed scheme.¹⁷

58. The Authority considers that the proposed DLVCS meets the requirements of section 5.15 (a) and (b) of the Access Code in describing the circumstances in which a contribution is required (proposed section 7.1 of the Contributions Policy) and the method for calculating any contribution a contributing user may be required to make (proposed section 7.4 of the Contributions Policy and proposed Appendix 10 of the Access Arrangement).

59. The Authority considers that the proposed revisions comply with section 5.15(c) as they do not vary the compliance of the existing contributions policy.

Section 5.16 of the Access Code

60. Section 5.16 of the Access Code states as follows:

5.16 A contributions policy may:

- (a) be based in whole or in part upon the model contributions policy, in which case, to the extent that it is based on the model contributions policy, any matter which in the model contributions policy is left to be completed in the access arrangement, must be completed in a manner consistent with:

¹⁷ Western Power, Variation proposal, Attachment E, p.2.

- (i) any instructions in relation to the matter contained in the model contributions policy; and
- (ii) sections 5.12 to 5.15; and
- (iii) the Code objective;

and

- (b) be formulated without any reference to the model contributions policy and is not required to reproduce, in whole or in part, the model contributions policy.

61. Western Power notes that in accordance with clause 5.16 (b) the proposed scheme is not based on the model contributions policy.¹⁸
62. The Authority considers Western Power has adequately demonstrated compliance with section 5.16 of the Access Code.

Access Code Requirements for a Headworks Scheme

63. Section 5.17C of the Access Code states as follows:

5.17C Despite section 5.14, the Authority may approve a contributions policy that includes a “headworks scheme” which requires a user to make a payment to the service provider in respect of the user’s capacity at a connection point on a distribution system because the user is a member of a class, whether or not there is any required work in respect of the user.

64. Section 5.17D of the Access Code states that a headworks scheme must:

- (a) identify the class of works in respect of which the scheme applies, which must not include any works on a transmission system or any works which effect a geographic extension of a network;
- (b) not seek to recover headworks charges in an access arrangement period which in aggregate exceed 4 per cent of the distribution system target revenue for the access arrangement period;
- (c) identify the class of users who must make a payment under the scheme;
- (d) set out the method for calculating the headworks charge, which method:
 - (i) must have the objective that headworks charges under the headworks scheme will, in the long term, and when applied across all users in the class referred to in section 5.17D(c), recover no more than the service provider’s costs (such as would be incurred by a service provider efficiently minimising costs) of any headworks; and
 - (ii) must have the objective that the headworks charge payable by one user will differ from that payable by another user as a result of material differences in the users’ capacities and the locations of their connection points, unless the Authority considers that a different approach would better achieve the Code objective; and
 - (iii) may use estimates and forecasts (including long term estimates and forecasts) of loads and costs; and
 - (iv) must contain a mechanism designed to ensure that there is no double recovery of costs in all the circumstances, including the manner of calculation of other contributions and tariffs; and
 - (v) may exclude a rebate mechanism (of the type contemplated by clauses A4.13(d) or A4.14(c)(ii) of Appendix 4) and may exclude a mechanism for

¹⁸ Western Power, Variation proposal, Attachment E, p.3.

retrospective adjustments to account for the difference between forecast and actual values.

65. Western Power notes that the proposed scheme is a headworks scheme, which the Authority may approve under clause 5.17C.¹⁹
66. The Authority notes the issues raised by the Office of Energy in relation to definitions. (Refer to paragraph 36 of this Draft Decision.) The Authority considers there is potential for confusion due to the different definition of “headworks” in the Access Code and Contributions Policy. This problem has arisen due to the fact that the proposed variation results in two “headworks” schemes as defined under the Access Code. This is further complicated by the fact that the existing scheme is called the Distribution Headworks Scheme, whereas the proposed new scheme is called the Distribution Low Voltage Connection Scheme. Furthermore, the definition of “headworks” in Western Power’s Contribution Policy only refers to the Distribution Headworks Scheme.
67. The Authority considers that changing the title of the proposed scheme to ‘distribution low voltage connection headworks scheme’ would aid future interpretation of the Access Code and the contribution policy.

Required Amendment 2

Western Power must amend the title of the proposed scheme to ‘distribution low voltage connection headworks scheme’.

68. The Authority also considers that the definition of “headworks” in the contributions policy needs to be amended to include reference to ‘enhancements required to the existing low voltage distribution system’. Without this amendment, the Authority notes that sections of the contributions policy that rely on the current definition of “headworks” (such as section 4.1(b) in the contribution policy) may not extend to the proposed DLVCS.

Required Amendment 3

Western Power must amend the definition of ‘headworks’ in the contributions policy to include a reference to ‘enhancements required to the existing low voltage distribution system’.

69. Subject to these required amendments, the Authority considers that the proposed DLVCS complies with section 5.17C.
70. Compliance of the proposed revisions with section 5.17D of the Access Code is discussed in the following sections.

¹⁹ Western Power, Variation proposal, Attachment E, p.3.

Compliance with Section 5.17D(a)

71. Western Power considers that the proposed revisions comply with this requirement on the following basis:

The class of users is defined in the proposed CP in clause 7.1 and refers to the definition of “distribution low voltage connection scheme works”. The definition precludes any works on the transmission system (see the definition of “distribution low voltage connection scheme works”) as well as a geographic extension of the network (see the definition of “distribution low voltage connection scheme application” which requires that applicants must already have an electricity-serviced lot; that is any new un-serviced lot cannot be included in the proposed scheme).²⁰

72. The Authority considers Western Power has adequately demonstrated compliance with section 5.17D(a).

Compliance with Section 5.17D(b)

73. Western Power considers that the proposed revisions comply with this requirement on the following basis:

The proposed scheme does not seek to recover headworks charges which exceed 5% of the distribution system target revenue for the access arrangement period. This is in accordance with the proposed variation to the Code (Interim Code Amendment 2) expected to be gazetted imminently²¹.

For clarity the following should be noted:

- The existing distribution headworks scheme (clause 6 of the current CP) recovers no more than 1% of Western Power's distribution system target revenue for the access arrangement period.
- The addition of the proposed scheme will recover no more than 5% of the distribution system target revenue for the access arrangement period.
- While the prices for both the proposed scheme and the distribution headworks scheme are designed to recover the full cost of related works and this is in excess of 5% of the distribution system target revenue for the access arrangement period, clause 5.2 of the CP acts to reduce the actual headworks charges to no more than 5% through a reduction due to forecast incremental revenue.

74. The Authority notes that the amendment to section 5.17D(b) of the Access Code, which was gazetted on 18 May 2012, reduced the threshold to 4 per cent of the distribution system target revenue. The Authority considers the threshold applies to the actual headwork charges and, therefore, incremental revenue should not be deducted for the purposes of testing whether the threshold is met. Western Power must provide evidence that the proposed scheme falls within the revised threshold of 4 per cent.

²⁰ Western Power, Variation proposal, Attachment E, p.4.

²¹ This amendment to the Access Code was gazetted on 13 April 2012.

Required Amendment 4

Western Power must provide evidence that the proposed scheme falls within the threshold of 4 per cent as set out in section 5.17D(b) of the Code.

Compliance with Section 5.17D(c)

75. Western Power considers that the proposed revisions comply with this requirement. It notes that the class of users is defined in clause 7.1 of the proposed contributions policy and it refers to the definition of "distribution low voltage connection scheme application".²²
76. The Authority considers that Western Power has adequately demonstrated that the proposed revisions comply with this requirement.

Compliance with Section 5.17D(d)(i)

77. Western Power considers that the proposed revisions comply with this requirement. Western Power notes that the proposed scheme has the objective required by clause 5.17D(d)(i), which is described in section 2.2 and section 3 of the methodology in Attachment G in its variation proposal.²³ In this regard, section 2.2 states that:

With respect to section 5.17D(d)(i), the *distribution low voltage connection scheme* is designed to recover the *forecast costs of distribution low voltage connection scheme works*. The prices of the *distribution low voltage connection scheme* are to be reviewed not less than once every 18 months to reflect the actual costs of the provision of *distribution low voltage connection scheme works*.²⁴

78. The Authority notes Western Power's proposed variation states that prices will be updated every 12 months to reflect the actual augmentation costs.²⁵ The Authority considers a 12 month period is reasonable and that the methodology set out in Attachment G of the variation proposal should be consistent with a 12 month period.

Required Amendment 5

The methodology set out in section 2.2 of Attachment G of the variation proposal must use a 12 month period for reviewing the actual costs of provision of distribution low voltage connection scheme works.

79. The Authority notes that (as is the case for the current Headworks Scheme) there are mechanisms in place to ensure that Western Power seeks to ensure it efficiently minimises the costs of network augmentations to support connections under the proposed DLVCS. The primary mechanism is the application by the Authority of the new facilities investment test (**NFIT**) under sections 6.51 and 6.52 of the Access Code. The NFIT is satisfied if the new facilities investment does not exceed the

²² Western Power, Variation proposal, Attachment E, p.4.

²³ Western Power, Variation proposal, Attachment E, p.5.

²⁴ Western Power, Variation proposal, Attachment G, p.5 - 6.

²⁵ Western Power, Variation proposal, section 3.2 p.7.

amount that would be invested by a service provider efficiently minimising costs. Under section 6.52, the Authority would apply the NFIT to headworks costs to assess what portion (net of headworks charges) may be added to the capital base. Only Headworks costs that meet the NFIT may be added to the capital base.

Compliance with Section 5.17D(d)(ii)

80. Western Power considers that the proposed revisions comply with this section as:
- The pricing depends on the user's required incremental capacity, and charges therefore differ based on the users' capacities.
 - The physical location of the connection point determines the application of the street-feed charge, and charges therefore differ based on the users' locations.²⁶
81. Western Power notes that this is described in clause 7.4 of the contribution policy and in section 2.2 of the methodology in Attachment G of its variation proposal.²⁷
82. The Authority considers that Western Power has adequately demonstrated that the proposed revisions comply with the requirement for the charges payable to vary between users' depending upon their capacities and locations of their connection points.

Compliance with Section 5.17D(d)(iii)

83. Western Power considers that the proposed revisions comply with this requirement. Western Power notes that the proposed scheme is based on estimates and forecasts (including long term estimates and forecasts) of loads and costs, in accordance with clause 5.17D(d)(iii).²⁸ This is described in section 2.2 of the methodology in Attachment G of its variation proposal.
84. The Authority considers that Western Power has adequately demonstrated that the proposed revisions comply with this requirement.

Compliance with Section 5.17D(d)(iv)

85. Western Power considers that the proposed revisions comply with this requirement. Western Power notes that:
- The existing contribution policy complies with this requirement, and the proposed scheme does not vary this arrangement. Therefore the proposed scheme contains the mechanism described in clause 5.17D (d) (iv).²⁹
86. The Authority notes that section 7.2(c) of the contributions policy states:
- For the purposes of this *contributions policy* a *distribution low voltage connection scheme contribution* is a *capital contribution*.
87. The Authority notes that the portion of capital works for connections that are funded through a capital contribution are not included in the regulated asset base and this ensures that there is no double recovery of costs. As such, the Authority is satisfied that the proposed revisions comply with section 5.17D(d)(iv) of the Access Code.

²⁶ Western Power, Variation proposal, Attachment E, p.5.

²⁷ Western Power, Variation proposal, Attachment E, p.5.

²⁸ Western Power, Variation proposal, Attachment E, p.5.

²⁹ Western Power, Variation proposal, Attachment E, p.5.

Compliance with Section 5.17D(d)(v)

88. Western Power considers that the proposed revisions comply with this requirement. Western Power notes that:

The proposed scheme excludes a rebate mechanism as defined in clause 10 of the CP (which is unchanged by this proposal).

The proposed scheme does not include a mechanism for retrospective adjustments to account for the difference between forecast and actual values.³⁰

89. The Authority considers that the proposed revisions comply with this requirement.

Varying Access Arrangement during the current Access Arrangement Period

Access Code Requirements

90. Under the Access Code an approved access arrangement for a covered network continues in effect from the specified start date until the network ceases to be covered. The service provider responsible for the network is, however, required to periodically submit proposed revisions to the access arrangement, which the Authority is required to assess. Such periodic revisions coincide with defined access arrangement periods of three or more (typically five) years.

91. Further to the requirement for a service provider to submit periodic revisions to the access arrangement, the Access Code contains provisions that allow an approved access arrangement to be varied at other times, including during an access arrangement period. In particular, section 4.41A of the Access Code states:

Subject to section 4.42, if the service provider proposes revisions other than when it is required to do so under this Code and in circumstances where sections 4.38 [“Revision of price control or pricing methods during an access arrangement period”] and 4.41 [“Revision of access arrangement if Code is amended”] do not apply, the Authority may by notice to a service provider vary its access arrangement in accordance with the proposed revisions.

92. Sections 4.42 to 4.44 of the Access Code outline the procedure for amendments to an access arrangement under sections 4.41 and 4.41A of the Access Code.

4.42 Before giving a notice under section 4.41 or 4.41A, the Authority must determine whether the advantages of varying the access arrangement under section 4.41 or 4.41A (as applicable) outweigh the disadvantages, in particular the disadvantages associated with decreased regulatory certainty and increased regulatory cost and delay.

4.43 Before giving a notice under section 4.41 or 4.41A, the Authority:

(a) must consult the public under Appendix 7, unless, in the Authority’s opinion, the proposed variations are not material and will not result in a material change to a reference tariff, a reference service, a standard access contract or the rights of any applicant, in which case the Authority may consult the public under Appendix 7; and

(b) must consult the service provider.

4.44 The Authority must publish a notice given under section 4.41 or 4.41A.

³⁰ Western Power, Variation proposal, Attachment E, p.6.

93. Importantly, section 4.41B of the Access Code specifies that, in considering and implementing revisions under section 4.41A, the Authority is not obliged to undertake a complete review of the access arrangement as would occur with proposed revisions that are periodically required to be submitted by the service provider.

Western Power's Assessment

94. Western Power considers that the required revisions to the contribution policy to accommodate the DLVCS should be implemented as soon as possible³¹ on the basis that:
- stakeholders have provided overwhelming support for the implementation of this policy as soon as possible and a delay in implementation will unnecessarily delay benefits to customers; and
 - introduction of the new scheme assists in achieving the Access Code objective and provides for a more efficient outcome.³²
95. Western Power considers that its proposal to vary the contributions policy will result in the following advantages.
- Transparent and predictable charges to customers as the contributions are based on information more readily available to the customer, such as the size of the load and location of transformer (as opposed to the capacity of the existing network at a particular location).
 - Minimise first mover disadvantages in the current process as the amount of the contribution would not vary depending on the time the customer connected to the network.
 - Faster and more accurate responses to customer applications because there would be no need to carry out detailed design prior to being able to issue the quote.³³
96. Western Power did not identify any disadvantages arising from the proposed DLVCS.
97. Western Power further indicates that the required processes and information technology systems have already been developed. Western Power advises that it will ensure that no customers are disadvantaged as a result of the changes during the transition to the DLVCS.

Submissions to the Authority

98. No submissions were made to the Authority on the timing of the implementation of the DLVCS.

Considerations of the Authority

99. The Authority is currently in the process of preparing its Final Decision on Western Power's proposed revised (third) access arrangement. It is unlikely the proposed revised access arrangement will come into effect before November 2012 and, potentially, may be some time after that. The current (second) access arrangement remains in place until the revised access arrangement comes into effect.

³¹ Western Power has proposed from 1 July 2012.

³² Western Power, Variation proposal, section 3.4, p.8.

³³ Western Power, Variation proposal, section 3.2, p.7.

100. Under section 4.42 of the Access Code, the Authority must determine whether the advantages of varying the access arrangement during the current access arrangement period outweigh the disadvantages, and in particular the disadvantages associated with decreased regulatory certainty and increased regulatory cost and delay.
101. Subject to Western Power making the required amendments as set out in this draft decision, the Authority considers the proposed DLVCS will provide benefits in terms of simplicity, predictability and transparency.
102. The Authority considers that any disadvantages associated with decreased regulatory certainty as a consequence of varying the access arrangement during the current access arrangement period are negligible for the following reasons:
 - any changes to the contributions policy would only likely precede the introduction of the next access arrangement by a relatively short period; and
 - interested parties have been consulted on the proposed introduction of the DLVCS by Western Power and have generally indicated support for the new arrangements.
103. The Authority has not identified any significant additional costs or delays that might arise as a consequence of varying the access arrangement during the current access arrangement period.
104. On balance, the Authority considers that the benefits to stakeholders of introducing the proposed DLVCS as a mid-term variation outweigh any disadvantages associated with decreased regulatory certainty, increased regulatory cost or delays.